



OF THE

Theological Seminary, PRINCETON, N. J.

Case	SCB	Division
Shelf	3677	ection
Book		J





THE

UNIVERSAL RESTORATION

EXHIBITED IN A SERIES OF

DIALOGUES

BETWEEN

A MINISTER AND HIS FRIEND.

COMPREHENDING

The Substance of feveral Conversations that the Author had with various Persons, both in America and Europe, on that interesting Subject;

WHEREIN THE MOST

FORMIDABLE OBJECTIONS

Are stated and fully answered.

BY ELHANAN WINCHESTER.

THE FOURTH EDITION, REVISED AND CORRECTED, WITH NOTES CRITICAL AND EXPLANATORY,

BY W. VIDLER.

LONDON:

And fold by Teulon, No. 100, Houndfütch; Parsons,
No. 21, Paternoster-Row; Scarlett, No. 348, Strand;
And may be had of the Booksellers in general.

FROM

THE CRITICAL REVIEW

For September 1788.

OUR Author, with great candour and extensive knowledge of the subject, discusses the doctrine of Eternal Punishments. He thinks that there will be a period when every finner will be re-Itored to the Divine favour. This doctrine is perfectly confiltent with the benevolence of the Deity. It is supported by many wife and good men; nor is there any reason to suppose it will be perverted to ferve the purpofes of vice and immorality. Mr. Winchester, in his defence of it, shews much charity, much humanity, and no little share of learning. The Fifth Dialogue alone, which relates to the defiga and tendency of punishment, might furnish some little foundation for a difference of opinion; but in a question fo greatly above human attainment, the mind would be loft in the enquiry, and not greatly benefited by the discussion. The question of the Universal Restoration must be examined, as Mr. Winchester has done, by the word of God, as revealed at different times, and particularly in the gospel,'

N. B. A confiderable part of the Fifth Dialogue, being printed in the Lectures on the Prophecies, is left out of this edition, and the remaining part is included in the Fourth Dialogue.

PREFACE

TO THE

FIRST EDITION.

THE gospel of the grace of God is in itself so amiable, and has fomething in it fo attracting and engaging, that, wherever it has come, it has gained profelites, and commended itself to the hearts and consciences of many. It bringeth salvation to all men; but few, in comparison, have seen this, so as cordially to fall in with and confess it, when by all men is to be under-tood every individual of the human race. Some indeed, in every period of the Christian church, have feen and acknowledged this; but, by one means or other, this excellency of the gospel has been hid from the eyes of the generality both of its preachers and hearers. It has been too good news for some --- and too bad for others; and the objections they have raised against the universality of its grace, and the fullest extent of its efficacy and mercy, have been many and great. The most formidable and important of them, I have endeavoured to obviate in the following Dialogues, which, in the fear of God, and with a view to his glory, I now commit to the public eye, aiming to commend myself to every man's conscience, in the fight of God. They are the refult of real conversations with various characters, and persons of different casts and complexions, both in my native country and in this; and, I trust, have already had their use. Senfible I am writing for a wife, a good, and an all-powerful Master, (whose smiles are heaven, and whose frowns are hell) I am easy in leaving all with him.

The

The reader will eafily perceive I have all along stated the doctrine of the Universal Restoration in such a way as to imply and require a change of heart, and thorough alteration of mind and spirit, from what we are by nature, and as we come into the world. He will fee, that without a fecond and spiritual birth, he can have no happy place in the kingdom of Christ or of God. All must be subject there---none rebellious. It is to be lamented, some have so blended this noble and universal view of the gospel-grace, with their own mixtures and misconceptions of it, as to have cast an odium upon it, at first view, and have occasioned many to ftumble at the very threshold of their enquiries. How it should ever enter into the minds of any, that the gospel was a libertine, lawless scheme, is hard to conceive; or why they should even wish and defire it, is as unaccountable, if they rightly understood the nature of the salvation it brings. These, then, have fundamentally mistaken it, and made it another thing: but, must the true, the real, and full gospel be hid and concealed from mankind, because men of corrupt minds will and do abuse it? Upon the same principle, then, the whole Bible must be concealed; fince all its distinguishing truths and doctrines are liable to this, and, by some or other, have unceasingly been abused. He, sure, must have a deceived heart, that hath turned him aside, who is not as willing to be ruled as he is to be faved by Christ: indeed, it is a main part of his falvation, if he did but know it. Sin is a disease, and must be eradicoted to make the patient happy. If fin is not removed and destroyed, as well as pardoned and forgiven, the finner is but halffaved .: CHRIST THE SAVIOUR, and not CHRIST THE KING! Where has he fuch a revealed character as this? And yet, from the talk and writings of some men; and from the licentious spirit of the times, one is almost tempted to suspect wheThe prefent work stands clear of all this; and the doctrine it defends is made evidently appear to be a doctrine according to godlines; and to have no such loose and dangerous tendency; but, on the contrary, so to dilate and ennoble the hearts and minds of those who receive it as to promote and bring forward every holy, happy temper and practice, beyond any other view of the gospel whatever. In the third Dialogue

I have particularly laboured this.

Did I, like some, maintain that there was no future punishment at all, it might, indeed, create an alarm; but when I throughout the whole infift upon personal holinefs, and obedience to the law and gospel of Christ, from faith and love which is in him; and have proved, that a strain and force must be put upon the doctrine itself, if it be otherwise: the charge is frivolous and groundless, and the fear childish, to say no worse of it. Future and proportionate punishments there will be; 'every transgression and disobedience (unless men 'now repent and believe) shall hereaster receive a just recompense of reward.' The current doctrine of endless misery destroys this rule of equity and proportion; for though it contends for degrees of future punishment, yet it makes the duration the same, whether men fin more or less; and this has wrought unhappily upon some, who have, in consequence, sinned, as it were, with a cart-rope, and gone to the full length and stretch of iniquity. There is something in the idea of equity and justice that commends itself even to the hearts of the wicked and disobedient: with them punitive justice is approved, and has its happy effects; while a supposed VINDICTIVE SPIRIT in the Deity has only tended to petrify and harden them. In the scriptural character of Jehovah, Love is the first and leading attribute of his nature, and Mercy the last perfection he exercises; whereas, in man's description of him, as dealing with his rebellious creatures, stern Justice brings up the rear, and rejoices over Love and Mercy! The doctrine of endless misery is liable to this objection, how many soever may seem to lie against its being restrictive only.

But why publish at all such a doctrine as this? The question comes too late, as it is already known, and made public by authors of various names, in a more formal and explanatory way. Mine is properly a defence of it, through the supposed difficulties attending it——a Supplement to what others have but slightly and superficially touched upon. And this I have published

with various views.

1. That the doctrine itself might not suffer, and be thought ill of, from the salse and spurious mediums through which it has appeared, and for want of a more

full and proper vindication of it.

2. To remove, as far as may be, those bars and obfiructions that have, in some measure, blocked up the way, and shut up the minds of many, from so sull and fair an equiry into the gospel as it deserves, and as they might otherwise have given it.

3. To correct the acidity of Reprobarian Leaven, and to prevent (if possible) those despondencies and dejections of mind, which too frequently arise from a strong and secret suspicion, that Christ died only for the

fins of a certain few, excluding all the rest of mankind

from any faving benefit by his death.

4. To harmonize Scripture, and make it more confiftent with itself than it seems to have been yet made: also, to conciliate and coalesce contending parties; bringing them hereby nearer to one another in love and affection; and so to that unity, peace, and concord, so devoutly to be wished.

5. To undeceive and do justice to the English reader, who, when he takes up the inspired volume, is used to affix no other idea to the words eternal, everlasting, for ever, for ever AND ever, than a strictly endless duration, when either happiness or misery are

annexed to them.

6. To establish and confirm the faith of some, who have seen reason to believe this doctrine, and were simply coming into it; but finding sew, as yet, in the sull belief of it, and hearing the continual outcries of its adversaries, without being able, at first view, to answer them, they have got weak and wavering about it, and inclined to neglect it, for want of more and sufficient

cient evidence, as they suppose.

UNIVERSAL SALVATION, seen through these difficulties, is an antidote to all these evils; and it is easy to see, that if this be admitted as a Scripture-truth, they must at once fall to the ground. I would not knowingly deceive, nor be deceived, in a matter of such vast importance; nor yet could I wish mankind to be ignorant of it. I have, therefore, at the expense of character and popularity with the religious world—at the hazard of temporal interest and emolument, and refusing no other sacrifice, in what I apprehend to be the cause of God and Truth—come forth as a writing witness on this subject, and a defender of the faith which once I destroyed. It was with caution and reluctance I came into this view myself, so that I can bear with others.

If conviction operate on them as on me, we will agree to fay, The evidence and force of truth conftraineth us.

While I saw the provision and salvation of the gospel to be only partial, I so declared and delivered it; but now it appears universal must I be silent? 'He that hath my word, let him speak my word saithfully,' saith Jehovah by his prophet. Jer. xxiii. 28. 'What is the chaff to the wheat? saith the LORD.'

Partial redemption and falvation, under my present views, are the darkness and eclipse of the church of Christ; Universal Restoration (of all lapsed Intelligences, for no other I meddle with in this desence) the Sun of Righteousness, shining in his full strength, and

in all his ability to fave.

I have left no room for any to think, that the fufferings finners go through for their fins, expiate or make atonement for them, as the sufferings of Christ do; neither have I supposed they are altogether useless, or the endless consequence of their sad choice, and the wretched life they have led in this world, and incapable of being fanctified and over-ruled to their good. I have spoken, I apprehend, as the oracles of God have spoken on this head; and appeal to you as wife men, judge ye what I fay. Because a degenerate Church has made a wrong and corrupt use of this matter, is there not a right and proper use thereof? Confidering how she has corrupted most of the other truths and doctrines of the gospel, it is rather a presumptive argument that this is a truth of it, though strangely abused and perverted by her. Should I be mistaken in this particular, Restoration itself may be true. The blind, but spiritually-discerning poet could see this---

⁻ Man shall find grace,

⁶ And shall not grace find means?

If any think otherwise, and are disposed, in the spirit of Moses and of Christ, of meekness and love, to canvass the subject further, I have no objection to give them a fuller reason of the hope that is in me, concerning this. In the mean time, I am happy in finding some who have, in all ages, witneffed this good confession; the present is not without them. And fuch is my faith and hope, that when the age of ages shall take place, full and ocular demonstration will then be given, that none were left without remedy; nor any one of the now fallen intelligent creatures of God, but what shall then be raised up again ; --- not to fink into non-existence, or the gloomy vale of annihilation; much less to be endlessly miserable---but to shine in all the borrowed light and glory of their transcendent. Head and Restorer, Jesus Christ: and in one united chorus of praise and thanksgiving, to sing Hallelujah to God and the Lamb, without ceasing, and without end!

Blackman Street, Southwark, June 4, 1788.

EDITOR'S PREFACE.

TWO large Editions of this book have already been fold; and fince the prefent has been in the press, there has appeared another edition, executed, indeed, in an inferior ftyle, but calculated to make the Universal Doctrine still farther known. No anfwer has yet appeared in defence of the tremendous doctrine of Endless Misery. The abettors of that cruel fentiment have contented themselves with vociferating threatenings of never-ending damnation upon the Universalists, as Mr. Huntingdon and Mr. Thompfon; or with afferting and reafferting the doctrine of endless punishment, as Mr. Dan Taylor---to whom Mr. Winchester gave a very able answer in his Restitution of all Things defended. To the former gentlemen we have returned no answer, as their paucity of argument and virulence of language make them unworthy of notice.

This edition is printed on a type much smaller than the two sirst. The means and manner of Mr. Winchester's embracing the Universal Doctrine, which were prefixed to the second edition, are here omitted, as I have lately published a Sketch of his Life, in which that is included; but the Preface to the First Edition is retained, because it is a good introduction to the work itself, and because it contains good and weighty reasons why the full doctrine of divine love ought to be publicly made known.

This edition also is accompanied with a considerable number of notes, chiefly of a critical nature, and tending tending to corroborate the doctrine which Mr. Winchefter has delivered.

If I had known of any new objections to the Universal Doctrine which are not noticed in this work, I would have brought them forward, and either attempted to answer them, or have confessed my inability so to do; but as none have, to my knowledge, appeared, I may reasonably conclude, that the subject, on that fide of the question, is exhausted: for if the advocates of Endless Damnation had any thing more to offer in favour of their fentiment, I have no reason to believe they want zeal to bring it forward; much less have I reason to think that their present silence proceeds from a consciousness of the stability of their views; for many, very many, ferious Christians, otherwise of different fentiments, begin to doubt of the truth of the current opinion concerning future punishment; and the real character of the Deity begins to force its way upon the minds of men: and this state of things is no secret; the leading men, both among Calvinists and Arminians. know this fact, and are doing every thing which interest, connexion, favour, or frowns can do, to prevent the threatening evil. But yet I would shew to them a more excellent way---namely, to prove from Scripture, that the following work is not founded in truth: that would be the only effectual method to ftop the progress of the Universal Doctrine. There is no doubt but the gentlemen alluded to have ability fufficient for the work which I affign them; nothing, therefore, but the want of evidence on their fide, can, in my opinion, account for their filence; and I am apt to think, that most men will judge with me.

As for the loud outery, that the Universal Doctrine would lead men to immorality--it has greatly ceased

with those who have had the best opportunities of observing the effects which it has had upon the lives of the generality of its prosessors. No doubt but this, like all the other doctrines of grace, is capable of abuse; but that the idea of limited punishment—in exact proportion to deeds done—on the ground of mediation—fhould lead to immorality, is hard to prove, even in theory, and the sact is contradicted by experience.

The public have decidedly given their judgment of the doctrine contained in these Dialogues, by their continual call for them. I dare say somebody will gravely tell me, that the judgment of the public is of no value, while the judgment of the church is against it. An answer to this would lead us to enquire, who is the church of God? and is the judgment of the church infallible? But I avoid entering into fo wide a field. and only observe, that by the public I do not mean fuch men as walk according to the course of this world --- they care for none of thefe things; nor yet the fierce and fiery bigots of the different parties of Christians; but chiefly fuch men as are possessed of the spirit of the gospel, and who have long attended to the contentions of Christians, but, sick of inconsistency and strife, have filently withdrawn themselves from contention, and have been waiting in fecret for fome further difcovery of the deligns of God to men, in Christ Jesus, in order to harmonize the word of truth. A great many fuch there are among different denominations of Christians; and not a few, also, who do not rank with any denomination. By far the greater part of those who have embraced the Universal doctrine are persons of this character-and among fuch it is still making a rapid progress.

The fentiment of endless misery is losing ground very fast: not that every one who gives up this commences Universalist. Betwixt these the sentiment of Annihilation --- now called Destruction --- comes in: I look with pleasure upon its progress, because I consider it, in relation to the other two, just as I do the Lutheran notion of confubstantiation, in relation to transubstantiation and a simple remembrance of the death of Christ, in the Lord's supper. And I account for many good men embracing the doctrine of endless destruction, just as I do for many good men embracing confubstantiation, when they had discovered the error of transubftantiation---because the full light of truth is more than their vifual powers can at present support *. But transubstantiation, among protestants, has long been cast to the bats and the moles, and consubstantiation is hastening after it --- a similar fate, I apprehend, awaits the sentiments of endless misery and endless destruction.

W. VIDLER.



* See note on this subject p. 176.

CONTENTS.

DIALOGUE I.

	AGE
CCRIPTURAL meaning of the words ever,	
everlasting, evermore, eternal, &c	1
Criticism on aidio, aidios	3
Scriptural meaning of the phrase for ever and ever	9
Criticism on ess aswa asswor, eis aiona aionon	13
Meaning of dinvians, dienekes, used in the Epistle to	
the Hebrews	14
The same expressions of perpetuity are applied to	
the Mosaic law, as to the duration of future	1 8
punishment	16
The same word being applied to the happiness of	
the righteous as to the mifery of the wicked,	13
will not prove that awner, aionion, means	
endless	18
Criticism on aswr, aion, and its derivatives	25
Stronger expressions are used in Scripture to ex-	
press future happiness than future misery .	29
Reasons why the happiness of the righteous will	
he endles	30
Endless milery founded upon the heating of time	
of two eternal principles, good and et a	33
Certain rules for understanding the meaning of the.	3.0
word all interpreted as the second	37
The reading xuns Ones then There are 9.	
The reading xas Os, that The said at 9. justified	40
All things are now put under Christ, but shall be	13
put under him in a very different fense	42

DIALOGUE II.

	PAGE
The 'worm that dieth not, and the fire that is	
'not quenched,' explained by other Scrip-	-
tures	45
What the age of ages is	47
Many fires mentioned in Scripture, of which it	
was said they shall not be quenched, are now	
extinct	51
extinct , fires, whose smoke is said to ascend up for ever,	-
long ago extinct	53
long ago extinct Blasphemy against the Holy Ghost considered	56
The case of Esau considered The great gulph considered The great gulph considered	64
The great gulph confidered	65
Of Christ preaching to the spirits in prison	66
On his pheol and agns, hades	70
Christ went both to Paradise and Gehenna	ib.
Future judgment and punishment are mediatorial	- 100
works	76
works	78
That Judas did not hang himself	75
That Judas and not hang minien	81
DIALOGUE III.	
Diffico of III,	
The Universal Doctrine cleared from licenci-	
outhor	00
oufness confistent with Chrif-	90
tian experience	100
tian experience The harmles Tunkers in America are Univer-	105
folista	4 4 24
falishs. The strongest argument, as some have supposed,	115
in favour of and of any idea and	
in favour of endless punishment.	119
The doctrine of limited punishment more reason-	
able, equitable, and productive of better	
practical effects than the doctrine of endless	12.1
punishment . ,	120
	The

The Hairenfal De Orine abid a factor 1 1 C at	PAGE
The Universal Doctrine chiefly intended for the use of pious men	124
good men	127
DIALOGUE IV.	27
Christ's threatening to the Jews, John, vii. 34.	136
Sodom, Samaria, and Jerusalem are to be re- stored	138
Promises and threatenings must be referred to their proper time of accomplishment	146
Objection from Job, xxxvi. 18. confidered The hope of the hypocrite confidered	149 156
The effects of punishment when inflicted in dif-	
ferent degrees	161 175
Whether fin be an infinite evil	184

FAMILIAR DIALOGUES

BETWEEN

A MINISTER AND HIS FRIEND,

CONCERNING

THE DOCTRINE OF THE

RESTORATION OF ALL THINGS.

DIALOGUE I.

FRIEND. I HAVE taken the freedom to call upon you, to have a little discourse with you concerning the doctrine of the Restoration of all Things, which it is said you believe; and to propose some objections.

MINISTER. I am happy to fee you, and am willing to discourse upon any subject that may be agreeable; but I have always made it a rule never to press the belief of my sentiments upon my friends; and I can safely say, that, though such great pains have been taken by my adversaries to prejudice people against me, I have never gone about from house to house to propagate my opinions; and I make it an universal rule not to introduce the subject in conversation, unless desired; but yet I never have resused to own my sentiments, when asked, respecting the matter; and am ready, in the sear of God, to answer any objections that can be made.

made, to a doctrine which I believe is plainly revealed in the Scriptures of truth, and appears to me worthy of God.

FRIEND. I shall first of all bring to view that grand objection, which is formed from the word eternal or everlasting being applied to a future state of punishment; as in the following passages: Isaiah, xxxiii.14. 'The finners in Zion are afraid, searfulness hath surprised the hypocrites. Who among us shall dwell with the devouring fire? who among us shall dwell with everlasting* burnings?'

Dan. xii. 2. 'And many of them that fleep in the 'dust of the earth shall awake, some to everlasting life,

and fome to shame and everlasting contempt.

St. Matt. xviii. 8. 'Wherefore, if thine hand or thy foot offend thee (or cause thee to offend) cut them off, and cast them from thee; it is better so thee to enter into life halt or maimed, rather than having two hands, or two feet, to be cast into everlassing fire.'

St. Matt. xxv. 41. 'Then shall he say also unto them on the left hand, Depart from me, ye cursed, into everlasting sire, prepared for the devil and his angels.' Verte 46. 'These shall go away into everlasting punishment; but the righteous into life eternal, or everlasting,'---the same word in the original being used for both, though varied by the translators.

Grerror bath feized the hypocrites:

LOWTH.

The Bishop suprofes this text to be the language of the wicked Jews, while under fearful apprehensions of the desolation of their country by Senacherib. If this be the sense-and the context strongly pleads for it—then the words have no reference to surve runishment, and we have one pallage lest, in our translation, in which the word everlasting is connected with suture misery. The Hebrew word which is here rendered everlasting is to gnolam, and strictly implies hidden; and, when connected with time, it means a hidden (but not an elernal) duration.

^{* &#}x27; The finners in Zion are ftruck with dread;

Who among us can abide this confuming fire?Who among us can abide these continued burnings?

St. Mark, iii. 29. 'But he that shall blaspheme 'against the Holy Ghost, hath never forgiveness; but

'is in danger of eternal damnation.'

2 Thef. i. 7, 8, 9. 'The Lord Jefus shall be re'vealed from heaven with his mighty angels, in flam'ing fire, taking vengeance on them that know not
'God, and that obey not the gospel of our Lord Jesus
'Christ: who shall be punished with everlaying de'struction, from the presence of the Lord, and from

' the glory of his power.'

Jude, 6, 7. 'And the angels which kept not their 'first estate, but lest their own habitation, he hath referved in *everlasting* * chains under darkness, unto the 'judgment of the great day: even as Sodom and

* The Greek word aidios, occurs in the facred canon only in Jude, 6. and Rom. i. 20. -- It is also found in Wisdom, vii. 26. The lexicographers give the fense everlasting, eternal; but that this cannot be the fenfe in Jude feems clear, because these chains, however long the fallen angels may be held in them, will continue only till the judgment takes place. The word is also used in a - limited fense by other Greek writers; thus Thucydides, OSer aidion μισθοφοραν υπαρξειν, othen aidion milthophoran uparxein, 'from whence he expected a perpetual falary.' This only means a falary during his life. Thucydides, therefore, uses the word to express an unknown (though certainly a limited) period. I conceive aidio, aidios, to have the same etymology as aons, hades-from a, negative, and ideir, idein, to fee; and that therefore it fignifies unfeen or unknown: Rom. i. 20. where it is applied to the power of the Deity, it means unknown power, because we see or know only a very small part of God's power. In Jude it must be unknown chains, because we do not know the duration of that restraint under which fallen angels are, and will be held, before the awful judgment of the great day will commence. In the Wislom of Solomon, vii. 26. wisdom is spoken of as the brightness of the unknown light; or, as Paul fays, 'the light which no man can approach unto.' 1 Tim. vi. 16.

Some modern preachers and writers are so fond of eternal punishments for the wicked, that they have brought forward this word as containing certain proof of the point. It is to be hoped that in future they will be able to diffing the between unknown chains and everlajting chains. The somer is the seriptural expression, but the latter is not found in the sacred pages of the original text. The Syriac Testament reads, both in Romans and Jude, who good and and notice.

Gomorrah and the cities about them in like manner, giving themselves over unto fornication, and going

after strange flesh, are set forth for an example, suf-

fering the vengeance of eternal fire.'

These texts, all together, form such an objection to the doctrine of the Restoration, that I can by no means believe it, unless this can be fairly answered, and proofs brought from the Scriptures to shew, that the words everlasting and eternal, being connected with the future punishment of the wicked, do not necessarily imply endless duration.

MINISTER. I am glad that you have so fully stated the matter; and I highly commend your resolution not to believe the universal doctrine, unless this can be as fully answered, without perverting the Scriptures; and if I am not able, with God's assistance, to remove this difficulty, I will publicly recant my sentiments.

But, before I come to give a direct answer, I would beg leave to remark how very feldom this word is used to express the duration of punishment. We should think, by some sermons we hear, that everlasting is applied to mifery in every book of the New Testament, if not in every chapter. A friend of mine told me, that he was once preaching in Maryland, and after fermon a man came and asked him, of what denomination he was. To which he answered, 'A Baptist.' --- 'I think,' fays the man, ' that you do not preach ' up so much everlasting damnation as the Baptists and " Methodists among us do.' To which my friend replied --- ' Everlasting damnation is a Scripture doctrine.' --- 'True,' answered the man; 'but some preachers give us more of it in one fermon than is to be found ' in the whole Bible.' The truth of this remark will appear, if we consider that St. Luke never uses the word awnor, aionion, or everlasting, as connected with the misery of the wicked, in his gospel; nor St. Mark but once, and then in a particular case only: in the gospel of St. John it is not to be found at all, in that connection, nor in any of his epiftles: in the account of the preaching of the apostles through the world, in

the first age of Christianity, we do not find it mentioned in that light fo much as once; no, not in all the fermons, and parts of fermons, which St. Luke has preferved in the book of the Acts; though the doctrine of everlosing damnation is the substance of many modern discourses. St. Paul never mentions everlaping destruction but once, though his writings form fuch a confiderable part of the New Testament. Neither are such words found in the epiftle of St. James, or in those of St. Peter; and but three times in the gospel of St. Matthew; and only twice in all the Old Testament. But was the word awnor, aionion, applied to mifery but once in the whole Bible, it would deferve a ferious consideration; and unless the force of it can be removed by the authority of Scripture, it must remain an unanswerable objection. But I shall proceed to answer it by bringing an equal number of passages where the word everlassing is applied to things and times that have had, or mult have, an end: as in the following passages: Gen. xvii. 7, 8. ' And I will establish ' my covenant between me and thee, and thy feed after ' thee, in their generations, for an everlaping covenant, ' to be a God unto thee, and to thy feed after thee. ' And I will give unto thee, and to thy feed after thee, ' the land wherein thou art a stranger, all the land of 'Canaan, for an everlasting possession, and I will be their God.' Verse 13. 'He that is born in thy ' house, and bought with thy money, must needs be

' circumcifed: and my covenant shall be in your flesh

' for an everlasting covenant.'

Here note, that the land of Canaan is called an everlasting possession, and the covenant of circumcision in their flesh an everlasting covenant; though it is certain that the land of Canaan, as well as the other parts of the earth, must be dissolved or melted in the general conflagration; and circumcision is now declared null and void by the Holy spirit; and the ceremony cannot endure to endless ages.

Of the same kind are the following passages: Gen. xlviii. 3, 4. 'And Jacob faid unto Joseph, God Al-' mighty appeared to me at Luz, in the land of Canaan,

B 3 and and bleffed me, and faid unto me, Behold, I will make thee fruitful and multiply thee, and I will make of thee a multitude of people; and will give this land to thy feed after thee, for an everlafting poffeffion.' And in the bleffing of Joseph, he fays, 'The bleffings of thy father have prevailed above the bleffings of my progenitors, unto the utmost bound of the everlafting hills.' By which, I suppose, the hills of the land of Canaan were meant.

God faith to Moses, Exod. xl. 15. 'And thou shalt anoint them (Aaron's sons) as thou didst anoint their sather, that they may minister unto me in the priest's office: for their anointing shall surely be an everlasting priesthood, throughout their generations.' Lev. xvi. 34. 'And this shall be an everlasting statute unto you, to make an atonement for the children of Israel for

all their fins, once a year.'

The apostle declares, that these everlasting ordinances were only till the time of reformation, Heb. ix. 10. And this everlasting priesthood of Aaron's sons has ceased long ago: 'For the priesthood being changed, (by 'Christ) there is of necessity, a change also of the law: for he of whom these things are spoken, pertaineth to another tribe, of which no man gave attendance at the 'altar: for it is evident, that our Lord sprang out of ' Judah; of which tribe Moses spake nothing concerning priesthood. And it is yet far more evident; for that, after the fimilitude of Melchifedec, there arifeth another priest, who is made not after the law of a ' carnal commandment, but after the power of an end-' less life: for he testifieth, Thou art a priest for ever, ' after the order of Melchisedec: for there is verily a difannulling of the commandment going before, for ' the weakness and unprofitableness thereof.' Heb. vii. 12---18. The whole fum of the apostle's argument, in this epiftle, tends to prove, that the everlaping ordinance is now no more; and the everlasting priesthood of Aaron and his fons is now abolished.

Another passage where the word everlassing is evidently used in a limited sense is Numb. xxv. 12, 13. Wherefore say, Behold, I give unto him my covenant

of peace: and he shall have it, and his feed after him,

even the covenant of an everlasting priesthood.'

If the word everlasting intends endless duration, how should we be able to reconcile this promise with the total cessation of the Levitical priesthood? As for the samily of Phineas, with whom this covenant of an everlasting priesthood was made, it was entirely deprived of the benefit of the same within the space of sour hundred years: for when the sons of Eli transgressed the covenant, by profaning it, God sent him word, that, as they had broken it on their parts, it was entirely, and to all intents and purposes, dissolved. Read I Sam. ii. from the beginning of the 12th verse to the end of the 17th, and from the 27th verse to the end of the chapter: and also, chap. iii. 11, 12, 13, 14.

I will repeat verse 30 of the second chapter, in proof of my point. 'Wherefore JEHOVAH, God of Ifrael, faith, I faid, indeed, that thy house, and the house of thy father, should walk before me for ever; ' but now JEHOVAH saith, Be it far from me; for them ' that honour me, I will honour; and they that despise ' me, shall be lightly esteemed.' Hophni and Phineas were foon after flain in one day; and Saul, the King of Ifrael, fent Doeg the Edomite, who fell upon the priefts, and flew fourfcore and five persons who wore a linen ephod, in one day. 'And Nob, the city of ' the priefts, fmote he with the edge of the fword; both men, and women, and children, and fucklings, ' and oxen, and affes, and sheep, with the edge of the 'fword.' 1 Sam. xxii. 19. The whole house of Phineas feems to have been destroyed at this time, except Abiathar; and when Solomon came to the throne, he thrust him out from being priest, ' that he might fulfil the word of Jehovan, which he spake concerning ' the house of Eli, in Shiloh.' 1 Kings, ii. 27. From this time the house of Ithamar had the priesthood.

It is so evident that the word which is translated everlassing, cannot, in the nature of things, absolutely signify without end, that I should not think it worth while to quote any more passages in proof of its in-

tending

tending age or ages only, were it not constantly used as a great objection against the Universal Restoration: I thall therefore instance two or three more in particular, in this place, and refer to a great number of others of the fame kind, all tending to prove the fame thing. Hab. iii. 6. 'The everlasting mountains were scattered, 'the perpetual hills did bow.' The gospel is called the everlaging gospel, Rev. xiv. 6. yet it must cease to be preached, when it shall be needed no longer. Jonah faith, 'The earth with her bars was about me 'for ever; yet hast thou brought up my life from cor-ruption, O Jehovah, my God.' Jonah, ii. 6. But it would be the highest absurdity, upon the supposition that the word by legnolam, here rendered for ever, properly fignifies without end, for him to fay, that his life was brought up from corruption; and therefore we know that he could not use it in that sense, because, on the third day he was delivered from his dreadful prison. There is no doubt but the time that he was there, feemed an age; and while he was thus thut up, there was no intermission to the darkness and distress that overwhelmed him; and therefore he might fay, with propriety, that 'the earth with her bars was about him for ever,' (i. e. perpetually, without ceffation) during the period he remained in the fish's belly. But, as it would be a work of much time and labour to mention all the passages where the word translated for ever evidently intends only an age, or period, I shall just direct you to the following, which you may look over at your leifure:

Gen. xiii. 15. xliii. 9. xliv. 32.—Exod. xii. 14, 17, 24. xxi. 6. xxvii. 21. xxviii. 43. xxix. 9, 28. xxx. 21. xxxi. 16, 17. xxxii. 13.—Lev. iii. 17. vi. 13, 18, 20, 22. vii. 34, 36. x. 9, 15. xvi. 29, 31. xxiii. 14, 21, 31, 41. xxiv. 3. xxv. 30, 46.—Numb. x. 8. xv. 15. xviii. 8, 19. xix. 10.—Deut. iv. 40. xv. 17. xviii. 5. xxviii. 46.—Jothua, iv. 7. xiv. 9.—1 Sam. ii. 30. iii. 13. xxvii. 12. xxviii. 2,—1 Kings, xii. 7.—2 Kings, v. 27.

--- 2 Chron. x. 7.

Here are more than fifty passages where the word rendered for ever intends only age or ages; to which many more might be added.

Now, the rule for understanding words is this---What must be the meaning of the word in many places, and what may be the meaning in all, is its true sense.

FRIEND. Although the fingle word for ever, in these passages, seems evidently to intend certain unknown, limited periods, yet, what can you do with those texts that say, the milery of the wicked shall endure for ever and ever?

MINISTER. Indeed they are terrible threatenings; and,

no doubt, will be fully executed.

FRIEND. But do you imagine that fuch passages as the following can intend less than endless misery? Rev. xiv. 11. 'And the smoke of their torment ascendeth up for ever and ever; and they have no rest, day nor night, who worship the beast and his image, and who-soever receiveth the mark of his name.' Rev. xix. 3. 'And her smoke rose up for ever and ever.' Rev. xx. 10. 'And the devil, that deceived them, was cast into the lake of fire and brimstone, where the beast and the false prophet are, and shall be tormented, day and night, for ever and ever.'

MINISTER. I confess you have proposed a difficulty, that I should judge to be unanswerable, were it not for

the following confiderations:

1st, If for ever and ever is a longer time than for ever, which must be granted, then is there some proportion between them: thus, if for ever intends an age, period, or sometimes ages, for ever and ever may intend ages, an age of ages, or ages of ages: but any proportion at all between two periods, supposes both to have an end, or there could be no proportion.

2dly, I find a time promifed when 'there shall be' no more death, neither forrow nor crying; neither shall there be any more pain; for the former things are (or shall then be) passed away. And he that lat upon the throne said, Behold I make all things new.

'And he faid unto me, (John) Write, for these words are true and faithful.' Rev. xxi. 4, 5.

3dly, I think there is fufficient reason, from the words of St. Peter, in his fecond epiftle, third chapter, 5, 6, 7, 10, 11, 12 verses, to conclude, that as the earth was once overflowed with water, and became truly a lake of water, wherein the world of ungodly men perished, To, by the general conflagration, the same shall become literally the lake of fire and brimstone, in which the wicked shall be punished after the day of judgment: but I also think, that the 13th verse of the same chapter, compared with Rev. xxi. 1. Isaiah, lxv. 17. and lxvi. 22. more than intimates, that the new heaven and earth shall be created out of the substance of the old, diffolved by the fire: that the new earth shall no more have a fea therein, feems to imply, that in its former state it had a fea, or why this expression, 'And 'there was no more sea?' Now, if this hypothesis is right, the following will be the true state of the case. 212 ---

The lake of fire is expressly declared to be 'the fe-'cond death,' Rev. xx. 14. The earth, in its burnt, melted, and diffolved state, will be the general lake of fire and brimftone, according to the verses above cited from St. Peter. There will be a new heaven and a new earth, created out of the substance of the old, in which there will be no more fea, either of water or of liquid fire; confequently, the lake of fire, or fecond death, (which are declared to be fynonimous) must end, and of course the punishment of the second death

must then cease.

4thly, The smoke of their torment is to ascend up for ever and ever, and they are to be tormented day and night: but, as the smoke of the burning earth must cease, when its substance is entirely dissolved or melted, and all combustible bodies are confumed; and as it is intimated in Job, xxvi. 10. that day and night shall come to an end; and in Rev. xxi. 25. it is faid of the New Jerusalem, 'And the gates of it shall not be 6 fhut

'flut at all by day, for there shall be no night there.' For all these reasons I cannot be altogether persuaded, that their being tormented day and night, for ever and ever, during which time the smoke of their torment shall constantly ascend, is quite equal to endless misery; especially as there shall come a time when death shall be no more, fance shall be no more, forrow shall be no more, sincke shall probably ascend no more, and, peradventure, night shall be no more.*

5thly,

* That there will be a revolution of day and night in the ages fucceeding the general judgment, is, I think, very plain from Rev. xx. 10. where the devil, beaft, and false prophet are faid to be tormented day and night sig the always toy always, eis tous along ton alonion, to the age of ages. But is there not proof that night literally shall case? Let the following considerations be attended to.——

1. Does not darkness of every kind, whether spiritual or material, stand in opposition to God? 'God is light, and in him is no darkness at all.' I John, i. 5. Hence he is called 'the Father of 'lights.' James, i. 17. And hence also the first work of God upon the chaos was to dispel darkness and restore light. Gen. i. 2, 3. And for the same purpose does the spirit of God still work in opening men's eyes, and turning them from darkness to light; shining into their hearts to dispel their darkness, in opposition to the god of this age, whose work it is to blind their minds, and involve them in still greater darkness. The aposses, addressing those who were partakers of this enlightening spirit, says, 'Ye were 'sometimes darkness, but now are ye light in the Lord.'

2. In the present state, where neither absolute good nor absolute evil takes place without mixture, there is also a mixture of light

and darkness in the revolution of day and night.

3. But in the New Jerusalem state, where the servants of God are totally delivered from mental darkness, there will be continual light, to the total exclusion of darkness.-- there shall be no

' night there.' Rev. xxi. 25.

4. But in the future age of punishment there will be still the revolution of day and night—even to the age of ages; to intimate, perhaps that, however severely the wicked may be punished, yet it will by no means be inconsistent with mercy and love; but that the Divine spirit will continue to operate in reconciling sinners to God, calling them out of darkness into his marvellous light, until their darkness be expelled by his shining into their hearts; giving them the light of the knowledge of the glory of God in the face of Jesus Christ, who is the true light. And when all shall be silled with the essugent glory of him who is the image

5thly, But the great reason of all, why I do not conceive that for ever and ever doth certainly intend endless duration, is, because I find the words as often used for times and periods that must have an end, as you find them used for the misery of the wicked. You bring three passages, which are all that are to be found in the whole Bible, where they are used in relation to that subject; I shall now invalidate those evidences for endless damnation, by bringing an equal number of texts. where you will allow the words are used in a limited fense.

FRIEND. Is it possible that you can find any such

passages in the Bible? Pray shew them to me.

MINISTER. Isaiah, XXX. 8. 'Now go, write it before them in a table, and note it in a book, that it may be

' for the time to come, for ever and ever.'

See Jer. vii. 1---7. The 7th verse is, 'Then will I cause you to dwell in this place, in the land that I

' gave to your fathers, for ever and ever.'

Jer. xxv. 5. 'Turn ye again, now, every one from 'his evil way, and every one from the evil of your doings, and dwell in the land Jehovah hath given unto you, and to your fathers, for ever and ever.

These passages are as many, and as strongly expressed, as those which you brought to prove endless misery; and yet nothing can be more evident than that they cannot intend endless duration .-- Here these periods must be limited by the great conflagration; and thus (for ought that appears as yet) the mifery of the wicked may be limited, notwithstanding the use of those expressions to fet forth its dreadful continuance to unknown ages; at least, those words do not necessarily imply, that they shall never, as long as God lives, be delivered from their fins and confequent fufferings.

of the invisible God, and the brightness of his glory, then God kinnfelf, who is light, and in whom is no darkness at all, shall be all in all: then darkness, both spiritual and material, will be intirely destroyed, and universal light and love will eternally prevail throughout the immense dominions of Jehovah.

If we were always to read for over and ever ENDLESS, we should set the Scriptures at variance; and no criticism could ever reconcile them. Try, for instance, to reconcile Psalm cii. 25, 26. with Psalm cxlviii. 6. 'Of 'old hast thou laid the soundation of the earth, and 'the heavens are the work of thy hands. They shall 'perish, but thou shalt endure: yea, all of them shall 'wax old like a garment; as a vesture shalt thou 'change them, and they shall be changed.'---' He hath 'also established them for ever and ever: he hath made 'a decree which shall not pass.'

Now, if the words for ever and ever fignify without end, then there is a contradiction that cannot be reconciled; but only understand them ages of ages*, (as, indeed, they ought to be rendered) and the whole diffi-

culty vanishes at once.

* Ets awar awron, ets aiona atonon, which in our translation is rendered for over and ever, Rev. xiv. 11. The following note

on this phrase is quoted from Stonehouse, p. 194 .---

We find this remarkable expression in three places of the Revelations: chap. xix. 3. denounced upon the great whore; chap. 4xx. 10. denounced upon Satan, the bealt, and the fasse propher; and, in the text quoted, denounced upon the worshippers of the bealt. In the common editions of the Greek Testament the reading is, signes always that always, cis tous alongs ton alonon, to the alons of the alons to alonon, to the alons of the alons to full puted, because these passages are found in Andreas, Archb.shop of Cæsurea, as follows, viz. the former two, signessial always, cis alona alonon, to an alon of alons; the latter, signessial always, cis alona alonos, to the alonion alon, which are equivalent, according to Bengelius's computation of the word alon.

Andreas wrote upon the Revelations about the latter end of the fourth century, and doubtlefs both had and used the best copies of it. For this and other reasons, (see Bengelius) the collectors of the various readings of the New Testament freely present reading found in Andreas's copy to that of the usual editions of our Greek Testament, where doubtlefs we find in many places

the wrong-reading.

'According to this reading, the punishment of the wicked is not threatened to continue for the aion of aions; but set alwar atward, cis aiona aionon, and, which amounts to the same, sea war atward, est aiona aionos, to the aionion aion:' in plain English, to the age of ages.

Suppose a person should read Rev. xx. 11. and xxi. 1. 'And I faw a great white throne, and him that fat ' upon it, from whose face the earth and the heaven fled away; and there was found no place for them. ' And I faw a new heaven and a new earth; for the ' first heaven and the first earth were passed away; and 'there was no more fea;' and should then fay, These visions cannot be true, because Solomon hath said, 'One generation passeth away, and another cometh, 'but the earth abideth for ever,' Eccles. i. 4. And God ' laid the foundations of the earth, that it should not be removed for ever.' Pfalm civ. 5. 'The world 'also is established, that it cannot be moved,' Psalm xciii. 1. See alfo Pfalm lxxviii. 69. and xcvi. 10. What would you think of fuch reasoning? Just so weak must all reasoning against the Universal Restoration be from the words for ever, and for ever and ever, being applied to states of future misery, if God has promised to put an end to them all, by reconciling all things to himfelf, destroying fin, and introducing a new creation, and a flate of universal and permanent happiness: for, if such promifes really exist, and their existence can be demonstrated, all reasoning against them must be vain

FRILND. It is certain, that when the word for ever is applied to things of this life and world, it intends a period; but when applied to fpiritual matters, and things of another world, it must be endless, according to my judgment; and I am apt to think you will find it so too.

MINISTER. I am certain, that you will foon be convinced to the contrary. The apostle, speaking of Christ, says, 'But this man, after he had offered one 'facrifice for fins, for ever* fat down on the right hand 'oi

^{*} The Greek word in Heb. x. 12. is dinners, dieneles; it occurs only in the Epiffle to the Hebrews. chan. x. 1. v. i. 3. x 14. which places let the reader co fult. The word is derived from dia, dia, through, and refers, extensive, prolonged. It is twice rendered continually; yet evidently with a view to a certain period, as

of God; from henceforth, expecting till his enemies be made his footfool.' Heb. x. 12, 13. You will please to notice, that Christ's fitting down in the heavens, on the right hand of God, is not a circumstance belonging to this world, or the things of time; and he is to fit there for ever; and yet that period, which, according to your hypothesis, must be endless, is expressly limited by the facred writings: the heavens have received him 'until the times (seasons, or ages) of restitution of all things, (that is, till the beginning, and 'not the ending of those times) which God hath spoken 'of by the mouth of all his holy prophets since the world

in chap. x. 1. facrifices were offered continually during the Mofaic reconomy. Chap. vir. 3. Melchisedec is a priest continually. If Melchisedec be Christ, as I suppose, then his continual priesthood can be of no longer duration than the mediatorial age; at the couclifion of which the great work of the reconciliation of all things being finished there can no longer be any exercise of his priethnod; fee Pfalm ex. 4. which Montanus literally translates, tu sacerdos in feculum super verbum meum, Melchisedec, 'thou art a priest to the age upon my word, O Melchisedec.' Chap. x. 12. 'He for ever, or continually, fat down on the right hand of God.' Sitting at the right hand of God denotes a state, rather than a place, and is expreilive of the power of Christ during his mediation, whether he be in keaven or on earth; but when he shall have finished his whole work, by fubduing all things then cometh the end, when he shall deliver up the kingdom to God, even the Father. 1 Cor. xv. 24---28. So that his fitting at the right hand of God is for a period, though the longest of all periods. Chap. x. 14. ' By one offering he hath perfected for ever (continually) them that are fanctified. -- Even here dinvence, dienekes, must mean that period which is bounded by the reign of Christ, for revelation does not extend beyond that; and what will take place afterwards, we are no where told, except that ' there shall be no more curse, and that the Father " shall be all in all."

As the number of Scriptures which are translated for ever, everlasting, &c. is very great, it is probable that Mr. Winchester did not consult them all in the original; for he seems to have reasoned on Heb. x. 12. as though the Greek had been asw, a shough. A little inaccuracy seems also to have slipt hum here, as though Christ's sitting on the right hand of God was descriptive of place rather than slate. This by no means affects the general argument; yet Mr. Marsom has assumed great importance from the discovery.

See his book on Destruction, vol. ii. p. 14.

began.' Acts, iii. 21. And the whole New Testament teacheth us to wait for the coming of Jesus from heaven; (1 Thes. i. 10.) which would be highly absurd, upon the supposition that he is always to abide there; which yet he must, if the word for ever, as applied to things of another state, intends endless duration.

FRIEND. I confess I never observed this before: but do you know of any passage in the New Testament, where the words for ever and ever certainly intend limited duration? For I observed, that all the instances you brought were from the Old Testament.

MINISTER. Yes; Heb. i. 8. 'But unto the fon he 'faith, Thy throne, (in diffunction from the throne of the Father) O God, is for ever and ever;' yet we read (1 Cor. xv. 24, 28.) of the end, when he shall have 'delivered up the kingdom to God, even the Father; when he shall have put down all rule, and all 'authority and power: then shall the son also himself' be subject unto him that put all things under him, that

' God may be all in all.'

Friend. But when Chrift threatened finners with everlasting fire, everlasting punishment, and eternal damnation, did not his expressions naturally convey the idea of endless misery? And may not the son of God be accused of duplicity and deceit, if he did not mean to denounce punishment without end? And therefore, if we believe his words to be true, as most certainly they are, we must reject the doctrine of the Restoration, which puts an end to a state which is called everlasting by the mouth of truth itself.—Are you able to answer this sairly?

MINISTER. If I am not able to answer this objection, which you have stated in the strongest manner, I assure you I will consess myself in an error, and shall thank you, as an instrument, for bringing me to know it. The same objection that you make against the Restoration, the Jews make against Christ and his religion; for they argue thus: 'God is an unchangeable being, 'and he declared, in the most solemn manner, that the 'ordinances of the Levitical dispensation should be every lasting, and the anointing of Aaron's sons should be an 'everlasting.

'everlassing priesthood throughout their generations; (see Exod. xl. 15. and Lev. xvi. 34.) and therefore we must reject the Messiah of the Christians, as an impositor; inasmuch as he pretends to abolish those statutes which God hath called everlassing, and to set himself up as a priest, contrary to the express promise of the LORD, who cannot lye, nor repent that Aaron and his sons should have an everlassing priesthood; and therefore, if this is the true Messiah, God meant to deceive us when he promised these everlassing blessings and privileges, which we must suppose were only for a time, if Christianity be true; therefore we reject it, as being inconsistent with the promises of God*.'

It is evident, from this view of the matter, that the Jews reject Christ and his religion upon as good ground as you reject the Universal Restoration, and perhaps better; for you have nothing to plead against the Reftoration but some threatenings of punishments, which are called everlasting, or eternal, in our translation; but they plead express promises of the everlasting continuance of their church-state and worship, in opposition to Christianity. But if it be true, that both the Hebrew and Greek words, which our translators have rendered by the English word everlasting, do not intend endless duration, but a hidden period or periods, then the ground is changed at once, and the Jews have no right to object against Christianity, because God promised a continuance of their temple worship for a certain age, or hidden period -- nor the Christians to reject the Universal Restoration, because God hath threatened the rebellious with fuch dreadful punishments, which shall endure through periods, expressed in the same terms.

^{*} That the Jews did reason thus against Christianity is more than probable, from the accusation brought against Stephen, which runs thus: (We have heard him say, that this Jesus of Nazareth shall destroy this place, and shall change the cystoms which Moses delivered us. Acts, vi. 14. The Jews thought their law would never be changed, for the same words which described its duration, were used also in relation to the being of God.

It is indeed confessed by some of the most learned lews. that they have no word in their language which abfolutely fignifies endless duration; and therefore they can only argue the endless continuance of any thing from its nature, and not merely from the words rendered for ever, or everlasting: And if this is the truth of the cafe, (as who can deny it?) then neither did JEHOVAH speak to deceive the children of Israel, when he promifed them bleflings of fuch long continuance, which have ended long ago, and which are never to be reftored by virtue of that covenant which he made with their fathers when he brought them out of Egypt, but by the new covenant, which he will make with them, when he shall return them to their own land; nor did the fon of God speak to deceive when he threatened the wicked with those punishments, which shall not end till they have answered the purposes for which it seems reasonable to believe they shall be inflicted, viz. to bring them down, and humble their proud and stubborn hearts; which shall be done during the periods of his kingdom, before he shall have delivered it up to the Father, that God may be ALL IN ALL.

FRIEND. But if I should grant that the word arwhov, aionion, doth not, even in the New Testament, always signify endless duration, yet what would you gain by it, since it plain that Christ hath set the happiness of the righteous and the misery of the wicked one against the other, and hath expressed the continuance of both by the same word aware, aionion, in St. Matt. xxv. 46.? Here the punishment of the wicked, and the life of the righteous are both declared to be aware, aionion, or eternal, without distinction: now, can you show me any passage of Scripture where the same word is applied to two different things, whose existence is not the same, or the time of their continuance not

alike?

MINISTER. Fairly stated! And if it be not as fairly answered, it shall be looked upon as an insuperable difficulty. But happily there is a passage, in Hab. iii.

6. where the same word is used for very different things:

things: 'He flood, and measured the earth; he be-' held and drove afunder the nations; and the ever-· latting mountains were fcattered, the perpetual hills ' did bow: his ways are everlaging.' In our translation the mountains, and the ways of God, are called everlasting, and the hills perpetual; but in the original, the word ar gnad is applied to the mountains, and the word = y gnolam to the hills, and the ways of God. But whether we argue from the original, or from the translation, it makes no difference: the question is, Are the mountains or the hills eternal in the same sense in which the ways of God are? If fo, the earth must have existed coeval with the ways of JEHOVAH, and the hills and mountains must never be removed while his ways endure; and, as his ways can never be deftroyed, the absolute eternity, not of the earth only, but of its present form, its mountains and hills, must be inferred, contrary to Ifaiah, xl. 4. xliv. 10 .-- Ezek. xxxviii. 20 .--2 Pet. iii. 7, 10, 11, 12.—Rev. xvi. 20. xx. 11. Nay, even in this very text, the ways of God are spoken of as being of a different nature from the mountains, which were scattered, and the hills, which did bow.

Thus, no folid argument can be drawn from the application of the fame word to different things to prove that they shall be equal in their continuance, unless their

nature be the fame.

Thus, in the Greek New Testament, in Rom. xvi. 25. we read of the mystery which hath been kept secret, from xcovois aiwviois, chronois aioniois, and, in the 26th verse, we find, that it is now made known by the commandment TE aways Ose, tou gioniou Theou. But must it be argued, that, because awnors, aioniois, is applied to times, and aravior, aionion, to God, therefore times are as ancient as [EHOVAH, and must continue while he exifts? The abfurdity of this is too glaring. Our translators have rendered xeorois aiwnois, chronois aioniois, ' fince ' the world began,' instead of 'eternal times;' and have thereby shewn their judgment to be, that words cannot change the fubjects to which they are applied, but

the meaning of the words must be determined by the

nature of the subjects.

In Jer. xxviii. 8. the word by legnolam is used in the Hebrew; but the translators did not think themselves obliged to render it 'from everlasting,' or 'from 'eternity;' as it would have been highly abfurd to have read 'eternity prophets,' or 'prophets which were from 'eternity;' and therefore have rendered it 'of old,' though it is a stronger word than y gnad, which they have translated 'eternity' in Isaiah lyii. 15.

Many other instances of the like nature might be brought; but these are fully sufficient to convince any unprejudiced mind, that nothing can be concluded in favour of endless punishment, from the word aware, aionion, being used to set forth the duration of it, as well as the duration of that life which our Saviour promises

to the righteous.

But upon the supposition that our Saviour intends no more by the 'life eternal,' in the 46th verse of the xxvth of St. Matthew's gospel, than he doth in the 34th verse, by the 'kingdom prepared from the foun-'dation of the world,' (which it would be hard to prove) then an answer might be given, without all this labour, in this manner, viz. that as the Father hath appointed Christ a kingdom, so he hath also appointed his faints a kingdom; (fee St. Luke, xxii. 29, 30. Rev. ii. 26, 27. iii. 21.) but as the kingdom which the Father hath given to Christ, as Mediator and as Judge, shall end, when he shall have subdued all things, and put down all rule, and authority, and power; (fee 1 Cor. xv. 24, 25, 26, 27, 28.) fo, of confequence, that kingdom, which is given to the faints or overcomers to fubdue the nations, shall also end, when they shall be all fubdued, and brought to fubmit. But as the glory of Christ shall not be lessened but increased, when God shall be ALL in ALL, so the happiness of the saints shall be so far from ending, or being diminished at that period, that it shall then arrive at the summit of perfection; but shall never close nor decrease while IEHOVAH endures.

Some

Some time ago, a woman came to hear me, and I happened to mention in my fermon, that Christ's mediatorial kingdom was called everlafting, or awww, aionion, but that it must come to an end when the kingdom should be delivered up to the Father, when he should have put down all rule, and all authority, and power. After fermon the was asked how the liked; the anfwered, 'Not at all: he fays, The everlasting kingdom of Christ shall end; and I never heard of such a ' thing before in all my life; and I am fure it must be ' contrary to Scripture.' The person who asked her told her that there was fuch a text fornewhere, she could not tell exactly where to find it. But the woman perfifted in it that there was no fuch text, and went away full of prejudice. Now had this paffage of Scripture been in the book of the Revelations, it would not have been fo much to be wondered at that she had never heard of it; but when we consider, that this is expressed in that part of the xyth chapter of St. Paul's first epistle to the Corinthians, which is in the burial service, what shall we say?

Thus, if Chris's kingdom shall end, much more Satan's! If rewards, as such, shall cease, how much more punishments! If the everlasting kingdom of the saints, which they shall possess for ever and ever, (see Dan. vii. 18, 27.) shall end, or be swallowed up in that kingdom of boundless love, where God shall be ALL IN ALL, how much more shall all sin, pain, forrow, and death cease, and have no more a name in God's

creation!

FRIEND. But supposing the doctrine of endless misery to be a truth, how would you expect to find it ex-

preffed in the Bible?

MINISTER. I should have a right to expect, in the first place, that there would be no promises in the Scripture to the contrary; no such passages as these--For I have sworn by myself, the word is gone out of my mouth in righteousness, and shall not return, that unto me every knee shall bow, and every tongue shall swear.' Isaiah, xlv. 23. Mind well, EVERY

TONGUE SHALL SWEAR --- Swearing allegiance, as every civilian will tell you, implies pardon, reception, and protection on the part of the king, and a hearty renouncing of rebellion, true subjection, and willing obedience on the part of the rebels. Kings of the earth may be deceived, but God cannot; he will never accept of any feigned subjection; and therefore all that fwear shall swear in truth and righteousness; so shall rebel-

lion cease, and disobedience be no more.

The apostle St. Paul seems to quote this passage of Scripture with fome variation in his epistle to the Philippians, chap. ii. 9, 10, 11. where, speaking of the sufferings of Christ, and the consequences of the same, he fays, 'Wherefore God also hath highly exalted him, 'and given him a name which is above every name; that at (or in) the name of Jesus every knee shall bow, of things in heaven, and things in earth, and things under the earth; and that every tongue should confess, that Jesus Christ is Lord, to the glory of God 'the Father.' Now this confession appears to me to imply a willing Subjection to the authority of the Saviour, brought about by the operation of the bleffed Spirit; for the same apostle saith, 'Wherefore, I give you to understand, that no man, speaking by the Spirit of God, calleth Jesus accurfed; and that no man can ' fay, that Jesus is the Lord, but by the Holy Ghost.' 1 Cor. xii. 3.

Then the argument, thrown into a fyllogistical form,

will run thus:

If every knee shall bow, of things in heaven, and things in earth, and things under the earth, then shall

all rebellion cease.

But the first is true, therefore also the last. If every tongue shall consess, that Jesus Christ is Lord, to the glory of God the Father, and no man can say, that Jesus is the Lord, but by the Holy Ghost, then shall the Holy Ghost work effectually in every man. As the major is proved by Phil. ii. 11. and the minor by 1 Cor. xii. 3. the conclusion must be evident to a demonttration.

FRIEND.

FRIEND. I acknowledge, that, in the present flate, no man can fay, that Jesus is the Lord, but by the Holy Ghost; but when they shall stand before his bar, they thall confess him Lord, to the glory of God the Fa-

ther, by force.

MINISTER. But St. Paul speaks generally, 'that no ' man can fay that Jesus is the Lord, but by the Holy 'Ghost:' he does not mention time or place, but reprefents the matter impossible; belides, every expression here used implies a willing, and not a forced subjection; as bowing in the name of Jesus, and confessing him to be Lord of all to the glory of God the Father.

FRIEND. But we are fometimes told, that God is as much glorified by the eternal damnation of fome, as by

the eternal falvation of others.

MINISTER. I have indeed, heard fome affert the fame: but as the glory of God is the ultimate end of all that he doth, we may properly ask, why he should take any

pains to fave mankind?

But, above all, there is one objection that may be brought against this idea, which is hard to answer; and that is, God hath faid, 'For I have no pleafure ' in the death of him that dieth, faith the Lord Gop. 'Say unto them, As I live, faith the Lord Gon, I have. ' no pleasure in the death of the wicked; but that the wicked turn from his way, and live. Turn ye, turn 'ye, from your evil ways; for why will ye die, O-'house of Israel?' Ezek, xviii, 23, xxxiii, 1!. It is evident to me, that God must take pleasure in what glorifies his name; and as he hath fworn, that he takes no pleasure at all in the death of the wicked, it must be set down for a truth, that punishment, without having the reformation and subjection of rebels for its end, is unworthy of the Being we adore; and even now it is called ' his firange work,' and ' his strange 'act. But to proceed: if endless misery were a truth, I should not expect that the mystery of the will of God, which he hath made known unto his chosen, according to his good pleasure, which he hath purposed in himself, would be, 'That, in the dispensation of

' the fulness of times, he might gather together in one, ' or rehead) all things in Christ, both which are in ' heaven, and which are in earth.' Ephef. i. 9, 10. Far less should I expect to find, that 'It pleased the 'Father that in him should all fulness dwell; and, ' having made peace by the blood of his cross, by him ' to reconcile all things to himself; by him, I say, whe-'ther they be things in earth or things in heaven.' Col. i. 19, 20. And I am not able to imagine how St. John's vision (Rev. v. 13.) could be just, if endless damnation is true, where he fays, ' And every creature who is in heaven, and on the earth, and under ' the earth, and fuch as are in the fea, and all that are 'in them, heard I, faying, Bleffing, and honour, and 'glory, and power be unto him that fitteth upon the 'throne, and unto the Lamb, for ever and ever.' In the nature of things, it appears impossible to me to believe these passages to be strictly and literally true, if endless misery be a truth: therefore I say, that I should not expect any intimations, far less absolute promises, that God would destroy death, the works of the devil, and make all things new, with many others of the like nature.

We find it promifed, that every knee shall bow: and lest some might say, that every knee meant only some knees, it is explained, by the inspired apostle, to mean all things in heaven, and in earth, and under the earth: and not only so, but every tongue shall swear, and confess, that Jesus Christ is Lord to the glory of God the Father; which could not be, except all were reconciled to him, whether things in heaven or things in earth; wherefore this is also promifed; and, in consequence of their being subdued, humbled, made obedient, and reconciled, they shall be reheaded in Christ, never more to go astray, nor break that band of eternal union, which shall bind all together in one body, joined to one head; and all shall give never-ceasing praise to God and the Lamb, world without end.

As endless damnation appears to me to be against the promises, I cannot hold it as an article of my taith;

but were there no promifes or intimations to the contrary in Scripture, I should not require it to be threatened in any stronger terms than it is; I should believe it as a truth, though I might not be able, at prefent, to fee the propriety and equity thereof; I should never suffer my weak reason to gainfay Divine Revelation. But my difficulty arifes from these express promises of God, which compose so great a part of that book which is given us as a rule of faith and practice; and which promifes expreslly affert a future state of things beyond fin, forrow, pain, and death of every kind; when all things shall be made new; and death, the last enemy of God, Chrift, and man, shall be destroyed, swallowed up in victory; and fin, which is its fling, shall be no more in existence; and tears shall be wiped away from all faces.

But, though I have acknowledged that I should not dare to dispute the doctrine of endless damnation, unless God had given intimations, and even promises, to the contrary, since I find several dreadful threatenings in Scripture, in which the word assume *, aionion, or everlast-

110

* The Greek word asset, aion, and its derivatives are so rendered in our English version as to make the subject of suture punishment obscure to an English reader; this note is intended to ascertain their meaning.—

Leigh, Kircher, Parkhurst, Schrevelius, and others, render acov, aion, by eternity, and acovide, aionios, say they, is eternal, everlasting. But I appeal from these great authorities to the common sense of mankind, and affirm, that acov, aion, does not mean eternity, nor acovide, aionios, eternal or everlasting, for which I assign the follow-

ing reafons-

I. The above lexicographers allow, that aiv, aion, is taken for an hundred years—for the duration of a man's iffe, which is about feventy years—for any measurement of time, especially if it be of long duration, or if its termination be hidden—yea, for a year. It is conceived, that these concessions (which they were constrained to make, from the common use of the word in the Scriptures and in Greek writers) greatly weaken the force of their affertion, that 'aiwn, aion, means elemity' seeing it often is taken for a limited period, and sometimes even for a very short one too

II. That the writers of the New Testament do not use the word

6 cording

ing, is joined with the punishment of the wicked; yet a very little attention will hew us, that the felicity of

are different aions spoken of, and one aion is represented as succeeding another. The children of this aion are wiser in their generation than the children of light. Luke, xvi. 8. The children of this aion marry and are given in marriage? Luke, xx. 34. The phrase this aion occurs two and twenty times in the New Teltament, and wherever we meet with it, it necessarily stands opposed to some other aion; and therefore we read of this aion, and also also that which is to come. Ephel. 1. 20. Mat. xii. 32.—
That aion, and the resurrection of the dead. Luke, xx. 35 Now we cannot possibly understand these Scriptures as meaning this eternity, and that eternity; for eternity can be but one, and implies an unity of consistence and simple continuance: I would therefore tender aion, sion, by age, and its plural by ages. It is presumed this would give a just and consistent sense to the word in every place where it is found.

HI. Our English translation, indeed, generally renders area, aion, by world, and the plural world; by this, the glaring absurdity of making our Lord and his apostles talk of cternitics is avoided; but at the same time a deception is passed upon the English reader, who does not know that when he reads of this world and the world.

to come, it ought to be this age and the age to come.

It is not a little fingular that when awy aion, occurs as a noun, it should be so frequently rendered world; and when as an adjective the sense should be eternal, everlasting, ever, &c. yet so our translation runs. The impropriety of this will appear, if we take fome of the passages, and enquire whether world and its relatives, will do as a translation of a, wv. aion, and its derivatives. Mat. vi 13. Thine is the kingdom, and the power, and the glory (sig Tus always, eis tous aionas) to the worlds. John, vi. 51. He that eateth of this bread shall live (eig rov aiwva, eis ton aiona) to the world. Chap. xii. 26. 'He shall not die (eig 70v aiwa, eis ton aiona) to the " world.' Kev. xiv. 11. 'The smoke of their torment ascendeth up (eig alwas alwow, eis aionas aionon) to a world of worlds.' These texts, to which many more might be added, are sufficient to shew, that world is not a proper translation of alw, aion, became in many places it cannot possibly bear that sense; but it may be rendered ege every where.

the righteous is promifed in much stronger language than the misery of the wicked is threatened in the Scriptures.

I remark,

coording to the commandment (τε αι ανίε Θεε, tou aioniou Theou)
of the worldly God. Heb. ix. 14. Christ, who (δια ανευματωanswer, dia preumatos aioniou) through the worldly spirit offered himtelf to God.

IV. From the above remarks it is evident, that neither eternity nor world are a proper translation of ataw, aion; let us now enquire whether eternal, everluiting, for ever, are proper renderings for an-

www, aionion, &c.

1. It is a rule that no grammarian will dispute, that no adjective can have greater force than the substantive from which it is derived; thus black cannot mean more than blackness, white cannot mean more than whiteness, so neither can at words, alminon, mean more than whiteness, so neither can at words, alminon, mean more than a wy, aim. The palpable departure from this rule in our translation of the Scriptures must be ascribed to system, which often has an unhappy influence even upon the minds of men who are otherwise learned, prudent, and good.

2. As attawoon, aionion, is derived from attay, aion, and has relation to it as an adjective to its substantive, it can only describe the duration of something relating to that particular aion or age spoken

of, and with which it is connected.

That this is the meaning of a onion in the Septuagint is very certain, for we there read of the aionion covenant of circumcision. Gen. xvii. 13 .-- The aionion covenant of priesthood, Numb. xxv. 13 .-- The aionion statute of the day of atonement, Lev. xvi. 34. &c. &c. It would be an affront to Scripture and common fense both, to ascribe eternity to these things merely because they are said to be of aionion duration; for it is certain that the aion or age to which all these things related, is expired and gone -- the aionian covenants and flatutes, &c. waxed old, and have long ago vanished away, Heb. viii. 13 .-- also, the aionion hills, Gen. xlix. 26. will melt and be diffelved, when the aion or age of the world shall end, and the earth, and all that is therein, shall be burnt up, 2 Pet, iii, 10, 11. The proper idea of aionion feems therefore to be age-lasting; as the agelasting covenants above mentioned, which continued during the Jew th age--- the age-lasting hills, which shall continue during the age of the world-the EvapyExion aiwvior, evangelion aionion, agelasting gospel, which shall continue to be preached during all the ages of the mediator's reign, till the restitution of all things be accomplished --- the misupar account, pneumatos cioniou, i. e. the fairit who has prefided over the church in all ages, and will continue fo to do till, by his influence, in the fulness of the agesto come, all things will be quickened -- ALDVIN DER, aioniou Theou, i. e. the

I remark, in the first place, that the word anamon, air anion, rendered everlasting or eternal, is used much oftener in St. John's gospel alone, to express the continuance of the life, or well-being of the righteous, than it is used in the whole Bible to express the misery of the wicked; and this remark is strengthened by observing, that he never once uses the word in his whole gospel, nor in his epistles, to set forth the duration of punishment. See St. John, iii. 15, 16, 36. iv. 14. v. 24. vi. 27, 40, 47, 54, 68. x. 28. xii. 25, 50. xvii. 2, 3. in all which passages the word anamon, is used to ex-

God that reigns through all the ages, whether past, present, or to come; or, as St. Paul expresses it, Bacines was always, bastles ton aionons, the king of the ages, I Tim. i. 17. As for the eternity of God, we

learn it from the necessity of his existence.

3. That the word aion and its derivatives, even when applied to God, do not convey the idea of eternal duration, may be still more clearly feen by observing that we read of a time before the aions began, men Twy alway, pro ton aionon, I Cor. ii. 7 .-- and aife of the end of the aions, suvrehera row arwww, Synteleia ton aionon, Heb. ix. 26. I ask, Can that word which admits of the existence of time before the period which it describes began, be expressive of eternity rail? Can that word which admits of an end to the duration of the period which it describes, be expressive of eternity to come? If not, how then do the oppofers of the Universal doctrine maintain the endless duration of future punishment, merely because it is a few times said to be aionion in the Scriptures? As for the objection taken from Mat. xxv. 46. where the happiness of the righteous is called aionion, and therefore the word must mean erdless; it ought to be observed, that the life there mentioned is that of the kingdom of Christ, which kingdom will come to an end, when he shall have put down all rule, authority, and power, and have subjected all things to himself; then he shall deliver up the kingdom to God even the Father, that God may be all in all. 1 Cor. xv. 24-28. The happiness of the righteous will then no longer exist in the kingdom state, but in a more glorious state and degree, under the fole and immediate dominion of the Father.

On the whole, it follows, that aionion, as connected with future pun fliment, does not imply eternity of duration, but that there is an aion or age appointed for the pun fliment of the wicked, and therefore their punishment is called aionion or age-lafting; and when that age expires, the punishment of it will cease, and the times of the reftitution of all things will take place, which God hath spoken

by all his holy prophets: Acts, iii. 21.

press the continuance of the well-being of the righte-

But not to infift on this, I observe, that there are many stronger expressions (even in our translation) to set forth the well-being of the righteous, than any that are used as connected with the misery of the wicked. Isaiah, xlv. 17. we read, 'Israel shall be saved in Jn- 'HOVAH with an everlassing salvation; ye shall not be assumed nor consounded world without end.' But where do we read, that the misery of the wicked shall have no end? The word endless, or world without end, is never once used by our translators to express the eter-

nity of punishment, in the whole Bible.

We read in 1 Pet. i. 4. of 'an inheritance, incor-" ruptible and undefiled, and that fadeth not away, re-' ferved in heaven:' and in chap. v. 4. of a crown of 'glory that fadeth not away;' and Heb. xii. 7. of 'a kingdom which cannot be moved.' And our bleffed Saviour's words are remarkably ftrong upon this fubject in many places; as, in St. Luke's gofpel, chap. xx. 35, 36. where he fays, 'But they who shall be accounted worthy to obtain that world, and the (first) resurrection from the dead, 'neither marry, nor are given in marriage; neither can they die any more; for they are equal unto the angels; and are the children of God, being the chil-'dren of the refurrection:' and in St. John, x. 27, 28, 29. we read thus: 'My sheep hear my voice, and I know them, and they follow me; and I give unto them eternal life; and they shall never perish, neither ' shall any pluck them out of my hand. My Father, ' who gave them me, is greater than all; and none is 'able to pluck them out of my Father's hand.' In chap. xi. 25, 26. Christ fays, I am the resurrection and the life; he that believeth in me, though he were dead, yet shall he live: and whosoever liveth and be-'lieveth in me, shall never die.' And in chap. vi. 50. he fays, 'This is the bread that cometh down from heaven, that a man may eat thereof, and not die.'---And he expresses the perpetuity of heavenly bliss, and of our enjoyment of the same, by advising us, saving, D 3

civ. 33.

'Lav up for yourselves treasures in heaven, where e neither moth nor ruft doth corrupt, and where thieves ' do not break through nor fteal.' --- 'Fear not, little 'flock, for it is your Father's good pleafure to give you the kingdom. Sell that ye have, and give alms: provide yourselves bags which wax not old, a treafure in the heavens that faileth not; where no thief 'approacheth, neither moth corrupteth.' St. Matth. vi. 20. and St. Luke, xii. 32, 33. This is that which St. Paul calleth 'a better and an enduring substance,' Heb. x. 34. But what shall I fay of the apostle's words, 2 Cor. iv. 17? 'For our light affliction, which ' is but for a moment, worketh for us, xa9' unegoodnu eig · υπερδολην, αιωνιου βαρ δοξης καλεργαζελαι ημιν, kath hyperbolen eis hyperbolen, aionion baros doxes katergazetai emin, a glory exceeding aranon, aionion, or eternal, to an excess. Here is an hyperbole upon an hyperbole; beyond eternal; a far more exceeding eternal weight of glory.

But it is not fo much by the different words made use of to denote the permanency of the felicity of the righteous, from those which are used to express the duration of the mifery of the wicked, that I judge of the continuance of the one beyond the other, fo much as from the different fources from whence they flow, and of

their different natures.

The happiness of those who are reconciled to God prifes from their union to Christ; in which if they continue grounded and fettled during this prefent life, wherein they pass through so many fore trials, the union will become to permanent, as that it will be impossible to diffolve it; and the very nature of things shews, that if we abide firm to the end, through all difficulties, and overcome all those things that would feek to separate us from Christ, when we come into that state where we shall meet with no more temptations, nor any thing that hath the least tendency to draw our minds from God, we must, of consequence, remain attached or united to him while we have an existence. doctrine was known to David, and therefore he faid, While I live will I praise JEHOVAH; I will sing praises unto my God while I have any being.' Pfalm cxlvi. 2.

civ. 33. It may be proved, that the union shall continue between Christ and his faithful ones after this life, and shall become indissoluble; and that neither tribulation, nor differs, nor perfecution, nor famine, nor nakedness, nor peril, nor fword; neither death, nor life, nor angels, nor principal ties, nor powers, nor things present, nor things to come, nor height, nor depth, nor any other creature, shall be able to feparate us (who abide in him) from the love of God which is in Christ Jesus our Lord.' See Rom. viii. 35---39. See also St. John, xv. 4, 5, 7, 9, 10.---1 John, ii. 24---23.

The never-ending continuance of the life or state of well-being of the righteous may be certainly inferred, with the greatest ease, from the continuance of the life of Chrift, who is made an high-prieft, 'not after the ' law of a carnal commandment, but after the power of an endless life.' Heb. vii. 16. And he hath expreffly declared, 'Because I ive, ye shall live also.' St. John, xiv. 19. Thus, as long as the cause remains, the effect must continue; but the cause, even the life. of Christ, must undoubtedly continue to endless periods; therefore also, the effect, or the life of those who are joined to him in an indiffoluble union, stall continue. The apottle Paul understood logic as well as any in our days, and he thus reasons upon this glorious truth; 'The spirit itself beareth witness with our spirits, that we (who are led by the spirit of God, and have received the spirit of adoption, whereby we cry, Abba, Father) are the children of God: and if children, then heirs; heirs of God, and joint-heirs with Christ: if so be that we suffer with him, that ' we may be also glorified together.' Rom. viii. 16, 17.

^{*} Angladors, establish. Heb. vii. 16. endless, fayour translators; literally and folible. The word is rever connected with punishment, and but this once only with life; which, however, shows that the facred writers was not judge life in a different way than they do of future funishment.

Now, as Christ, the principal heir cannot be difinherited, fo neither can those who are joint-heirs with him. The Holy Spirit is given us as the earnest of our inheritance, and to feal us to the day of redemption. 2 Cor. v. 5. I phef. i. 13, 14. and iv. 30. Christ is the head, and the overcomers through the blood of the Lamb, are the members of his body, and shall inherit all things; he will be their God, and they shall be his children: he is their life, and he 'will make them pil-6 lars in the temple of God, and they shall go no more out.' Rev. iii. 12. St. Paul fays, 'When Christ, who is our life, shall appear, then shall ye also appear with him in glory. Col. iii. 4. And St. John fays, Behold what manner of love the Father hath bestowed upon us, that we should be called the fons of God: 6 therefore the world knoweth us not, because it knew 6 him not. Beloved, now are we the fons of God: and it doth not yet appear what we shall be; but we 'know, that when he shall appear, we shall be like him, for we shall fee him as he is.' 1 John, iii. 1, 2. Thus we are fure, from the Scriptures, and from the nature of things, that those who are drawn by the Father, united to the Son, fealed by the Holy Ghoft, willingly choose the Lord for their portion, and constantly adhere to him to the end, shall never be separated from him in the future ages: for he himfelf faith, As the living Father hath fent me, and I live by the 'Father, fo he that eateth me, even he shall live by me.' St. John, vi. 57. And as Christ is the great attracting loadstone that shall finally draw all things to him, it is evident, that he will preserve for ever those whom he hath thoroughly drawn to himfelf, and who have adbered to him through the time of trial. Thus is the life eternal of the righteous, or their endless state of well being, expressed in much stronger language than the mifery of the wicked; and, moreover, has its foundation in the union between Christ and his church, and in the nature of things.

 F_{RIEND} . But if the spirit of God dwelling in us, and thereby causing us to adhere to Christ, and to follow

him through all trials, makes our union to him fo perfect, that nothing shall be able to separate us from him to all eternity; tince we are confirmed in habits of goodness by free choice, and by oft repeated exercises, why, by the same rule, shall not the misery of the wicked be endless, seeing that they have chosen and adhered to evil through life, and by constant practice are confirmed therein? Evil is grown up to a body in them; and it appears to me as difficult to reform and bring them off from their vicious habits, as it would be to draw the faints in light from their adherence to virtue and goodness.

MINISTER. Your reasoning would be conclusive upon the supposition that there are two eternal principles, viz. good and evil: if it can be proved, that evil is coexistent with goodness --- that it hath always been --- then the absolute eternity of sin and misery may be easily inferred. This is the true foundation of endless misery, and it came from the pagan theology: the heathens believed in two eternal principles, ever warring against each other, and neither fully prevailing; that men had the liberty of enlifting under which they pleafed; and that those who in life chose virtue, should enjoy endless felicity; while those who chose and adhered to vice should eternally remain under its dominion, and of consequence be always miserable. Thus, the infernal dieties being judged by the poor pagans to be as eternal as the good gods, and more powerful, they facrificed more to the evil principle than to the good, out of fear, and to appeale the anger of those abhorred malevolent agents; hence the frequency of human facrifices.

Now, when the Christian religion triumphed over paganism in the Roman empire, many of the philosophers embraced and professed it, but withal retained many of their pagan notions, among which was the eternity of these two opposite principles: hence arose the ancient sect of the Manichees, who believed not only the eternal existence of two contrary eternal gods, one good and the other evil; but also, that all visible

hines

things were created by the devil; and upon this principle, they might argue the universality of damnation with as much eafe and certainty, as we, upon the contrary, may argue the certainty of the Universal Restoration, according to that glorious promife of God, Ifaiah, lvii. 16---19. : For I will not contend for ever. ' neither will I be always wroth; for the spirit should fail before me, and the fouls which I have made. 'For the iniquity of his covetousness was I wroth, and fmote him: I hid me, and was wroth; and he went on frowardly, in the way of his heart. I have feen his ways, and will heal him; I will lead him alfo, and reftore comforts unto him, and to his mourners. I create the fruit of the lips, Peace, peace, to him that is far off, and to him that is near, faith [E-' HOVAH; and I will heal him.'

Those who venture to contradict their Maker, and fay, that he will contend for ever, and be always wroth, ought to give as good a reason, at least, why he will, as he hath given why he will not; and, consequently, must prove him not to be the Father of all spirits, and the Creator of all fouls: if, therefore, it can be demonftrated that Satan is an eternal *, felf-existent, im-

^{*} A person lately called on me, apparently to dispute against the doctrine of the Restoration. According to his own account, he had attended the gospel at different places for several years, and having heard of the Universal Doctrine, he thought it was his duty to oppole it, because it was a damnable herefy. In the course of conversation, I said it was a great absurdity to suppose that sin would endleffly exist, unless it could be proved that it had its root and foundation in God, or that there were two felf-existent and eternal beings, the one good, the other evil. He replied, that there were two fuch namely, God and the devil. I thought at first that he jested; but he seriously affirmed it again; and added, that the devil was omnipotent and infinite and eternal, and that the Scriptures faid fo. I asked for his proof-He paused, and faid, he was fure that the Scriptures did fay fo, and he had always believed it, and thought it strange that I should doubt it; he even intimated, that it was in vain to converse with me, as I denied the Scripture. In vain did I reason and quote Scripture-I could not move him. laid under the reproach of herefy concerning the Reftoration, my

mutable evil being, and that he hath created all or a part of mankind, (as fome afterted formerly, and as I myfelf have heard lately) or that he hath drawn fome of God's creatures into fuch an union with himfelf, that they cannot be feparated from him; and that he will maintain his crown, throne, and kingdom, in opposition to God, to all endless duration; then, and not till then, may the cternity of fin and mifery be concluded, from the nature of things, with equal ease and certainty, as the perfection and happiness of the faints.

But, if the kingdom of evil, and all the works of fin, Satan, and darkness, shall be totally destroyed by Christ, and all things shall be reheaded in him, who is the head of all principality and power, as well as of every man--- (fee Ephef. i. 10. Col. ii. 10. 1 Cor. 11. 3.) ---if every knee shall bow, and tongue shall swear, and all things, whether in heaven or in earth, or under the earth, thall confess that he is Lord-and all things, whether in heaven or in earth, shall be reconciled to him--- (fee Ifaiah, xlv. 23. Phil. ii. 10. Col. i. 20.) --- and all kingdoms (not excepting that of the prince of the power of the air) shall be broken and destroyed by the kingdom of Christ, which shall itself be yielded up into the kingdom of boundless love, where judgment shall be no more---what shall we fav of that doctrine, that teaches us the endless duration of. evil? So far is the endless sin and misery of the wicked from being inferred from the endless holiness and felicity of the righteous, in the kingdom of the Father, that every proof and demonstration of the latter, concludes equally against the former.

One of the first arguments that ever began to take hold of my mind, and to bring me to think seriously of the system of the Restoration, was what I read in

word had no authority with him, not even against the deity of the devil. I understood him to be a Calvinist, and advised him to converse with some minister upon the subject and sall upon me again, but I have not seen him since. However, I can but think that this man took the only ground upon which the opinion of endless misery can be maintained.

a little book upon the fubject, called The Everlafting

Gofpel, &c. and is there thus expressed ---

'It is as impossible that there should be two endless ' contrary things, as that there should be two real con-' trary deities, a good god and a bad one, or two forts of contrary creatures, both of truly divine original, ' fome being made good by God, and others bad. For 'an absolute and merely infinite duration, which has ' neither beginning nor end, is, according to the con-' fession of all divines, yea, of every reasonable man, 'a property peculiar to the uncreated Being only. But fuch an infinite duration, which, although it has 'a beginning, yet shall have no end, can only be the ' property of those creatures that are of divine origi-' nal. For as these, according to the language of the 'Scripture, are of divine origin, and therefore are ' rooted in God, or in his almighty creating power, ' which has no beginning, they can also be everlasting ' --- their existence or duration can also be without end 'in God. But whatfoever has not its eternal root in God, or in his eternal creating power, but is fprung up in the creature in this world, by its voluntary turning away from God, and against his holy will, and confequently is an abomination and displeasure ' to the Most High, and is only suffered by him, such as fin, and the punishment depending thereon, these things cannot possibly be of an absolute endless existence and duration, or remain fo long as God shall exist, but must of necessity once cease and be anni-'hilated. For as God is a Being to those creatures which he created good, and which exist through his ' will, wherein they may fubfift and be preferved without end, fo he is on the contrary, to iniquity and fin, ' (which, against his will, is sprung up in and sticks to the creatures) a confuming fire, whereby all fin and berverfeness in the creatures must be at last consumed, annihilated, and separated from them in the highest ' degree, in order to restore them to their primitive burity, in the same manner as the fire does not confume and deftroy the gold, but only the drofs, and that which is impure.

We

We will now state some of the arguments in favour of the *endless* continuance of the happiness of the saints in the kingdom of their Father; and those which prove that the state of misery shall come to an end.

Christ hath promised that the happiness of the faints shall have no end, because his life shall have no end,

and he is their life.

The mifery of the wicked shall end, because the

kingdom of evil shall end.

The power of God flands engaged to preserve and keep those who commit themselves to him; and thus

their union with him shall always continue.

The fame is engaged to definor that covenant with death, and that agreement with hell, whereby finners are held in subjection to Satan, and thus to take the prey from the mighty, and the captives from the terrible.

The subjects of Christ are his natural subjects; he is their rightful sovereign; but Satan's subjects are slaves, led captive by him at his will; he is an usurper, and all that are in bondage to him belong to Christ, who will

finally draw them all to himfelf.

Those that are in bliss shall be eternally attracted by him, and shall always choose that which is good; but when evil is broken, its influence shall no more prevail over those that are captivated by it; and they shall feel the consequences of sin in such a manner as to loathe it; and they shall heartily return, and swear allegiance to their rightful king.

There shall be no influence to draw the faints in bliss from Christ, and thereby dissolve his kingdom; but all the influence of God and goodness shall tend to dissolve the kingdom of darkness, and to put an end to the

thraldom and mifery of its unhappy flaves.

Thus I might go on with a long train of arguments

upon this fubject, but these may suffice.

FRIEND. Your arguments would feem very conclusive for the entire subjection of all things, if you could prove that the word ALL intends literally and mathematically THE WHOLE, without exception; but this, I to doubt,

doubt, will be difficult for you to do, as you must know, that it is very frequently used in common language for a part, and sometimes for only a small part of markind.

MINISTER. I acknowledge this is the case in common conversation, and in such parts of the sacred history where we are in no danger of being misled by it, being well informed by the context, or fome other paffages, or from the nature, or from the circumstances of the facts, that we must take it in a limited sense; but I do not recollect any passage, where any point of doctrine is spoken of, in which the word ALL is used in that uncertain and indeterminate manner: and it is necessary that it should not be used in that way in matters of importance, because we might be led into confusion and great uncertainty thereby, not knowing whether to understand it universally or partially. Wherefore I lay down this plain rule, viz. When the word ALL is used in any passage of Scripture, and we are not necessarily obliged, either by the context, or some other text, or the nature and circumstances of the case, to understand it partially; and especially where any important point of doctrine is spoken of, we are always to understand it univerfally, without exception.

 F_{RIEND} . But can you prove from the writings of the apostles, that they used the word ALL in this large and

univerfal fense?

MINISTER. Yes, my friend, very easily, and in the most unexceptionable manner. Hear what the author of the Epistle to the Hebrews says upon this matter: Thou hast put all things in subjection under his feet; for in that he put all in subjection under him, he lest nothing that was not put under him.' Heb. ii. 8.

It is evident that the apostle's reasoning would be very inaccurate, if not entirely false, upon the supposition that ALL things did not intend ALL in the largest fense: for how would this conclusion naturally and necessarily follow, 'For in that he put ALL in subjection under him, he left nothing not put under him,' unless

it be premifed, that ALL is used in the universal sense of the word?

 F_{RIEND} . But hath not the same apostle made an exception, when he uses the word ALL, in some other of

his writings?

MINISTER. Yes, truly; but it is such an exception as justifies this sense of the word more than a thousand arguments: 'For he hath put all things under his 'feet; but when he saith, all things are put under 'him, it is manifest, that he is excepted which did put all 'things under him.' 1 Cor. xv. 27. Here God the Father being alone excepted, proves all other beings to be included in the words all things; and that in so convincing a manner, that I am associated that I did not perceive it long before I did.

FRIEND. It is true, that nothing can be plainer than that ALL things, in these places, must mean ALL beings except God; but then, perhaps St. Paul only meant, that they should be subject to his control, and not brought willingly to obey: if you can prove this point as clearly as you have the other, and from the same authority, it will seem to put the matter with me be-

youd dispute.

MINISTER. This is very eafily done; for it is univerfally acknowledged by all Christians, that all things are now, and have ever been, subject to his controul; for when he was upon earth, in his lowest state of humiliation, even the unclean spirits, the most rebellious of beings, obeyed his word, which made those who faw his miracles cry with amazement, 'What thing is ' this? what new doctrine is this? For with authority commandeth he even the unclean spirits, and they obey him.' St. Mark, i. 27. The devils obeyed him univerfally, in whatever he commanded them; and could not enter the fwine without his permission; and how difagreeable foever his words were to them, they were forced to comply, without daring to complain; yea, they frequently feemed like humble fuppliants; and once, we read, they went fo far as to adjure our bleffed Lord not to torment them; fee St. Mark,

E 2

v. 7. The winds, waves, fishes, all obeyed him; all diseases, and even death itself, heard his voice, and departed at his bidding; and to his disciples he said, All power is given unto me, in heaven and in earth. Mat. xxviii. 18. And certainly now he is at 'the right hand of God, angels, and authorities, and powers ' are made subject unto him.' 1 Pet. iii. 22. 'God 6 hath exalted him far above all principality, and power, and might, and dominion, and every name that ' is named; not only in this age, (for fo I render the word asan, aioni) but also in that which is to come; ' and put all things under his feet, and gave him to be 'the head over all things to the church.' Ephef. i. 21, 22, But, as though the apostle had known that the fense would be disputed, he hath said, 'But now ' we see not yet all things put under him.' Heb. ii. 8. All things were subject to his controul, even on earth; and they cannot be less so, now he is exalted to heaven, to the glory which he had with the Father before the world was; and yet, many years after his afcension, the apossile says, 'But now we see not yet all things 'put under him;' by which he must certainly mean their being willingly subject unto him; for, in all other senses, all things are now put under him, in the most unlimited manner, as we have seen already. But the apostle goes on to tell how far the important work is accomplished, and that a fure foundation is laid for its entire completion; faying, ---But we fee Jefus, who was made a little lower than ' the angels, for the fuffering of death, crowned with ' glory and honour, that he, by the grace of God, ' thould taste death for ALL;' for so the word mails, pantos, ought to be rendered. There was anciently a manuscript in use, in which the words were xwpis OEB, choris Theou, instead of xaeils Oss, chariti Theou, that is, for all, EXCEPT GOD*. And there is little doubt of its

^{*} Mr. Winchester has been charged with changing the reading of the text in this passage without any authority. Perhaps Mr. W. might

its being the true fense; because St. Paul makes the fame exception, with respect to those who are put under Christ, as we have before noted, 1 Cor. xv. 27,

Then the apostle adds, 'For it became him, for 'whom are all things, and by whom are all things, in 'bringing many sons unto glory, to make the Captain 'of their falvation perfect through sufferings.' Heb. ii. 9, 10.

Instead of the word all intending only a part, we find, in several places, that the word many intends all, as in Rom. v. 15, 16, 19. 'For if through the offence of one many be dead, much more the grace of God, and the gift by grace, which is by one man, Jesus 'Christ, hath abounded unto many. The free gift is of many offences unto justification. For as by one

'man's difobedience many were made finners, fo, by

might not think it necessary to quote that which must have been well known to every man of learning; but, however, as authority has been loudly called for, let the following be taken.—

1. The reading χωρις Θευς choris Theou, God excepted, is found in St. Ambrose in his De Fide ad Gratianum, lib. ii. cap 4. He expounds it as follows— Christ tasted death for all excepting God, which is as much as to say, 'Christ died for all rational intelligences—even for angels—only not for God—God I except.'

Ambrose flourished about the year 370.

2. Origen, whose learning no man ever doubted, says, 'This 'man (meaning Christ) is the great high-priest, not only for men, but for all—whatever is intellectual—offering himself a victim once facrificed. For he tasted death for all, excepting God, or (as 'some copies have it) by the grace of God. Whether he tasted death for all, God excepted, he died not only for man, but for all intellectual beings; o whether he tasted death for all by the grace of God, he died for all excepting God, because it was by the grace of God, that he tasted death for all.' Origen on St. John's Gospel.

This learned Father was catechill at Alexandria in the year 2233, and, by his faying that certain copies read by the grace of God, it feems reasonable to conclude that most copies in his time read God ex-

cepted.

3. The Syriac Teslament, both the Paris edition and that of Tremellius read, 'He tasted death for all, G dexcepted.' Those who require further information may confult Bengelius's Various Readings.

E 3

' the obedience of one, shall many be made righteous.' ---Ifaiah, liii. 11, 12. 'By his knowledge fhall my 'righteous fervant justify many, (or the many) for he ' shall bear their iniquities. And he bare the fins of "many, and made intercellion for the transgressors." These many are called all, in the 6th verse: 'All we, ' like sheep, have gone astray; we have turned every one to his own way; and Јеноvaн hath laid on him the iniquity of us all.'

But to return to our subject: St. Paul assures us, that 6 though all things, without exception, are put under ' him, in one fense; yet, in another, he says, 'But now 'we fee not yet all things put under him.' But he leaves us not in the dark about the matter; but speaks of that effectual 'working, whereby he is able even ' to fubdue all things unto himfelf.' Phil. iii. 21. ' And when all things thall be fubdued unto himself, then ' shall the fon also himself be subject unto him that put ' all things under him, that God may be ALL IN ALL.' I Cor. xv. 28. Here we plainly find a very necessary distinction between all things being put under him, and all things being fubdued unto him; the former is already done in the fullest manner; and the latter shall be as perfectly and as fully accomplished in due time: 'Because the creation itself shall be delivered from the bondage of corruption, into the glorious liberty of the children of God. For we know, that the whole ' creation groaneth and travelleth in pain together un-til now.' Rom. viii. 21, 22.

Though what hath already been spoken, may feem more than enough to prove the point respecting the word all, yet there is one passage more, full to the purpose, that I would not omit; it being of itself fully fufficient to fettle the dispute for ever. The apostle, speaking of Christ, faith, 'Who is the image of the the invisible God, the first-born of every creature; for by him were all things created that are in heaven, and ' that are in earth, visible and invisible, whether they

be thrones or dominions, or principalities, or powers; all things were created by him, and for him; and he

is before all things, and by him all things confift. And he is the head of the body, the church; who is the

beginning, the first-born from the dead; that in all. things he might have the pre-eminence. For it pleafed

the Father that in him should all fulness dwell; and having made peace through the blood of his crofs,

by him to reconcile all things unto himself; by him,

'I say, whether they be things in earth, or things in

' heaven.' Col. 1. 15---20.

As the word all is generally acknowledged to be used in its most extensive sense in every place of this paragraph, except the last, there is no reason to be given why the apostle should change the sense of the word, without giving us the least notice of it; and indeed it would be very unkind, if not unfair, for him thus to do; as it would tend to mislead us, in a matter

of very great importance.

FRIEND. I hope that I am not so attached to my own opinions as to be unwilling to hear and confider what may be advanced against them; but the doctrine of endless damnation has been so generally considered as a most important article of faith by all denominations, that I can by no means think of giving it up, unlefs you are able to establish the contrary system upon the most folid ground, and answer all the scriptural objections fairly, that have been, or that can be brought against it; for I must have all my doubts solved before I can think of believing fuch a strange doctrine as this appears to me.

MINISTER. I most heartily commend your prudence and fincerity: I took the tame resolution, and would never receive this view till I could answer all objections to my own fatisfaction: and if you are disposed to enquire farther at another opportunity, I shall be happy in giving you all the affittance in my power.

DIALOGUE II.

FRIEND. GOOD day to you, fir. I was just passing by, and if you have a little time to spare, I should be happy to have some farther discourse with you respecting your sentiments; for, although I cannot still in with your views, yet I am convinced that your mind is upright in the matter, and that you do not disagree with your brethren for the sake of differing, but

for what you believe to be the truth.

MINISTER. I have this to fay, (and I can with truth declare it) that I never should have differted from my brethren, had they only given, me the liberty of enjoying that natural right of freely thinking for myfelf in matters of religion. I fincerely wish to live and die in unity with all that love God and keep his commandments; and I should never have troubled the world with my fentiments, had not great pains been taken to represent me as a heretic, and my fentiments dangerous to mankind: this was done to prevent people from hearing what I had to fay in other matters. I was therefore, in a fort, compelled to fit down and answer all the objections that were brought against the truth I believed; which answers, drawn (as I trust) fairly from the Scriptures, have fatisfied many who have read what I then wrote upon the fubject.

FRIEND. I never faw your answers to objections in print; but in our last conversation, you gave such answers to many questions, as seemed to convince me that much more might be said in favour of the general Restoration than I formerly imagined: but I have a number of objections remaining, which appear to me unanswerable, and which I beg leave to state to you in

the plainest manner.

MINISTER. Do, my dear friend; you will give me pleasure by being free and open upon this subject; propose

pose all your objections, in the strongest manner possible, and I will give you fuch answers as have fatisfied me in the matter; and I beg leave to affure you, that no light, trifling, or forced answer, far less a manifest evasion, would fatisfy my mind upon this awful and interesting subject; and if what I believe is not capable of a scriptural desence, I shall endeavour to quit the ground as speedily as possible.

FRIEND. The words of our Saviour, recorded by St. Mark, chap. ix. 43--49. form a very ferious, and, to me, an unanswerable objection against the Universal

Reitoration .---'And if thy hand offend thee, (or cause thee to 'offend) cut it off: it is better for thee to enter into 'life maimed; than, having two hands, to go into hell; ' into the fire that never shall be quenched (or that is 'unquenchable); where their worm dieth not, and the fire is not quenched. And if thy foot offend ' thee, (or cause thee to offend) cut it off: it is better for thee to enter halt into life, than, having two feet, ' to be cast into hell, into the fire that never shall be ' quenched (or that is unquenchable); where their ' worm dieth not, and the fire is not quenched. And ' if thine eye offend thee, (or cause thee to offend) ' pluck it out: it is better for thee to enter into the 'kingdom of God with one eye, than, having two 'eyes, to be cast into hell fire; where their worm dieth 'not, and the fire is not quenched. For every one ' shall be salted with fire, and every sacrifice shall be ' falted with falt.'

Here our Lord repeats five times, that 'the fire is 'not, or never shall be quenched, or is unquenchable;' words of nearly fimilar meaning; three times he fpeaks of hell as a place where 'their worm dieth not;' and, to shew the perpetuity of the sufferings of the miserable, he fays, 'For every one shall be salted with fire,' i. e. preserved by the fire, as falt preserves meat. These are the objections from this pallage, briefly stated---Are you able to answer them fairly, without any evation, from the authority of Scripture?

MINISTERA

MINISTER. This is certainly a most terrible passage,

and deferves to be confidered particularly.

There is no doubt but Jesus Christ had his eye upon that passage in Isaiah, lxvi. 24. 'And they shall go ' forth, and look upon the carcaffes of the men that ' have transgressed against me; for their worm shall onot die, neither shall their fire be quenched; and they

' shall be an abhorring unto all flesh.'

It will be of use to us to understand when this prophecy shall be fulfilled: it shall be when the children of Ifrael shall return and be fettled in their own land: and their enemies shall come against them, and shall be destroyed, and their carcasses thall fall upon the mountains of Ifrael, and shall be a prey to the fowls of heaven, and their flesh shall be devoured by worms, which shall not die, till they have eaten and entirely destroyed their bodies.

'Thou shalt fall upon the mountains of Israel, thou and all thy bands, and the people that is with thee; I will give thee to the ravenous birds of every fort, and to the beafts of the field, to be devoured. Thou ' fhalt fall upon the open field; for I have fpoken it, faith Adonai Jehovah. And it shall come to pass in ' that day, that I will give unto Gog a place there of graves in Ifrael, the valley of the paffengers on the east of the sea: and it shall stop the noses of the pas-' fengers; and there shall they bury Gog and all his ' multitude; and they shall call it, The Valley of Ham-' mon-Gog. And feven months shall the house of Israel be burying of them, that they may cleanfe the land.' (See Ezek. xxxix. 4, 5, 11, 12.) 'And it shall come to pass, that every one that is left of all the nations which came against Jerusalem, shall even go up from e year to year, to worship the King, Јеноvaн of Hosts, and to keep the feast of tabernacles.' Zech. xiv. 16. 'And it shall come to pass, that from one new moon 6 to another, and from one fabbath to another, shall all ' flesh come to worship before me, faith JEHOVAH.' Ifaiah, lxvi. 21. And they that shall come up to Jerufalem to worthip the Lord, during the time that thefe bodies

bodies shall lie in the open field, shall go forth and behold them in a state of putrefaction, a prey to worms; and all the nations of the earth thall fee God's judgments executed upon those who dare to rebel against him, by making war against the lamb, and against his army.

Rev. xvii. 14. xix. 19. It is also intimated by Ezekiel, that a fire shall be kindled to burn their weapons of war, &c. which thall last for some time. Hear his words:--- And they that dwell in the cities of lirael shall go forth, and shall fet on fire and burn the weapons, both the shields and the bucklers, the bows and the arrows, and the ' hand-staves and the spears; and they shall burn them with fire feven years: fo that they shall take no wood out of the field, neither cut down any out of the fo-' reft; for they shall burn the weapons with fire.' See

Ezek. xxxix. 9,10. Thus have I endeavoured to give the plain fense of the text to which our Lord alluded; and I have not the finallest doubt of its being hereafter literally ful-

filled.

I will now endeavour to give what appears to me the meaning of the text before us :--- Christ threatened that those who would not deny themselves, and cut off those things that led them into fin, should hereafter fuffer infinitely greater inconveniencies, by being caft into hell fire. And oh! who can conceive how dreadful a portion is threatened to some transgressors! that they ' thall drink of the wine of the wrath of God, which 'is poured out without mixture, into the cup of his. 'indignation; and shall be tormented with fire and brimitone, in the presence of the holy angels and in 'the presence of the Lamb: and the smoke of their ' torment ascendeth up to the age of ages*; and they have no rest day nor night,' Rev. xiv. 10, 11.

Thus.

^{*} Eig alove aloviou, eis aioni aionion. See note p. 13. where the proper femie of this phrase is given. Let us now enquire what that aionion aion, or age of ages is, to which the punishment of some

Thus, as the enemies of God, who shall be destroyed near Jerusalem, shall have their carcastes exposed full of worms, and be an abhorring unto all that behold

of the wicked will continue; I fay fome of the wicked, for it is evident that only fome of them are threatened with fuch punishment; fee Rev.xiv.11. Indeed there frems to be a great variety of degrees of future punishment, according to the moral characters of men. This is perfectly consistent with the ideas which we have of distributive justice in the Deity, and is supported by the declarations of our Lord, who teaches us that there will be few stripes and many; and that it will be more tolerable for some simners in the day of judgment than for others.

The degrees of punishment mentioned in Scripture are,

1. Shame.

2. Loss of the kingdom.

3. Punishment of sense; and this of various degrees, as before observed; but the greatest of all is to endure siz alwaya alwayou, cis

ciona aionion, to the age of ages.

1. Whatever period this may be, the punishment is to continue to that age, not during it. That the Greek preposition \$15, cis, is often taken in that sense, see Mat. xv. 24. 'I am not sent but to the lost sheep of the house of Israel.' John, xi. 31. 'She goeth to the grave to weep there.' John, xii. 1. 'He loved them unto the end.'

2. The age of ager in the New Testament has clearly a reference to the jubilee in the Old Testament; and what this is in the type, that is in reality. The jubilee contained in it all the other typical periods, as the weekly sabath—the yearly sabbaths, or folemn returns of the facred seasts—and the sabbath of years: so the age of ages contains all the other periods, dispensations, and ages that have been or shall be And as the jubilee gave universal liberty to all who were in servitude—exonerated every obligation from those who were in debt—and returned every man to his inheritance; the commencement of the age of ages will give spiritual liberty to every captive who is confined in the priton-house—relieve every one who is burthened with guilt—and restore every one to the enjoyment of the Divine savour, which is the proper inheritance of every rational creature.

3. If I might be indulged with a further reflection upon this grand fubject, I should think it probable that the duration of the age of ages (in which there shall be neither sin, forrow, crying, nor death of any kind) will at least be equal to all the periods and ages

of guilt, pain, and death put together.

My reasons for thinking that this great age will be thus long in its duration, are,

First,

hold them, fo those who are cast into the burning lake shall be publicly exposed to shame, and shall suffer openly for their crimes; and the smoke of their torment shall ascend up continually, during those ages that the lake of fire, or the second death, shall continue.

But when I confider, that this terraqueous globe itself is probably to become the lake of fire, when the elements shall melt with fervent heat, and yet, after that dreadful scene is past, the earth itself shall be renewed, and become the habitation of righteousness, I can hardly have any doubts, but all the rational part of the creation, 'shall be delivered from the bondage of corruption, into the glorious liberty of the children of

' God.' See Rom. viii. 19, 20, 21, 22.

Thus, if the lake of fire, or fecond death itielf, shall be destroyed, shall cease and be no more, there is an end to tormenting pain; though, perhaps, such inward reflections, shall continue for some time longer, (if not to eternity) which, though they shall tend exceedingly to increase the love of God in the souls thus delivered, shall fill them with shame, similar, or perhaps more pungent than we feel here on earth, when we are melted under a deep sense of our manifold transgressions, and of the pardoning love of God at the same time. This seems to be the meaning of such passages as these:---

'O'my God, make them like a wheel; as the stubble before the wind. As the fire burneth the wood,

First, That the glory of Christ demands it. To me it seems proper the redeemer of his creatures should reign as long over the creation in righteousness, peace, love, and joy, as sin hath reigned

in guilt, pain, and death.

Secondly, Such a long and glorious period of universal love and order under Christ, will give the fullest possible proof to all created intelligences of the compleatness of his work, in having reconciled all things to the Father; and will be a proper presude to his giving up his delegated kingdom; and will demonstrate that of God, by him, and to him, are all things, by Christ Jesus.

But in this thought I have no controverfy with any man; I only express my own private fentiment, I hope with humility.

and as the firme fetteth the mountains on fire, fo

perfecute them with thy tempest, and make them afraid with thy storm. Fill their faces with shame,

* that they may ' feek thy name, O JEHOVAH. Let them ' be contounded and troubled for ever, yea, let them

be put to shame and perish; that''---(our translators have added the word men*---but the sense determines that the addition should be)---' they may know that thou whose name alone is Jehovah, art the Most High over all the earth.' Psalm lxxxiii. 13---18.

'The wife shall inherit glory, but shame shall be the

promotion of fools.' Prov. iii. 35.

They shall be greatly ashamed, for they shall not prosper; their everlasting consusion shall never be

forgotten.' Jer. xx. 11. xxiii. 40.

'They shall be ashamed, and also confounded, all of them: they shall go to confusion together, that are makers of idols.' Isaiah, xlv. 16. 'And all that are incensed against him (Jehovah) shall be ashamed.' Verse 24.

'For thus faith Adonai Jehovah, I will even deal with thee as thou hast done, which hast despised the oath in breaking the covenant. Nevertheless I will remember my covenant with thee in the days of thy

youth, and I will establish unto thee an everlasting

covenant. Then thou shalt remember thy ways, and be ashamed, when thou shalt receive thy listers, thine

* Pfalm lxxxiii. 18. 'That men may know,' &c. A critical reader may observe, that this text needs no supplement: thus it reads:---

וידעו כי אתה שמך יהוה לבדך עליון על כל הארץ:

'Et cognoscant quod tu nomen tuum Domine solus tu altissimus super omnem terram.' Montanus.

'And they shall know that thou, whose name is Jehovah, art the Most High over all the earth.'

I do not charge our translators with wilful error; but it is likely that they could not understand how sinners could be brought to the knowledge of Jenovan after they had been consounded and troubled for ever, or to the age, and had been put to shame and perished; and therefore they might even think that there was an error in the original.

elder

elder and thy younger (viz. Samaria and Sodom); and I will give them unto thee for daughters, but not

by thy covenant. And I will establish my covenant with thee, and thou shalt know that I am JEHOVAH;

that thou mayeft remember, and be confounded, and never open thy mouth any more, because of thy

' thame, when I am pacified towards thee, for all that thou hast done, saith Adonai Jehovah.' Ezek. xvi.

thou hast done, saith Adonai Jehovah.' Ezek. xvi.

'Then shall ye remember your own evil ways, and your doings that were not good, and shall loathe yourselves in your own sight, for your iniquities, and for your abominations. Not for your fakes do I this, faith Adonai Jehovah, be it known unto you;

be ashamed and confounded, O house of Israel.'--Ezek. xxxvi. 31,32.

Ezek. XXXVI. 31, 32

But the lake of fire shall go out, when all the purposes for which it shall be kindled are accomplished; and if so, then it sollows of course, that intelligences shall be no longer tormented therein.

FRIEND. But when God fays, that a fire shall not be quenched, does it not necessarily imply, that it shall

never cease burning?

MINISTER. By no means; for we read in feveral places of Scripture of fires that have ceased ages ago, that were fpoken of in as strong terms as are used by

Christ respecting the fire of hell.

As for instance; in Lev. vi. 13. we read, 'The fire 'shall ever be burning upon the altar; it shall never go 'out.' This is a much stronger expression than if it had been said, 'It shall not be quenched;' for it is said, 'It shall never go out:' but surely, it must be used with some limitation; for we know that it hath ceased ages ago: and we read that Daniel prophesied of the Messiah, that he should 'cause the facrissice and oblation to cease,' Dan. ix. 24; but it would certainly have been a weak argument against Daniel's prophecy, that, as Moses had said, The fire should never go out upon the altar, therefore the Messiah could never cause the facrissice and oblation to cease; but it would be just

as good an argument against Daniel's prophecy, as the words of Christ are against Isaiah's:--- For I will not contend for ever, neither will I be always wroth; for the spirit should fail before me, and the souls which I have made.' I have sworn by myself, the word is gone out of my mouth in righteousness, and shall not return, That unto me every knee shall bow, every tongue shall swear, surely shall say, In Jehovah have I righteousness and strength; to him shall come; and all that are incensed against him shall be assumed.' Isaiah, lvii. 16. xlv. 23, 24.

In Jer. xvii. 27. we read, 'But if you will not hearken unto me, &c. then will I kindle a fire in the gates thereof, and it shall devour the palaces of Jerusalem, and it shall not be quenched.' See also chap.iv.

4. xxi. 12. Amos, v. 6. 2 Kings, xxii. 17. Similar threatenings we find positively pronounced by Ezekiel at the command of God:---' Moreover the 'word of Jehovah came unto me saying, Son of man, set thy sace towards the fouth, and drop thy words towards the fouth, and prophecy against the forest of the south field; and say to the forest of the fouth, Hear the word of Jehovah; thus saith Adonai Jehovah, Behold I will kindle a fire in thee, and it shall devour every green tree in thee, and every dry tree: the staming same shall not be quenched; and all faces from the south to the north shall be burnt therein; and all sless that I Jehovah have kindled it; it shall not be quenched.' Ezek, xx. 45-48. See also Jer. vii. 20.

Now these threatenings were surely executed; for the people did not hearken unto God: he did certainly kindle a sire, and it burnt, and was not quenched, but consumed Jerusalem and all her palaces; and the beautiful forests that were so much esteemed, shared the same fate. But what person will argue, that the whole city and country must be now in slames, and must have been consuming, from the days of Jeremiah and Ezekiel, because of these expressions, 'The slaming slame shall not be quenched,' &c; since we know that Jerusalem.

falem, and the county round about, have been fince inhabited, and will be again, in a more glorious man-

ner than ever?

Neither will it help the matter to fay, that we must underfiand the fire figuratively for the anger of God, &c. for he declares by Zechariah, after the feventy years captivity, that he was 'returned to Jerusalem with "mercies.' See Zech. i. 16. And though the present defolation of that land is compared to the overthrow of Sodom and Gomorrah, Admah and Zeboim, Deut. xxix. 23---28 .-- and Ezekiel not only speaks of it as defolate and wafte, but as having been always fo, though we know it was formerly filled with inhabitants---(fee Ezek. xxxvi. 34, 35. xxxviii. 8.) --- yet all the prophets speak of a time to come, when it shall be much more flourishing than ever it hath been; and Isaiah says, 'Whereas thou hast been forfaken and 'hated, fo that no man went through thee; I will make thee an eternal excellency, a joy of many generations. Thou shalt no more be termed forsaken, e neither shall thy land be termed desolate; but thou fhalt be called Hephzibah (my delight is in her) and thy land Beulah (married); for Jehovah delighteth in 'thee, and thy land shall be married.' Ifaiah, lx. 15. lxii. 4.

Thus we may fee by thefe and many other passages, that predictions, apparently directly contrary one to the other, may be all fulfilled upon the same land, people, and persons, only allowing a proper time to each, without which we can never make fense of many pro-

phecies.

Isaiah, speaking of the land of Bozrah, says, 'And the streams thereof shall be turned into pitch, and the 'dust thereof into brimstone; and the land thereof ' shall become burning pitch; it shall not be quenched 'night nor day: the smoke thereof shall go up for ever: from generation to generatian it shall lie waste; ' none shall pass through it for ever and ever. But the cormorant and the bittern thall possess it; the owl also and the raven thall dwell in it'--- (birds than cannot live in fire, pitch, and brimftone any better than F 3

men) --- And thorns shall come up in her palaces, onettles and brambles in the fortreffes thereof; and it ' shall be an habitation for dragons, and a court for owls. The wild beafts of the defart shall also meet with the wild beafts of the island, and the fater shall cry to his fellow: the screech-owl also shall rest there, ' and find for herfelf a place of reft. There shall the great owl make her nell, and lay and hatch, and ga-'ther under her shadow: there shall the vultures also be gathered, every one with his mate. Seek ye out of the book of Jehovah, and read: no one of thefe 6 shall fail, none shall want her mate: for my mouth it 6 hath commanded, and his Spirit it hath gathered 6 them. And he hath cast the lot for them, and his hand hath divided it unto them by line: they shall opfiels it for ever; from generation to generation shall they dwell therein.' Isaiah, xxxiv. 9, 10, 11, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17.

Now in this paffage there are fuch things fpoken of as are impossible to be fulfilled at once, without as great a miracle as was wrought for the three children in the furnace; and which there is no reason to expect will be wrought in favour of cormorants, bitterns, owls, ravens, dragons, fatyrs, wild beafts, thorns, nettles, and brambles. In the 10th verse we read of a period, called for ever, wherein this land is to be on fire, and is not to be quenched night nor day: and the fmoke of it is to afcend up for ever; but in the 17th verfe, it is faid, that the before-mentioned birds and bealls shall possess it for ever, even from generation to generation shall they dwell therein. But one of these periods must end before the other can begin; the fire must cease to burn, and the smoke to ascend, before beafts can take up their conflant dwelling there, and birds can lay and hatch, and gather their young ones under their shadow, and enjoy the society of their mates. And thus the whole prophecy may be fulfilled, not in the fame, but in different periods: and thus also may all the threatenings and all the promifes in the facred book be accomplished, not at once, but each in their feafon.

It appears evident, that our Lord, by alluding to a fire that shall burn on earth, and to worms that shall devour the flesh of the flain, could not mean to prove the torments of men to be absolutely endless; at least, the expressions of the worm that dieth not, and the fire that is not quenched, do not necessarily imply it; which has been fufficiently proved by the paffages where the fame or fimilar expressions are used, where yet the subject cannot intend endless duration; and this is all that

can be necessary to prove at present.

As to the expression of being salted with fire, as every facrifice was falted with falt; I am not so clear what might have been our Saviour's intent in this expression; but I think, in the first place, he intended to teach us, that they should not be annihilated by the fire, but preferred therein, to be tormented day and night in the presence of the holy angels, and in the presence of the Lamb, to the age of ages. I would also propose, whether our Lord did not mean to intimate, that even the fire itself shall be of use, under his direction, to humble, fubdue and penetrate the stubborn and difobedient rebels that shall be cast into it. Fire, as well as falt, is a great puritier; and preferves and cleanses those things which are able to endure it; and is the great agent by which all metals are feparated from their drofs, and prepared for the use for which they were defigned. Under the law, all unclean things that could endure the fire, were ordered to be cast into it, in order to their cleanfing.

FRIEND. What you have faid concerning the fires that are represented as unquenchable, in several pasfages of Scripture, is worthy of attention; but you should consider, that there fires were all on earth, and in time, and therefore must have an end, or cease to burn; but the fire of hell is in eternity, and therefore must last as long as eternity shall endure. Pray, what

can you fay to this?

MINISTER. Had those unquenchable fires never gone out while earth endured, or while time lasted, there might have been fome force in this argument; but

fince

fince the continuance of the fire does not depend upon the feason in which it is kindled, but upon the combustibles that feed and support it, this can be no objecjection: therefore, fince those unquenchable fires that have been mentioned, were kindled on earth, and yet did not burn while earth lafted, but have gone out long ago, there is no necessity of granting, (even though we should admit your premises of the fire of hell being kindled in eternity) that the unquenchable fire of the burning lake must unavoidably burn to all eternity, merely because it is supposed to belong to that flate: but if punishments only belong to those ages before Christ shall refign the kingdom to the Father, and the lake of fire shall be this terraqueous globe, diffolyed or melted with fervent heat, then the ground is changed, and the whole objection vanishes of courfe.

FRIEND. As you have come over this objection better than I expected you could, I shall leave it for the prefent, and confider more fully, when I am by myfelf, what you have faid upon this fubject; and shall now propose the greatest objection that can be brought against the Restoration of all men, from the Scriptures; and which, if you can fairly answer, I shall be almost perfuaded to believe with you; but I am perfuaded,

that you will be hard put to it.

MINISTER. Produce your cause, and bring forth your strong reasons, that we may hear them; and if I am filenced, I will not be ashamed to acknowledge it with

all my heart.

FRIEND. I shall bring my objection from the Scriptures, and state it with the utmost precision that I am able --- It is the blasphemy against the Holy Ghost, of which our Saviour speaks in the most awful manner, faying, 'Wherefore I fay unto you, all manner of fin and blasphemy shall be forgiven unto men; but the blasphemy against the Holy Ghost shall not be forgiven unto men. And whofoever speaketh a word ' against the son of man, it shall (or may) be forgiven him; but whosoever speaketh against the Holy

Ghost, it shall not be forgiven him, neither in this world (or age) neither in the world (or age) to come. Verily, I fay unto you, all fins thall (or may) be for-' given unto the fons of men, and blasphemies wherewith foever they shall blaspheme; but he that shall blafpheme against the Holy Ghost, hath never for-'giveness, (or hath not forgiveness to the age) but is 'in danger of eternal damnation.' St. Mat. xii. 31, 32. St. Mark, iii. 28, 29. This is fuch a matter of importance, that three of the evangelists notice it. St. Luke hath it thus: 'And whofoever shall speak a word 'against the son of man, it shall (or may) be forgiven ' him; but unto him that blasphemeth against the Holy 'Ghost, it shall not be forgiven.' St. Luke, xii. 10. St. Matthew faith, this fin shall not be forgiven in this world, nor in that to come---St. Mark, that fuch an one hath never forgiveness, but is in danger of eternal damnation --- and St. Luke positively faith, it shall not be forgiven: and to confirm the matter still more, if possible, St. Paul saith, 'For it is impossible for those who were once enlightened, and have tasted the heavenly gift, and were made partakers of the Holy Ghoft, and having tafted the good word of God, and ' the powers of the world to come, if they shall fall ' away, (or and have fallen away) to renew them again ' to repentance; feeing they crucify to themselves the 'fon of God afresh, and put him to an open shame. For if we fin wilfully, after that we have received 6 the knowledge of the truth, there remaineth no more ' facrifice for fins, but a certain fearful looking for of 'judgment, and fiery indignation, which shall devour the adversaries. He that despised Moses's law, died ' without mercy, by the mouth of two or three wit-'nesses, of how much forer punishment, suppose ye, ' shall he be thought worthy, who hath trodden under foot the fon of God, and hath counted the blood of the covenant, wherewith he was fanctified, an unholy 'thing, and hath done despite unto the spirit of grace?' Heb. vi. 4, 5, 6. x. 26--29. And the fame apostle directs

directs us, faying, 'Looking diligently, left any man fail of the grace of God; left any root of bitternefs foringing up trouble you, and thereby many be de-

filed; left there be any fornicator, or profane person, as Esau, who for one morsel of bread sold his birtheright. For ye know how that afterwards, when he

would have inherited the bleffing, he was rejected; for he found no place of repentance, though he fought it carefully with tears. Heb. xii. 15, 16, 17.

And St. John, the beloved disciple, says, 'If any man see his brother sin a sin which is not unto death, he shall ask, and he shall give him life for them that sin not unto death. There is a sin unto death: I do not say that he shall pray for it.' I John, v. 16.

Now here is a fin for which there is no forgiveness, neither in this world (or age) or in that to come--which shall not be forgiven at all --- he that committeth it hath never forgiveness, is in danger of eternal damnation --- he cannot be renewed again to repentance, because he hath crucified Christ to himself afresh, and hath put him to an open shame: having sinned wilfully and maliciously, after receiving the knowledge of the truth, to fuch no more facrifice for fins remainethjudgment and fiery indignation are his certain portion --- he is an adversary, and must be devoured --- a forer punishment than death without mercy awaits him, of which he is worthy, for that he hath trodden under foot the fon of God, the only Saviour, and hath counted the precious blood of the covenant, wherewith he was fanctified, and which alone is able to cleanfe from fin, an unholy thing; and hath done despite to the spirit of grace, which is only able to renew the heart, and therefore the case of such must be desparate; for if fuch an one, like Efau, should wish to repent, and gain what he had loft; it could not be, for he would find no place for repentance, though he might feek it carefully with tears; for having committed the fin unto death, for which no prayer is to be made, no intercession to be offered up, he is bound over to the fecond

fecond death, the lake of fire and brimftone, and must bear the punishment of his fins for ever and ever!!!---

What fay you to this?

Minister. As when Nebuchadnezzar told Daniel his dream, he was aftonished for one hour at the greatness of the punishment which he saw would inevitably come upon the king, much more must all those be who read and consider these dreadful threatenings, which must furely come upon all those who have sinned in the manner described! I shall make a few observations upon these most terrible passages of Scripture, partly to prevent seeble minds from falling into despair, that may see them collected in one striking view, partly to cure proud minds of presumption, and partly to shew that the dostrine of the Restoration may be defended, notwithstanding.

1. We are fure that the Scribes and Pharifees of our Saviour's time, who blashemously ascribed his miracles to the power of the devil, did, in the most direct and undeniable manner, commit that sin; and some are doubtful whether it can be committed by any in these days.

2. It is generally acknowledged, that the Hebrews were in danger of committing that fin, by openly and wilfully apostatizing from Christianity, and publicly renouncing Christ and his salvation, and blaspheming against the Holy Ghost, after having been partakers of

its extraordinary gifts.

3. It cannot be committed by ignorant persons, nor without a considerable degree of malice prepense; light in the understanding and malice in the heart are necessary ingredients of this dreadful crime; and it appears to me, it must be committed openly, and that

it cannot be committed in thought only.

4. Under the Levitical difpensation there were many unpardonable sins---crimes that could not be forgiven or overlooked, and for which no atonement could be made, and which were punished with death, without mercy; other crimes, unless facrifices were offered, and repentance took place, subjected the parties to death also; but, under the gospel, there is but one

crime that is properly unpardonable, and that absolutely subjects the person guilty of it to the second death; vet many other fins are threatened with the fame punishment conditionally, but they may be forgiven, and not punished at all; but this one must as certainly be punished with the second death, as murder, or any other crime, was by the law of Moses punished with the death of the body, without mercy or forgiveness. Forgery is the unpardonable sin of England: people frequently fuffer death for other crimes, as well as this; but other offences are fometimes forgiven, but this never; it is always punished with death. This circumstance may illustrate my meaning. The fin against the Holy Ghost is an offence of that kind, that, either owing to its uncommon malignity, as is most likely, or some other cause, exposes the guilty person to the age of judgment, from which he cannot escape by repentance, pardon, and sprinkling of the blood of Christ, as other sinners may; neither can he be at present born of the spirit, to which he hath done despite; nor can he be reclaimed by any possible means, in this age, or in the age that is to succeed this; but is inevitably bound over to fuffer the inconceivable torments of the fecond death, or lake of fire and brimstone, after the day of judgment.

5. There is no kind of dispute between us, respecting the certainty of the punishment of fuch; in this we both agree: he that finneth against the Holy Ghost is in danger of eternal damnation, or judgment, or the fecond death: --- the question is, Shall there ever come a time when the fecond death, or lake of fire, shall no more exist? If this can be proved, the conclusion will be evident, viz. that not one shall remain under the power thereof to all eternity: upon this, and this alone, depends the folution of this awful, interesting, and most important question; and I consider all other anfwers as mere quibbles, compared with this. And if it cannot be proved that a time will come when all that bears the name of death shall be destroyed, those who commit the fin unto death, must, at least, be allowed

allowed to fland as exceptions to the general rule; and I am apt to think the rule itself will be overthrown. I shall therefore labour this point a little; and if I should be so happy as to prove to your satisfaction the total destruction of death, it will answer many other objections as well as this. My only refuge is Scripture; if that sail me, I shall not presume to pursue the subject farther.

Ifaiah, xxv. 8. 'He will fwallow up death in victory; and Adonai Jehovah will wipe away tears from off all faces.' Hosea, xiii. 14. 'I will ransom them from the power of the grave; I will redeem them from death. O death, I will be thy plagues; O grave, (or hell) I will be thy destruction; repentance shall be hid from mine eyes.' 1 Cor. xv. 26. 'The last enemy that shall be destroyed is death'—or rather, as the words may more properly be arranged—Death, the last enemy, shall be destroyed.' The second death is infinitely more the enemy of man that the first, and may therefore be considered as an enemy which God will destroy. Now,

If the last enemy shall be destroyed, there will not

be one left:

But the first is true; therefore also the last. As, Would it not be highly absurd to say, that, although

the very last enemy shall be destroyed, yet many millions shall remain to all eternity?

Verse 56. 'The sting of death is sin.' While sin remains in existence, death will be able to shew its sting; but the time will come when death shall have no sting to boast of; therefore sin, and consequently death of every kind, shall be destroyed. I John, sit. 8. 'For this purpose the son of God was manifested, 'that he might destroy the works of the devil.' Unless Christ sinally destroys the works of the devil, even all sin out of the universe, his purpose must be eternally frustrated:

But the last can never be; therefore the first is true. Heb. ii. 14. 'Forasmuch, then, as the children are partakers of slesh and blood, he also himself likewise

took.

'took part of the fame; that through death he might 'defroy him that had the power of death, that is the 'devil.' Now what death has the devil power over? the death of the body? or that of the foul, which confifts in enmity againft God, and feparation from him? 'To be carnally minded is death; but to be 'fpiritually minded is life and peace. Because the 'carnal mind is enmity againft God; for it is not sub-'ject to the law of God, neither indeed can be.' Rom. viii. 6. 7. If this death, with the consequence of it, is that which the devil has the power of, then must this death be defroyed.

But I think the first is true; therefore also the last.
Rev. xxi. 4. we read, 'And God shall wipe away 'all tears from their eyes; and there shall be no more 'death, neither forrow, nor crying, neither shall there 'be any more pain; for the former things are passed 'away.' Here is a state spoken of beyond all death; a state wherein forrow, crying, and pain shall be no more. This state is cotemporary with the new heaven and earth, after the lake of sire hath ceased.

 F_{RIEND} . We have always understood this passage to relate to the death of the body, and even to the death of the righteous only; but making this state cotemporary with the new heavens and earth, seems to throw

a new light upon the subject.

Minister. Most certainly the word death here implies the second death; for we are informed, in the foregoing chapter, of the first resurrection, even that of the martyrs, who were beheaded for the witness of Jesus, and for the word of God; and such as had not worshipped the beast and his image, neither had received his mark in their foreheads, or in their hands: then we read of a thousand years between this resurrection, and the rest of the dead living again: after this, we find, that the dead, small and great, stood before God, and were judged; and such as were not found written in the book of life, were cast into the lake of sire, which is expressly called, 'the second death;' which, as before observed, is probably the

earth in its melted flate. In this chapter we find, that all things are to be made new; and *death* is to be no more, neither forrow, nor crying, neither any more pain. But this must be the fecond death, or lake of fire; for the refurrection of all the bodies, both of the just and unjust, had been spoken of before.

Thus, as all fin, and all that bears the name of death, shall be entirely destroyed at last, the doctrine of endless misery seems to fall to the ground, or, at least, cannot be certainly proved from Scripture, but rather

the contrary.

The time must come when all things shall be subject to Christ, when he shall destroy death, the last enemy, by destroying sin, which is the sting of death; so this dreadful fin, as well as others, shall be no more. For if this was not to be the case, it could never be true that 'where fin abounded, grace did much more 'abound;' for it never would abound quite fo much; neither would death and hell be filent when God shall ask the great questions, 'O death, where is thy sting? Ograve, (or hell) where is thy victory?' for death could fay, Here is my tting---that fin against the Holy Ghoft, which must endure to all eternity, and which even divine grace shall never destroy; I have, therefore, the victory and dominion over these sinners who have committed it, and will hold it while God himfelf exists. Then death could never be destroyed, nor fwallowed up in victory; neither would forrow, crying, and pain cease; neither could God ever be ALL IN ALL, in any other fense, with respect to them, than he is now; nor would every tongue fwear; neither would all things wholly be made new, nor all the former things ever pass away! neither could the universal chorus of praife ever be fung by every creature in heaven, on earth, and under the earth, and throughout God's wide domain; and, finally, many Scriptures would never feem to be fulfilled, in the fullest sense. Rom. v. 20, 21. 'But where fin abounded, grace did ' much more abound; that as fin hath reigned unto death, even fo might grace reign, through righteouf-G 2

" ness, unto eternal life, by Jesus Christ our Lord.' ---Now, if grace shall abound more than sin, it shall be as universal, and more powerful: but the first is true, therefore also the last. If grace shall be as extensive as fin, and more powerful, all who have finned shall be restored: but the first is true, therefore also the last.

What confequences must follow from the supposition that fome of God's creatures shall always remain his enemies! Either God created fome to be miserable to endless ages--or must be frustrated eternally in his defigns---or all must be restored at last, and made happy by love and free love.

The first is blasphemous, the second is dishonourable to God; therefore the third must be true; for I cannot

think of a fourth conclusion.

FRIEND. What do you think of the deplorable cafe of Ffau, 'who, for one morfel of meat, fold his birth-' right; and afterwards, when he would have inherit-' ed the blefling, he was rejected; for he found no place of repentance, though he fought it carefully, with

fears?

MINISTER. He certainly lost, or rather fold, his birth-right; in confequence of which he loft the bleffing belonging to the first-born: but left any should be led to conclude from this, that poor Efau had no bleffings at all, the same apostle informs us, that ' By faith ' Ifaac bleffed Jacob and Efau, concerning things to 'come.' Heb. xi. 20. By this we find, he was bleffed as well as Jacob; but in a less degree.

FRIEND. Do we not read, 'Jacob have I loved, but 'Efau have I hated?' Rom. ix. 13. Mal. i. 2. 3.

MINISTER. Yes, most certainly; but then this love and hatred, fo called, was manifested to their posterity, and not to their persons, in the manner described by the prophet; 'I have loved you, faith Јеноулн; yet 'ye fay, Wherein hast thou loved us? Was not Esau 'Jacob's brother? faith JEHOVAH: yet I loved Jacob, ' and I hated Efau, and laid his mountains and his he-' ritage waste, for the dragons of the wilderness.' ---This manifestation of hatred did not affect the eternal

flate of their fouls, but their condition in this world. God declared, that Jacob's feed flould exift as a diffinct people to the end of time, but that Efau's flould not: and this difference is evident; for the remains of Efau's feed were, in the days of the Maccabees, incorporated with the feed of Jacob, and exifted no more for ever, as a nation by themfelves. From Jacob's race the Meffiah was to come, and all nations were to be bleffed in the feed of Ifrael. In all thefe inflances, and in many others, there was a manifest preference of Jacob to Efau; but nothing like positive hatred can be intended.

Chrift fays, (St. Luke, xiv. 26.) 'If any man come 'to me, and hate not his father and mother, and wife 'and children, and brethren, and fifters, yea, and his 'own life also, he cannot be my disciple.' But we cannot suppose our Lord intended positive, but comparative hatred; according to St. Matth. x. 37. 'He 'that loveth father or mother more than me, is not 'worthy of me; and he that loveth son or daughter

6 more than me, is not worthy of me.'

FRIEND. What you have faid upon this subject appears to have some weight, and I will consider it more fully hereafter: but I must beg leave to ask you how you get over that great gulph which is placed in Hades, of which Abraham speaks to the rich man, saying, 'And besides all this, between us and you there is 'a great gulph sixed; so that they which would pass' from hence to you cannot; neither can they pass to 'us that would come from thence.' Does not this imply the absolute impossibility of the rich man's being ever restored?

Minister. You have asked me many questions; give me leave to ask you one.---Do you believe, that Jesus of Nazareth was able to pass that impassable gulph?

FRIEND. Indeed, that is a question I never heard proposed before, and which I am not prepared to answer, without farther consideration. Pray, be so kind as to give an answer yourself, and tell me what you think of it.

MINISTER. I believe, that with man it is impossible; but with God all things are possible: and I believe that Jefus Christ was not only able to pass, but that he actually did pass that gulph, which was impassable to all men, but not to him; and he assures St. John that he he had paffed it; and not only fo, but that he had the keys of the same in his possession; for he saith---'Fear not; I am the first and the last; I am he that ' liveth and was dead; and behold, I am alive for ever-' more, amen; and have the keys of hell and death.' And St. Peter informs us, that 'Christ once suffered for fins, the just for the unjust (that he might bring 'us unto God); being put to death in the flesh, but ' quickened by the spirit; by which also he went and ' preached to the spirits in prison; who sometime were ' difobedient, when once the long fuffering of God 'waited, in the days of Noah, while the ark was a 'preparing.' And he also tells us, that we 'shall give account to him that is ready to judge the quick and the ' dead. For, for this cause was the gospel preached also ' to them that are dead,' (in distinction from them that are quick) 'that they might be judged according to men ' in the flesh, but live according to God in the spirit.' See Rev. i. 18. 1 Pet. iii. 18, 19, 20. iv. 5, 6.

 F_{RIEND} . You know, the common opinion is, that the fpirit of God, in Noah, preached unto the inhabitants of the old word; who, in St. Peter's time, were that up in the prifon of hell: but, I must consets, it

appears to me a very dark text.

MINISTER. If you only observe how particular the expressions are in these texts, I think it will soon appear, that not the Spirit of God in Noah, but the spirit or soul of Christ, in its disembodied state, is here intended. His body was doubtless quickened by his soul, or spirit coming into it again—Was it not?

FRIEND. It feems most reasonable to believe it

was.

MINISTER. Then observe the next words, he went; here the idea of a journey to a distant place is intimated.

mated, the original word being expressive of an actual passage from one place to another, and is the same that is used in verse 22, for the ascension of Christ into heaven, fo that he appears to have gone into the prison in the same proper sense, as he afterwards went into heaven. He actually journeyed to the place of confinement, and preached to the spirits, &c. He preached the gospel, no doubt; not to men in the body, but to the spirits -- to those in a disembodied state; not only so, but to the spirits in prison: had they not been in the prison, Christ would not have gone into the prison to preach to them. But who were these spirits? St. Peter informs us, that they are those who were fometime disobedient; but this expression intimates that the time is perfectly past; as, 'Ye were the servants of sin: for when ye were the fervants of fin, ye were free ' from righteousness.' Rom. vi. 17, 20. St. Peter tells us when they were disobedient; when once the long suffering of God waited in the days of Noah, while the ark was a preparing: here he evidently diftinguithes the two periods of their visitation; one is called the preaching of Christ, by his spirit, after he was put to death in the slesh; and the other is called the long-suffering of God, which waited in the days of Noah, while the ark was a preparing. The long fuffering of God waited upon them, before they were drowned, while the ark was building; but Christ preached to them when they were ipirits in prison. They were difobedient to God's long fuffering; but it is not certain that they were fo when Christ preached to them; but the contrary is intimated in these words: ' For, 'for this cause was the gospel preached also to them that are dead,' &c. This cannot intend those that are spiritually dead only, but those whose bodies are dead; because we here find the dead set in opposition to the quick, or those whose bodies are alive, and not those that are spiritually alive; for we may observe, that whenever the words quick and dead occur, by quick we always understand those whose bodies are alive; and by dead, those who have ceased to exist here.

Acts,

Acts, x. 40, &c. St. Peter, in his fermon to Cornelius and his family, informed them of Jefus, who was flain, whom 'God raifed from the dead, and shewed ' him openly; not to all the people, but unto witneffes, 'chosen before of God; even to us, who did eat and ' drink with him, after he rose from the dead. And 'he commanded us to preach unto the people, and to ' testify, that it is he, who was ordained of God to be ' the judge of quick and dead.' And St. Paul fays to Timothy, 'I charge thee, therefore, before God, and ' the Lord Jefus Christ, who shall judge the quick and ' the dead at his appearing, and his kingdom, preach 'the word,' &c. See 2 Tim. iv. 1. So, in 1 Pet. iv. 5. the words quick and dead are used in the same manner; and then immediately, while the idea is warm in our minds, the apostle gives us the reasons why the gospel was preached to the dead, (or the spirits in prison) of which he had before informed us, and now repeats again, and affures us, that it was, that they might be judged according to men in the flesh: or, as though they had heard it while they were alive in the flesh: but also, that they might live according to God, in the spirit. The gospel not only was, but is preached to them that are dead in a moral or spiritual fense. It need not have been faid, For, for this cause was the gospel preached ALSO to them that are dead, if only the spiritually dead are intended; for it is rarely preached to any other but fuch. Why should it be faid, that they might be judged according to men in the flesh, if they were men in the flesh at the time when it was preached to them?

This paffage proves the existence of the soul after the death of the body: for unless the souls of the antedeluvians existed after the drowning of their bodies, Christ could not have preached to them in prison: but the dead being opposed to the quick in this passage, sufficiently, and even incontestibly, determines the

fense.

With a little attention, we may eafily be convinced, that Christ was not only designed to be a covenant of the people, (meaning the Jews) and a light to the Gentiles---which two descriptions comprehend all the living---but also, to bring out the prisoners from the prison, and them that sit in darkness out of the prisonhouse, which, if it be not a repetition) must intend the dead, as all the living were mentioned before.

the dead, as all the living were mentioned before.

'And he faid, It is a light thing that thou shouldest be my fervant, to raise up the tribes of Jacob, and to restore the preserved of Israel; I will also give ' thee for a light to the Gentiles, that thou mayeft be 'my falvation unto the ends of the earth. Thus faith ' IEHOVAH, In an acceptable time have I heard thee, and in a day of falvation have I helped thee; and I ' will preserve thee, and give thee for a covenant of ' the people, to establish the earth, to cause to inherit 'the defolate heritages.' Thus far the redeemer's work feems limited to the earth, and respects the living; but the prophet goes much farther, and fays---'That thou mayest fay to the prisoners, Go forth; to 'them that are in darkness, Shew yourselves: they fhall feed in the ways, and their pastures shall be in 'all high places. They shall not hunger nor thirst; ' neither shall the heat nor fun smite them; for he that 6 hath mercy upon them shall lead them, even by the 'fprings of water shall he guide them.' See Isaiah, xlii. 6. 7. xlix. 6, 8, 9, 10. compared with Rev. vii. 14-17. The work of the Saviour, as described by the elegant pen of Isaiah, (chap. lxi. 1, 2, 3.) feems to comprehend a great variety of particulars; all which he hath performed already, or shall execute in due time. 'The spirit of Adonai Jehovan is upon me; because Jehovan hath anointed me, (1) to preach ' good tidings to the meek: (2) he hath fent me to bind up the broken-hearted; (3) to proclaim liberty to the captives, (4) and the opening of the prison to them 'that are bounn: (5) to proclaim the acceptable year of Jehovah, (6) and the day of vengeance of our 'God: (7) to comfort all that mourn: (8) to appoint ' unto them that mourn in Zion, to give unto them, first, beauty for athes; fecondly, the oil of joy for mourning;

' thirdly, the garment of praife for the spirit of heavi-'ness; that they might be called, first, trees of righte-

'oufness; fecondly, the planting of JEHOVAH; (and ALL

' for this great end) that he might be glorified.'

Our Lord Jefus Christ, by his process, hath laid a foundation for the recovery of all men; 'For to this 'end Christ both died, rose, and revived, that he might 'be Lord both of the dead and living.' Rom. xiv. 9. He passed through all our states, that he might redeem us. He came down from heaven—he was conceived in the womb of Mary—he was born of her—he lived in the world unknown—he sympathized with us in our forrows—he bare our fins in his own body on the tree—he was buried—he descended into Hades*—he arose—ascended—fitteth at the right hand of God—and maketh continual intercession for us.

It feemed necessary, that our Saviour should visit men in all situations †, that he might redeem them. The

apostle

* The Greek adns, hades, answers to the Hebrew hand fheol. The Saxon word Hiel (from whence Hell) very well expresses the meaning of both, as an unseen place or state. Much controversy has existed whether Hades be a place or state only. I think it includes both for the following reasons:—

1. Our Lord fays of the wicked rich man, (Luke, xvi. 23.) that c in Hades he lift up his eyes, being in torments, and feeth Abrabam afar off, and Lazarus in his bofom. Here are two different flates or conditions; that of the rich man, who was in torments, and that of Lazarus, who was in Abraham's bofom. Both these

states are in Hades, or the invisible world.

2. Though Hades is the ftate of difembodied spirits, both good and bad, yet every created spirit must have an ubi, a place, a somewhere in which it is definitively; so that it is here, and not there, and much less every where. It is reasonable, therefore, to conclude, that Hades conveys the idea of place as well as state.

I conceive that Abraham's bosom, or Paradise, and Gehenna, or the place of torment, are both in Hades; that is in the hidden or unseen world. The modern use of our English word Hell is restricted to the place and state of punishment only; but have sheel, as well as adns, hades, is used, with greater latitude for the state of the dead in general.

† That Christ went both to Paradise and Gehenna, we have the

following proof---

apostle informs us, faying, 'Forasmuch as the chil-' dren are partakers of fleth and blood, he also himself

1. Our Lord promifed the dying thief, 'To-day thou shalt be with me in Paradife:' confequently, Christ was in Paradife that

2. David, speaking prophetically of Christ, says, 'Thou wilt onot leave my foul in Sheol,' i. e. the state of the dead. That his foul should not be left in Sheol, implied that it should go there, even as the promise that his flesh should not see corruption implied that his body should die. Pfalm. xvi. 10. Acts, ii, 29. St. Peter tays, that Christ was put to death in the flesh, but quickened by the spirit, by which he went and preached to the spirits in prison. 1 Pet. iii. 19. And St. Paul also fays, that Christ descended into the lower parts of the earth, Col. ii. 15.; and that he is Lord of the living and the dead, Rom. xiv. 9. And our Lord fays to John, that he hath the keys of Hades, i. e. the unfeen world, and of death, i. e. the grave; that he openeth (Hades and the grave) and no man shutteth; that he shutteth and no man openeth. Rev. i. 186

iii. 7.

From the above passages it seems clear, that when he was in Hades he vitited both those in Paradise and those in prison. Paul gives a reason, Ephes. iv. 9, 10. why Christ thus descended and afcended, namely, that he might fill ail things. I understand the apostle as faying, that Christ did this that he might fulfil all the Father's will, by taking upon himfelf the government of heaven, earth, and the unfeen world; that he might be Lord of all places, and of all perfons; confequently, the dead, whether good or bad, are as much under the government of Christ as the living; and as he received this universal empire that he might subject all things to himself, I Cor. xv. 24-28; that he might reconcile all things to the Father, Col. i. 20, that he might gather together, or rehead, all things in himself, Ephes. i. 10.; so I am persuaded that he will do this great work, for he is able to subdue all things to himself. Phil. iii. 21.

We will here add the opinion of Bishop Beveridge in his Comment on the Thirty-nine Articles. The third article reads thus--As Christ died for us, and was buried, so also it is to be believed that he went down into hell.' On which the Bishop thus expatiates-- Though this Article be in itself as clear and certain as any of the rest, yet men, having exercised their fancies so varioully upon it, have drawn, as it were, a veil over it, and eclipfed 'the light of it; and hence it is, that some do not rightly under-4 stand it, others scruple it, yea, and others do in plain terms contradict and gainfay it. That Christ descended into hell is not a fruth of yesterday's growth; but almost all the fathers of the · primitive

'likewife took part of the fame; that through death, he might defiroy him that had the power of death,

that is, the devil; and deliver them who, through

fear of death, were all their life-time subject to bondage.' Heb. ii. 14, 15. It was not only necessary that he should die, to vanquish death, and to redeem us from its power; but it was equally needful for him to go into those places where spirits were consined in the regions of darkness; that he might gain universal dominion, spoil principalities, and redeem the captives whom he had bought with his blood, in order that he might ascend up to heaven, and open to his followers the gates of cternal life.

'Wherefore he faith, When he afcended up on high, he led captivity captive, and gave gifts unto men. 'Now that he afcended, what is it but that he also defected first into the lower parts of the earth? He that descended is the same also that ascended up far above all heavens, that he might fill all things.' Ephes. iv. 8, 9, 10. 'And having spoiled principalities and powers, he made a shew of them openly,

' triumphing over them in it.' Col. ii. 15.

Thus our Saviour, by his divine process, hath obtained a right to open the prison doors, and let the captives go free: and though the rich man was in torments, where he could not get a drop of water to

f primitive church have acknowledged and received it as an article of their faith. He then produces the testimony of twelve of them, passing by many others; among whom St. Ignatius says expressly, 'He (Christ) descended into hell alone, but ascended with a multitude. And St. Jerome says, 'Hell is a place of punishments and torments, where the rich man, who used to be cloathed in purple, was seen; whither also the Lord descended, that he might loose them from prison who were bound there: for none is delivered from hell, but only by the grace of Chr.st, and therefore did Christ descend thither after his death.

That ancient formulary of faith, The Apoltle's Creed, fays, that Christ suffered, died, and was buried that he descended into hell. Modern critics labour to prove that the meaning of the creed is only, that Christ was laid in the grave. Common sense refutes this expli-

cation.

cool his tongue, and had judgment without mercy, because he had shewed no mercy: yet it is possible, that, by the blood of the covenant, he may be sent forth out of the pit wherein is no water. See Zech. ix. 11. The Lord Jesus is able to take the prey from the mighty, and to deliver the lawful captive. Isaiah, xlix. 24.

Such as fit in darkness, and in the shadow of death, being bound in affliction and iron; because they rebelled against the words of God, and contemned the counsel of the Most High; therefore he brought down their heart with labour; they fell down, and there was none to help.'--.'This evidently points out the deplorable, miserable state of sinners, cut off in their sins; having rebelled against God's words, and contemned his counsels; for which reason he hath shut them up in darkness, and in the shadow of death; in such a situation, that no power but his own can give them the least help, much less release. Such circumstances seldom occur in this life; but these words are a lively and affecting description of the miseries of the future state.

'Then they cried unto Jehovah in their trouble, and he faved them out of their diffress. He brought them out of darkness, and the shadow of death, and brake their bands in sunder. O that men would praise Jehovah for his goodness, and for his wondersul works to the children of men! For he hath broken the gates of brass, and out the bars of iron in sunder.

Pfalm cvii. 10---16.

This amazing deliverance feems to be described in such language as corresponds much better with the deliverance of the spirits from their dreadful prison, than with any temporal mercies that are bestowed on mankind here on earth. 'The righteous shall see it and re- joice, and all iniquity shall stop her mouth. Whose is wife, and will observe these things, even they shall understand the loving kindness of Jehovah.' Ver. 42, 43.

Those who are acquainted with the Divine character, and see his designs of mercy towards his creatures,

H

thall rejoice in the fame: they that are wife shall obferve these things, and shall understand his loving kindness, and that 'Jehovah is good to all; and his ten-'der mercies are over all his works. Pfalm cxlv. 9.

Thus, how impossible foever it may appear to us, that the rich man should ever be delivered, we must remember, that 'with God nothing shall be impossible.' St. Luke, i. 37. 'Is there any thing too hard for Jehovah?' Gen. xviii. 14. 'Behold, (fays he) 'I am Jehovah, the God of all sless: Is there any

' thing too hard for me?' Jer. xxxii. 27.

Our Saviour fays, (St. Matth. xix. 24. St. Mark. x. 25. St. Luke, xviii. 25.) 'It is easier for a camel to 'go through the eye of a needle, than for a rich man 'to enter into the kingdom of God.' Whether the word here used intends a camel or a cable, it certainly implied the greatest difficulty imaginable, even amounting, in the view of his disciples, to a natural impossibility, or they would not have been, as the evangelist expresses it, exceedingly amazed, and astonished out of meafure at his words, 'faying among themselves, Who 'then can be saved?' But though Jesus meant to represent the matter as in itself a kind of natural imposfibility, and absolutely impossible to men, vet he could not intend thereby that it never should be accomplished, because he immediately adds, 'With men, this is 'impossible; but not with God; for with God all 'things are possible.' St. Mark, x. 27. Therefore, though it was impossible for men to pass the gulph in Hades, yet, as we have feen, Christ was able; and therefore, as we know not the ne plus ultra of his power to fave, we cannot positively conclude against the Restoration from this instance of the rich man, unless we could find fome passages of Scripture where God has threatened never to reftore, or reconcile fuch to himfelf, whom he hath once cast off: the contrary to which I think may be proved: but I am at prefent confidering, that, in the nature of things, it is not impossible for God to restore the rich man, if he so pleases; and, confequently, others that are in the same situation

Behold the rich man in another world!---He feems now to have much more true benevolence in him, than in his life-time; for when he could not obtain a drop of water for his tongue, he pleads much more earneftly for Lazarus to be fent to his five brethren, to teftify to them, left they also should come into the same place of torment with himself. Here he urges the natter most carneftly, and does not feem willing to be denied. This shews him not to have been so lost to virtue as Satan; since he and his angels, though miserable themselves, seek to make all men so too, though their tor-

ments are increased thereby.

Add to all this, that Abraham called the rich man Son, and bade him remember, that in his life-time he had his good things, and likewife Lazarus evil things; and that therefore it was but reasonable that the scene should be changed; that Lazarus should be comforted, and he tormented. And I think nothing can be fairly argued from his case in favour of endless damnation: for in case he was doomed to suffer while God exists, there could be no proportion between the fufferings, torments, and evil things of Lazarus on earth, and those which he endured in hell; whereas it is intimated in the Scriptures, that all things are determined by number, weight, and measure. Let us hear what our Lord has faid upon the subject. St. Luke, xii. 47, 48. 'And that 'tervant who knew his Lord's will, and prepared not himfeif, neither did according to his will, shall be beaten with MANY stripes. But he that knew not, ' and did commit things worthy of ftripes, shall be beaten with FEW stripes; for unto whomsoever much is given, of him shall be much required: and to whom men have committed much, of him they will 'ask the more.' But what difference, so much to be noticed, could there be, if both were to be beaten to all eternity? Or how could either be faid to have FEW, if there was never to be an end? Impossible! Rev. xviii. 7. 'How much she (Babylon) hath glorified her-' felf, and lived deliciously; fo much torment and for-'row give her.' All this appears just and reasonable: H2 but

but to suppose a poor ignorant heathen, or a child of ten years old, will remain in mifery as long as the most perfecuting tyrant, or apostate Christian, seems to contradict all the ideas we have of justice and equity, as well as of goodness; for in this case, who can suppose that each one is exactly rewarded according to his works? And especially, can any think, that mercy has any hand in a reward where there is feemingly no proportion? Whereas we read, (Pfalm lxii. 12.) 'Alfo unto thee, O Lord, belongeth mercy; for thou ren-' derest to every man according to his work *.'

FRIEND.

* I think it clear from the Scriptures, that future judgment and punishment stand upon the ground of mediation, and that they are intended as means to accomplish the great end of subduing all things to Christ, that all things may be reconciled to the Father by him. The common notion of judgment is, that the moral law is a covenant of works; that all men are under it as a covenant; that we have univerfally broken it, and are become guilty; that this covenant knows nothing of mercy; that the curse of it is endless punishment; that those who die in unbelief are in no sense related to Christ; but that God out of Christ will inflict the whole penalty of the broken covenant upon them. Hence endless damnation is vociferated from almost every pulpit. But men and brethren, are these things so?

1. I read of many covenants in my Bible; but a covenant of works I have not found there. That the moral law was given to the Braelites on the ground of mercy is evident, because it is introduced by the Almighty, faying, I am the Lord thy God. Here God's gracious relation to them is the reason why they ought to obey that law .-- And in the fecond commandment God fays, he shews mercy to thousands who love him, and keep his commandments. Here then is mercy; but the idea of mercy and a covenant of works are faid to be opposite; consequently, the moral law is not a covenant of works. Add to this, that the apostle fays, that the law is not against the promises. Gal. iii. 21. But a covenant of works is against the promises; therefore the law, as given to the Israelites, is not a covenant of works.

2. Adam was not under a covenant of works---at least the Bible mentions no fuch thing. Besides which, the very idea which the Hebrew word בריד berith, covenant, conveys cannot be admitted without the prior confideration of fin; for ברית berith, fignifies purification; and to make a covenant is literally, to cut off a purifier, and always F_{RIEND} . Indeed, I could not have thought that formuch could have been faid upon that fide of the cafe; and though I am not yet wholly fatisfied, I must confess, some of my great difficulties seem to be removed, from what you have said; and yet there appears something very dreadful in the account. Pray, do you understand it as a parable?

MINISTER. I am most inclined to think it is a piece of real history, known to our Saviour, who was well

has typical respect to the death of Christ, for which there could

be no reason before Adam sinned.

3. That Adam was under a law is fully granted; but it was a law of love, as well as of holinefs. A rational creature cannot be without law, either in heaven, earth, or hell; but flill it is a law of love; for the mercy, the tender mercy of the Lord is over

all his works. Pfalm cxlv. 9.

That Adam broke the law of his creation is also fully granted, and that death was the confequence to him and all his field; but it is contended that a dispinsation of life to all was then immediately manifelted in the promifed feed, who is 'the Lord from heaven, 'the quickening spirit,' through whom 'justification to life comes.

" upon ALL men."

4. I therefore confider, that when Adam finned, the dispensations of God towards men took a new ground; that the plan of mediation was brought forward; that the Father, as an act of his love, gave all things into the hand of the fon. John iii. 35. The fon, in the fulnels of time, came in our nature, to assume his government, and to administer this kingdom; and sha'l coatinue his government, till all things shall be subdued, reheaded, and restored. The government of all things is fo compleatly in the hands of Christ, that ' The Father judgeth no man, but hath committed all ' judgment to the fon.' It appears also, that the Father will punish no man, for 'he hath given the fon power to execute judgment 'also, because he is the son of man.' Hence one great doctrine of the gospel, which is to be declared to men, is, that 'Christ is ordained by God to judge both the quick and the dead,' Acts, x. 42.; and 6 that we must all stand before the judgment-seat of Christ.

From fuch Scriptures I learn, that judgment is a mediatorial work, not founded upon the moral law as a covenant of works, but upon the mercy of God in Chrift, and that it is a mean to an end; and that, however judgment may be shewn without mercy, during the proper age thereof, yet mercy shall simily rejoice against judgment.

H3 acquainted

acquainted with what paffed in the spiritual, as well as the natural world; and who intended thereby to give an awful warning to the Pharisees, (who were covetous) of the dreadful condition of wicked rich men in the next state; and especially of those who

neglect or despise the poor.

Friend. I am inclined to think with you in this matter; and therefore, without any further delay, I shall pass to mention another strong objection to the doctrine of the Restoration of all men, vis. the instance of fudas; of whom our Saviour says, 'The fon of man goeth, as it is written of him: but woe to that man by whom the son of man is betrayed; it had been good for that man if he had not been born.' St. Matth. xxvi. 24. St. Mark, xiv. 21. If Judas should ever be restored, how could the Saviour say, 'Good were it for that man if had never been born?' I think you will find it difficult to remove this objection; for, if he is ever to be happy, (though after many ages) it will be good for him that he was born.

Minister. I cannot think that this is fo firong an argument against the general Restitution, as most that use it imagine. It was a common proverb among the Jews, when any great missfortune happened to a man or his samily, to say, 'Good were it for that man, if 'he had never been born.' And thus our Saviour used it with great propriety respecting Judas: for who that thinks with any reason at all would not have wished that he had never been born, rather than to have be-

traved the dear Redcemer?

 F_{EIEND} . But can any thing less than *endless* damnation be sufficient to justify the expression of 'Good were' it for that man that he had never been born?'

MINISTER. I am of opinion that even worldly troubles (short as they are) may sufficiently justify the expression. There are a thousand circumstances into which the children of Adam fall, that make their case infinitely worse than though they had never been born, even without supposing a state of suture punishment at all. I had rather, a thousand times, never have been

born,

born, than to have betrayed Christ, even upon the fuppolition that I had never been doomed to fuffer for it beyond this life. Job, when he had lost his substance, his children, and his ease, opened his mouth, and, through excess of grief, cursed the day of his birth; though it does not appear that he had any fear

of future damnation, but the reverle.

' And Job spake and faid, Let the day perish where-'in I was born; and the night in which it was faid, 'There is a man-child conceived. Let that day be ' darkness; let not God regard it from above: neither bet the light shine upon it. Let darkness and the shadow of death stain it; let a cloud dwell upon it: let ' the blackness of day terrify it. As for that night, let 'darkness seize upon it let it not be joined unto the ' days of the year; let it not come into the number of 'the months. Lo! let that night be folitary, let no ' joyful voice come therein. Let them curse it that curse the day, who are ready to raife up their mourning. 'Let the stars of the twilight thereof be dark; let it 'look for light, but have none; neither let it fee the dawning of the day; because it shut not up the doors of my mother's womb, nor hid forrow from mine 'eyes. Why died I not from the womb? Why did ' not I give up the Ghost, when I came out of the 'belly? Why did the knees prevent me? or why the the breafts that I should suck? For now should I ' have been still, and been quiet; I should have slept; then had I been at rest, with kings and counsellors of ' the earth, who built desolate places for themselves; or with princes, that had gold, who filled their houses with filver: or, as an hidden untimely birth, I had 'not been; as infants, who never faw light. There the wicked cease from troubling, and there the weary be at rest. There the prisoners rest together; they ' hear not the voice of the oppressor. The small and ' great are there; and the fervant is free from his maf-' ter.' See Job, iii. 2---19.

From this discourse it seems, that Job thought it would have been better for him never to have been born.

born, than to have fallen into fuch fore troubles in this prefent life, without taking the other into his account; but if he had known that he had been doomed to fuffer the amazing torments of the fecond death, in the lake of fire and brimftone, what would he have faid? Even upon the fupposition that it was only to last for ages, he would have thought that his being born into this world, to be fitted for such a punishment, would have been the greatest curse that could have befallen him.

If Job, who could fay, 'I know that my Redeemer ' liveth, and that he shall stand at the latter day upon the earth: and, though after my fkin worms deftroy this body, yet in my flesh shall I see God: whom I shall see for myfelf, and mire eyes shall behold, and not another, ' though my reins be confumed within me. He knoweth the way that I take; and when he hath tried me, I ' shall come forth as gold. My foot hath held his steps: his way have I kept, and not declined; neither have I ' gone back from the commandment of his lips: I have effcemed the words of his mouth more than my neceffary food. Though he flay me, yet will I trust in ' him: but I will maintain mine own ways before him. He also shall be my falvation. My righteousness I hold fast, and will not let it go: my heart shall not ' reproach me fo long as I live.' (See Job, xix. 25, 26, 27. xxiii. 10, 11, 12. xiii. 15, 16. xxvii. 6.) And who can make fuch a folemn protestation of his innocence and uprightness, before God and man, as we find in the xxix. xxx. and xxxi. chapters of that book? If fuch a man had reason to say, Wherefore, then, hast thou brought me forth out of the womb? Oh! that 'I had given up the ghost, and no eye had seen me! I ' should have been as though I had not been; I should ' have been carried from the womb to the grave,' (fee Job, x. 18, 19.) with what amazing propriety might Christ say of Judas the traitor, who sinned in such a dreadful manner, and had fuch horrible guilt on his conscience; who died in black despair, perithed in such an awful fituation, in his fins, and, probably, by his OWD

own hands*; who fuffered the most violent agitations of mind, died under the power of the horrid suggesti-

ons

* Upon mature confideration on this fubject, I think it is to be doubted, yea, that there is evidence that Judas did not perish by his own hands. The candid reader will attend to the following thoughts.—

1. Judas, though he was a traitor, yet was not a blafphemer of Christ; but, being arrested in his act of treachery, he repented him-

sclf.

2. His repentance had the greatest possible proofs of sincerity. He confessed his guilt—he confessed it too before the parties concerned with him in his sin; parties who were well able to resent his confession, as a heavy accusation of themselves—his confession, like his sin, was also public—and he cleared his hands of the price of blood; he brought the thirty pieces of silver, and threw them down in the temple, and said, I have sinned in that I have betrayed innocent blood.

3. The words και απελθαν, απηγξαίο, kai apelthon, apegxato, which we render 'and departing he hauged himfelf,' literally are, 'and 'departing was strangled.' Mat. xxvii. v. Dr. Hammond paraphrases the passing thus—'He was so affected with sorrow, hor-'ror, and despair, that in a fit of melancholy, or suffocation, he suddenly fell down upon his sace, and burst, and died. See Acts, 'i. 19.' for which, in his notes, he gives many authorities. Dr. Lightsoot also, on the passing, says, that Judas did not hang himself, but was strangled. It must be confessed, that the Doctor most piously makes the devist to be the executioner of Judas. I avail myself of his literary testimony, but reject his superstition.

4. Being strangled with grief, though not very common, is a circumstance that sometimes happens in cases of extreme and sudden anguish of mind. An instance of it happened in the month of July, 1798, on the public theatre at Liverpool. John Palmer, the comedian, playing the character of the Stranger, in a comedy of the same name, suddenly fell backward and died upon the spot. No other reason could be given, but that the character of the Stranger was so expressive of Mr. Palmer's own distressed fituation, that

he was overcome by it, and strangled with grief.

N. B. Mr. Palmer's domestic happine shad been lately rained.

s. It does not appear, that covetousness was the great motive from which Judas betrayed on Lord; for if so, would be have been content with the patry sum of thirty pieces of silver, which is only about three pounds fifteen shillings? Would be not rather have asked a princety sum? And that the rulers would have given it, is not to be doubted, for we know they gave large morey to built the foldiers afterwards. Mat. xxviii, 13. It is extremely improbable, bable,

- 6. I know

ons of the great enemy of men, without one fmile, or look of forgiveness, from Jetus, or even daring to feek

bable, therefore, that Judas took this reward merely from the motive of covetouiness, (though it is faid, he was a thief, and had the bag) we ought to fearch for some other principles of action in him. Without going far about we may perceive some very adequate ones, which were common to all the apostles, and from which Judas acted the part of a traitor. The kingdom of Christ is a spiritual kingdom, and the subjects of it must, in the present state, be fufferers, and wait by faith for a reward in an administration of his kingdom yet to come. Our Lord often taught this; but his disciples had no ears to hear it. They dreamed of a temtoral kingdom, and ardently expected its honours and profits. Hence they often contended who should be the greatest, i. e. who should be prime minister in the kingdom. Hence John and James, by the interest of their mother, fought for the two chief places; and hence the indignation of the other disciples when they heard the request of the brothers. Now only suppose that Judas had the same views of the kingdom as being temporal, and the fame ambition to be a great man, we shall then find sufficient motives for his conduct. He had feen the miracles of Jesus, and was highly impressed with a fense of his power; he had feen his modesty and humility, and, like the kinfmen of our Lord, could not reconcile his character of Meffiah with his low condition and love of privacy. See John, vii. 3, 4. Might he not think that if he could bring his mafter into fuch circumstances as should cause him to avow his character openly, and to take the kingdom, that he should even do a good work? That then he should not only be forgiven, but even highly rewarded, as having shewn his love to Jesus? All this feems very natural; and the smallness of the reward which he had from the rulers seems to shew, that he had hoves much beyond that. Add to this, that Judas did not think of any harm to his master is evident, for "when he faw he was condemned, he repented h mielf,' &c. Mat. xxvii. 3. I do not see but that Judas loved his mafter as really as Peter, who denied hm, or as the other disciples, who forfook him and fled; but being more deeply tinctured with ambition to possess the temporal honours of the kingdom, he tell more deeply than the other divides did; and feeing the defign of his plan frustrated, and the life of his beloved master in danger, he made every reparation which a fincere but in staken man could make, he avowed the innocence of Jefus-confeded his own guilt-returned the money with abhorrence-and, fo great was his grief that it strangled hun-he fell down on his face, and his bowels gushed out. Thus he stands to this day as an awful warning of the fin of worldly ambition in the kingdom of Christ.

it; whose forrow in this life far exceeded Job's, (for Job had no sense of guilt, treason, and ingratitude---nor was he filled with rage, blasphemy, and despair)---and who must probably have his portion in the second death--- Good were it for that man if he had never been born! even upon the supposition that his torments are not designed to continue while God exists.

Jeremiah is another instance much to my purpose, who wished that he had never been born, even at the

6. I know it will be objected, that our Lord called Judas a devil. I answer, He did fo; and he likewife called Peter Sutan—The fame fine was common to them both—they did not relift the things that were of God—the fpiritual and fuffering thate of his kingdom—but the things that were of men—temporal greatness and honours.

Into this they both fell; Judas most remarkably.

Again, I shall be told that Judas went to his own place, i. e. Hell. I answer, That he fell from his apostleship is evident, and another was chosen in his room; as it is written, 'Let another take his 'office;' (Pfalm eix. 8. Acts, i. 20.) but that he is gone to hell I cannot learn from the Scriptures, notwithstanding that our translation of Acts, i. 24, 25, seems to imply it. Take a more literal translation of these two verses—'And they prayed and said, Thou, 'Lord, who knowest the hearts of all, shew which of these two thou hast chosen, to take a part of this ministry, and to go into the proper place of the apostleship, from which Judas by transgression fell.' See Scarlett's New Translation of the New Testament,

That Judas is called 'the fon of perdition,' may be well accounted for from his fearful end. The Hebrews fay, fons of Belial, for wicked men, Deut. xiii. 13.—Son of the bow, when they would expreis an arrow, Job, iv. 19.—Sons of the fire, i.e. frarks, Job, v. 7.—Son of death, 2 Sam. xii. 5.—Son of ftripes, Deut. xxv. 2. So our Lord fays, fon of perdition, i.e. he that

will perish.

N. B. The repentance of Judas has been objected to as not being evarge ical, because it is said in our translation, 'he repented 'himself; that is,' say the objectors, 'his repentance was his own 'work—not the work of the spirit of God.' So low a quibble as this does not deserve an answer; nor would I notice it, but for the sake of honest enquiring minds, who are liable to be injured by such as lie in wait to decrive. The word milapianses, metamelesheis, by which the repentance of Judas is expected, is the same that our Lotd used Mat. xxi, 29 and 32. Will any man say, that Christ did not speak of evangelical repentance in these places?

very time when he knew the Lord was his helper; only behause he had been put in the stocks by Pashur, and had fusiered a little pain and shame in a good cause. He was not afraid of endless damnation, nor yet of any future punishment; for he thus expressed himself in all the language of full affurance: 'But Jehovan is with 'me, as a mighty terrible one; therefore my perfecutors shall stumble, and they shall not prevail; they ' shall be greatly ashamed, for they shall not prosper; ' their everlasting confusion shall never be forgotten. But, O JEHOVAH of Hosts, that triest the righteous, ' and feeft the reins and the heart, let me fee thy ven-' geance on them, (or thou wilt let me fee, &c.) for unto thee have I opened my cause. Sing unto Jr-'ноvaн, praise ye Јеноvaн: for he hath delivered the foul of the poor from the hand of evil doers.' How strong his faith! how full his assurance! Yet it is evident, that he thought it would have been much better for him, persenally, never to have been born; for he immediately adds, 'Cursed be the day wherein 'I was born; let not the day wherein my mother bare "me be bleffed. Curfed be the man who brought tidings to my father, faying, A man child is born unto thee, making him very glad. And let that man be as the cities which JEHOVAH overthrew, and re-' pented not: and let him hear the cry in the morning, and the shouting at noon-tide, because he slew " me not from the womb: or that my mother might, have been my grave; and her womb to be always great with me. Wherefore came I out of the womb 6 to fee labour and forrow, that my days should be con-' funied with shame?' See Jer. xx. 11---18.

Here is not a word about a flate of future punishment, much less *endless damnation*, and yet the good prophet Jeremiah thought, that if he had never been born,

it would have been far better for him.

 F_{RIEND} . I must confess, I never before considered it possible to answer this objection; you have done much towards solving it: but you must consider that both Job and Jeremiah passionately exclaimed, and, through forrow,

forrow, uttered fuch rath words as, in their cooler moments, they repented of; and therefore, what they fnake of themtelves cannot wholly fet afide the objection. Had they delivered those expressions as general truths, and declared that men had much better never have been born, than to have fuffered fuch degrees of worldly forrow, it would have more than answered the objection; but, when men under grief exclaim in fuch a manner, we cannot ground a matter of fuch importance upon what they fay respecting themselves; as their minds, being overwhelmed with trouble, and the immediate fensations of pain, are biassed, and cannot utter the calm dictates of fober reason: but Christ, though under great forrows himself, faw the case of Judas fo deplorable, that he expressed himself thus respecting him; which was the sober truth, without exaggeration; and could this be faid of him, or of any other of the human race, upon the supposition that mifery is not absolutely endless?

MINISTER. Our Lord, fpeaking of the destruction of Jerusalem, says, 'And woe unto them that are with 'child, and to them that give suck in those days,' (see St. Mat. xxiv. 19. St. Mark, xiii. 17.) not because of their future endless damnation, in distinction from others, but on account of their present trouble and forrow, as is explained, Luke, xxi. 23.--- But woe to 'them that are with child, and to them that give suck in those days; for there shall be great distress in the 'land, and wrath upon this people.' And when the

Saviour was led to death, we read, 'And there follow-'ed him a great company of people, and of women, 'who also bewailed and lamented him. But Jesus, 'turning unto them, faid, Daughters of Jerusalem,

weep not for me, but for yourfelves, and for your children. For behold the days are coming, in the which they shall fay, Blessed are the barren, and

'the wombs that never bare, and the paps which ne-'ver gave fuck. Then shall they fay to the moun-

tains, Fall on us; and to the hills, Cover us. For if they do these things in a green tree, what shall be

done in the dry?' St. Luke, xxiii. 27--31.

And

And who would not, a thousand times, choose rather never to have been born, than even to see, far less experience, the miseries which came upon Jerusalem and its inhabitants? Would it not have been better for mothers never to have been born, than to have killed and eaten their own children in the siege? And would it not have been better for the children never to have been born, than to have been food for their mothers?

But Solomon not only reprefents a fiate of great mifery and affliction in this life, as worfe than not to have been born, but also a state of the greatest prosperity, if it ends in difgrace; for he fays, 'If a man beget an hundred children, and live many years, so that the days of his years be many, and his soul be not filled with good, and also, that he have no burial; I say, ' that an untimely birth is better than he. For he (the ' untimely birth) cometh in with vanity, and departeth in darkness, and his name shall be covered with darkness. Moreover, he hath not seen the fun, 'nor known any thing: this hath more rest than the other. Yea, though he live a thousand years twice told, yet hath he feen no good (i. e. no equivalent 'good, to balance his difgrace). Do not all go to one ' place?' All came from dust, and turn to dust again. See Ecclef. vi. 3---6.

The flate here described by Solomon is not only infinitely better than the damnation of hell, though limited by certain periods, but far preserable to many, if not to most situations of men in this life; and yet he presers an untimely birth to a man who lives more than twice the age of Methuselah, and has an hundred children of his own, if he has not his soul silled or fatissied with good; and that he bath no burial, or has not honour (credit or reputation) at his death.

Long life and many children were formerly eftermed as the greatest of blessings; but as the things of this life cannot fill the soul with good, so a man had better never have been born, than to have enjoyed the good things of this world in the greatest profusion, if he dies in disgrace, and has no burial, or is not honoured at his death. Yet this is not worthy to be named in

the fame day with the damnation of hell; yet is frequently threatened as a great and terrible judgment; which, if it falls upon a man, however he may have enjoyed long life, health, wealth, and all kinds of profperity, it makes it worfe for him than if he had never been born, according to the decision of God himself; and, therefore, no wonder that Christ faid of wicked Judas, 'Good were it for that man, if he had never been born *.'

Though I have answered you so largely, yet one word might have sufficed to have answered the whole objection at first; viz. Had Judas died before he had been born, and perished from his mother's womb, he would never have sinned, far less betrayed Christ; he would have entered into peace immediately, 'where one wicked cease from troubling, and where the 'weary are at rest.' In that case he would have escaped the judgment that came upon him, and would have had more rest than those who should live in all the affluence of life two thousand years, and beget each an hundred children, and yet should not be honoured at their death.

Some would have answered the objection shorter, by faying, Christ promised twelve thrones to his twelve

* The words 'Good were it for that man if he had never been born,' are proverbial—This has been difputed, and the proof of it has been called for: let it now be given. Mr. Winchefter has referred to the words of Job, Solomon, and Jeremiah, but has not quoted any Jewish authors; Dr. Gill shall therefore do that for him; and no man, who knows the Doctor's character, will dispute his authority in Jewish literature. In his notes on Mat. xxvi. 44. and Mark, xiv. 21. where these words concerning Judas occur, he fays, 'This is a rabbinical phrase, frequently used in one form or other, and sometimes as our Lord spoke it; and it is applied to such as speak false and lying words, and regard not the glory of their Greator.' Of this the Doctor gives proof, by refering to Zohar, in Gen. fol. 41. 1. Misn. Chagiga, c. 2. sec. 1. T. Bab. Chagiga, fol. 16. 1. besides many instances of its being used in other torms essewhere.

It becomes those who maintain the doctrine of endless misery to consider how weak a foundation a common proverb is, to suppost such a tremendous idea.

apostles, among whom Judas was one; and therefore. he must be restored, or the promise cannot be fulfilled; see St. Mat. xix. 28.; but this I do not insist upon; nevertheless, those who answer the objection this way make an observation that may be worth attention, viz. that Peter, speaking of Judas, faith, 'Let his habita-'tion be desolate, and let no man dwell therein;' that is, Let his mansion be referved for him, let no man take possession of it; but 'his bishopric, or ossice, 'let another take.' See Acts, i. 20. compared with Pfalm laix. 25. cix. 8. But I am rather of opinion that the defolation of the habitation of the wicked, and the want of inhabitants in their tents, respects this state, and is part of the curfe pronounced upon all traitors; and may therefore, in an eminent fense, be applied to Judas, and also to the rebellious nation of the Jews at large; and therefore, as these words do not intend their restoration, so neither are they any objection to it; for St. Paul applies part of the lxixth Pfalm to the Jews as truly as St. Peter applies it to Judas: fee Rom. xi. 9, 10. And yet he fays, 'I fay then, have they stumbled that they should fall? God forbid: but rather, through ' their fall, falvation is come unto the gentiles, to pro-' yoke them to jealoufy. Now, if the fall of them be ' the riches of the world, and the diminishing of them ' the riches of the gentiles, how much more their ful-'ness?' And thus, from the 11th verse to the end of the chapter, goes on to speak of their being again received, reconciled, and grafted again into their own olive tree; and that their blindness is only for a time; 'And so all Israel shall be faved. There shall come out of Sion a deliverer, and shall turn away ungod-'liness from Jacob:' that God's covenant, or promise, is to take their fins away; that they are beloved for the fathers' fakes; that the gifts and calling of God are without repentance; that, therefore, they shall obtain mercy at last; and that, for this very purpose, God hath concluded them all (or thut them up) in unbelief, that he might not only punish them thereby for their transgressions, and also, through their unbelief, cause the gentiles to obtain mercy and salvation; but

also, that he might have mercy upon all those whom he had thus shut up in unbelief, without exception. See

the whole chapter.

Here, then, are three defigns, worthy of a God of infinite wifdom, power, and goodness, in this one dark dispensation, the rejection of the Jewish church and nation:—1. That he might punish them for their iniquity. 2. That others might come in their room, stand in their office, and be made partakers of their privileges: and, 3. That they might be reserved to mercy and forgiveness at last. And what happened to a whole nation of traitors, might happen to an indivi-

dual of that nation; and vice versa.

FRIEND. This is a subject of great importance, and if you can maintain the system you have espoused, and answer the remaining objections that may be brought against it, it will most certainly give me pleasure, and relieve my mind from great anxiety. I am a father of many children, and God knows the tears I have shed on their account! but could I receive your views, with what pleasure should I look upon them!!! But I have many remaining dissillies and objections still to propose. May God preserve me from error and salse doctrine! I wish to know what is really the truth in this matter; for above all things I dread deception.

MINISTER. I affure you, my friend, that I should be as loth to deceive you, as you are to be deceived: and had I not the surest considence, through the Lord, of the truth and reality of this most glorious system, and did I not find the highest fatisfaction in it myself, I should never presume to hold it forth to others. But as I have an engagement that calls me elsewhere just now, I must beg you to excuse me; hoping that in a little time I shall have another opportunity of hearing the remainder of your objections; and in the mean time I advise you to search the Scriptures, and pray

God to lead you into all truth.

DIALOGUE III.

FEIEND. I AM glad to meet with you, to have fome farther conversation upon the very important and intersting subject of the final Restoration .---Since I faw you last I have had opportunity of hearing fome very capital objections made against this system, which I beg leave to state in the plainest and strongest manner.

MINISTER. Your frankness is well pleasing to me, and I am ready to hear whatever can be urged against my fentiments, and will do my endeavour to answer all reasonable objections; therefore propose them as soon

as you think proper.

FRIEND. One grand objection that is very generally made against the doctrine of the Restoration is, that it tends to licentiousness; that it is the doctrine that the ferpent preached to Eve; for we read, (Gen. iii. 4.) And the ferpent faid unto the woman, Ye shall not 'furely die:' and that it is a doctrine calculated to give encouragement to the wicked to continue in their evil ways; that it is 'faying, Peace, peace, when 'there is no peace;' and that this doctrine is as dangerous as that of the wicked prophetesses of Ezekiel's time, of whom God fays, 'With lies ye have made the heart of the righteous fad, whom I have not made ' fad, and strengthened the hands of the wicked, that he should not return from his wicked way, by pro-' miting him life. They fay unto them that despife 'me, JEHOVAH hath faid, Ye shall have peace; and ' they fay unto every one that walketh after the imagin-'ation of his own heart, No evil shall come upon you.' Jer. viii. 11. Ezek. xiii. 22. Jer. xxiii. 17.

It is faid, that it is the nature of God to lay the highest possible restraint upon sin, and therefore he has threatthreatened it with eternal, or endless punishment; and this is even found too weak to prevent the prevailing of iniquity. What a flood of impiety, therefore, would overflow the world, if it should be generally believed, that, after some ages of suffering, mankind should be reftored to some degree of happiness! Would not the refraints be wholly taken off from the lufts and passions of the wicked, if once this doctrine should become prevalent? Will you be fo kind as to give a fair and candid reply to this objection?

MINISTER. This objection is stated with all possible force; I shall therefore endeavour to answer it as well as I can; putting in this caveat, that if I thould not answer it to your satisfaction, do not imagine that no folid answer can be given; but impute it to my not being fufficiently mafter of my fubject, or not being able to express my mind so clearly as I could wish. As this objection is frequently made, and often used, by people who mean well, but have not confidered the fubject thoroughly, I shall be as explicit as possibly

I can upon it.

I would first observe, that the great truths, or first principles, upon which the Restoration is founded, and from which it is derived by natural and easy confequences, are far from tending to licentiousness: but, in order to make this evident, it will be proper here to

fet them down in their order.

FIRST PRINCIPLES, Upon which the Doctrine of the Final and Universal Restitution is founded.

1. God is the universal and only Creator of all; contrary to the opinion of the Manichees of old, who believed the devil to the creator of most, if not all visible beings: the Muggletonians of the last century, and the Buchanites lately, affert nearly the fame fentiments; contrary to Rev. iv. 11. Col. i. 16. Pfalm c. 3. Numb. xvi. 22. Isaiah, lxiv. S. Ezek. xviii. 4. Zech. xii. 1. and a vast number of other Scriptures.

Now,

Now, who can fay, that this noble thought, which St. Paul enlarges upon fo beautifully, (Acts, xvii. 24 --- 30.) tends, in the leaft, to make men wicked? Does it not tend to dignify and ennoble human nature, to be told, that God is our Father, Creator, and First Cause; and that we were made by his power, according to his will, and for his pleasure; and that the chief end for which he made us, was, to glorify his name, and enjoy him for ever?---as the Assembly's Catechism beautifully declares. This is one of the principles from which God himself deduces the certainty of the final end of wrath, as I have observed before :-- 'For I will ont contend for ever, neither will I be always wroth: for the spirit should fail before me, and the souls which I have made.' Ifaiah, Ivii. 16 .-- Those who venture to contradict their Maker, and fay, that he will contend for ever, and be always wroth, ought to be able, at least, to give as good a reason why he will, as he has affigned why he will not; and, confequently, prove that he hath not made all fouls; which is the true foundation upon which endless misery must be founded, and the only doctrine which is perfectly confittent with it.

The poor untutored Indians in America argued (with a miffionary that was fent from Sweden to convert them) from the universal providence of God, that he, who was so kind a Father as to provide for their bodies all things needful, had not wholly neglected their fouls: but this I do not insift upon, though I think the argument has weight in it; and, certainly, the providential goodness of God, and his long suffering, tends to lead men to repentance. Rom. ii. 4. 2 Pet. iii. 9.

2. The universal benevolence of the Deity, or the love of God to his creatures, is one of the first principles from which the General Restoration is deduced; and who can say, that this leads to licentiousnes? If those who believe that God loves them in particular, find that consideration the strongest obligation on them to love him again, and to obey his will; by the same rule, if all the individuals of the whole human race were

were to believe that God loved each one of them, would not the same cause produce the same effect? And if 10, can this be charged as a licentious doctrine, which is expressly grounded upon a cause which powerfully operates to produce holine's? Is there any thing like argument in this reasoning-I know that God loves me, and feeks to do me good, therefore I must hate him? What should we think of a woman who should leave her hufband, and do all in her power against him, and should be able to give no better reason for it than the following-My hutband loves me, and I know it, and he has always loved me, and always will; and therefore I am determined to hate, ridicule, despise, and contemn him, and have left him for this very cause, and am determined never to love or obey him more? Bad as human nature is, I question whether such instances often occur. We commonly say, that love begets love: 'We love him because he first loved us,' fays the apostle. 1 John, iv. 19. Therefore the doctrine of God's universal benevolence, cannot lead to licentiousness, in any light in which it can be viewed; for, if he really loves us, he will do all in his power to bring us to love him again, and to be like him; and I am fure the confideration of his love to us, goes as far as moral fuafion can go, to induce us to love him again; nay, the belief of it is acknowledged to be one of the strongest motives to obedience; and the love of God fhed abroad in the heart produces the best effects, and is the most powerful principle and spring of good and virtuous actions, that we are acquainted with. This being a first principle, from which the Universal Restoration is concluded, we are happy to find that 'God 'is love;' and that he 'fo loved the world, as to give 'his only begotten fon, that who foever believeth in him should not perish, but have everlasting life: for God fent not his fon into the world to condemn the world, but that the world through him might be ' faved.' See 1 John, iv. 16. St. John, iii. 16, 17. But it is not so much my business now to shew that the sentiment is fcriptural, as to flew that it is not of a dangerous

gerous tendency. The following words, however, are

fo beautiful, that I take the liberty to mention them-But thou hast mercy upon all; for thou canst do all things, and winkest at the sins of men, because they ' should amend. For thou lovest all the things that ' are, and abhorrest nothing which thou hast made: for never wouldest thou have made any thing, if thou ' hadft hated it. And how could any thing have en-' dured, if it had not been thy will; or been preferv-'ed, if not called by thee? But thou sparest all; for they are thine, O Lord, thou lover of fouls. For thine incorruptible fpirit is in all things; therefore chastenest thou them, by little and little, that offend, ' and warnest them, by putting them in remembrance wherein they have offended, that, leaving their wic-' kedness, they may believe on thee, O Lord. For thy ' power is the beginning of righteousness; and because thou art the Lord of all, it maketh thee to be gra-'cious unto all. But thou, O God, art gracious and true; long faffering, and in mercy ordering all things. For if we fin, we are thine, knowing thy power; but " we will not fin, knowing that we are counted thine." Wisdom of Solomon, xi. 23---26. xii. 1, 2, 16. xv. 1, 2. 'JEHOVAH is gracious, and full of compassion. flow to anger, and of great mercy. JEHOVAH is good ' to all; and his tender mercies are over all his works. 'All thy works shall praise thee, O Jenovan, and thy ' faints shall bless thee.' Pfalm cxlv. 8, 9, 10. 3. Another great principle upon which the Restoration depends, is, that CHRIST died for all: 'We fee Fefus, who was made a little lower than the angels, for the fuffering of death, crowned with glory and ho-'nour; that he, by the grace of God, should taste

our fins; and not for ours only, but also for the fins of the whole world.' 1 John, ii. 1, 2. 'For there is one God, and one Mediator between God and men, the Man Christ Fesus, who gave himself a ransom

death for every man' (or ALL). Heb. ii. 9. 'If any man sin, we have an advocate with the Father, Jesus 'Christ the righteous; and he is the propitation for

' for all, to be testissed in due time.' 1 Timothy, ii.

5, 6.

I need not multiply passages to prove that Christ died for all; for though the univerfality of his death is not expressly afferted in every text where it is mentioned that he died, it must always be understood; because it is never denied in any place, and is plainly and pointedly declared in those which I have quoted: and, befides, it is evident, that in the apostle's time, the univerfality of the death of Christ was a first principle, univerfally acknowledged, and therefore St. Paul reasons from it as fuch; which it would have been highly preposterous for him to do, if that had not been the case; as, for example- For the love of Christ constraineth 'us; because we thus judge, that if one died for all,' (which is not disputed by any, and which we know to be a truth) 'then were all dead; and that he died for ' all, that they who live, thould not henceforth live unto themselves, but unto him that died for them, and ' rose again.' 2 Cor. v. 14, 15.

 F_{RIEND} . But have you never heard it argued that Christ did not *die* for *all*, because he did not *pray* for

all?

MINISTER. I remember, when a lad, I was fent to a neighbour's house, and overheard the good man, the matter of the family, read in a book after this manner: ' Christ did not die for all, because he did not pray for 'all: I pray for them; I pray not for the world, but ' for them which thou haft given me, for they are 'thine.' St. John, xvii. 9. And I then thought the argument conclusive; but I am now at a loss whether the author of that book meant to deceive his readers, or whether he had never read the chapter through critically; for, had he read the 20th verse, he would have found these words, which would have overthrown his hypothesis:--- Neither pray I for these alone, but for ' them also who shall believe on me through their word.' If Christ had prayed for all, in the 9th verse, for whom he died, he could not have enlarged his prayer fo much in the 20th verse, as to take in not them only, but all that should believe on him through their word: neither does he stop here, but goes on to pray for those that believe in these words--- That they all may be one, as thou, Father, art in me, and I in thee; that they also may be one in us. And why is all this unity prayed for among believers? Surely, it hath never been accomplished; but it shall be, for this great and admirable purpose, viz. That the world may believe that thou hast fent me. And the glory which thou gavest me, I have given them, that they may be one, even as we are one: I in them, and thou in me; that they may

be made perfect in one, and that the world may know that thou hast fent me, and hast loved them as thou

'hait loved me.' Verses 21, 22, 23.

FRIEND. But when shall the world believe, and know

that Christ is the Sent of God?

MINISTER. When all that believe shall be one, as the Father and the Son are one: when the great marriage of the Lamb shall be celebrated, and his Bride shall be one, in the bond of universal love and sellowship, as the Father and Son now are: when the church shall be perfected in one; shall dwell in love and dwell in God as the Father dwells in the Son, and the Son in the Father: when Zion's watchmen shall see eye to eye; when all believers shall speak the same thing; when there shall be no more divisions among them; when they shall be perfectly joined together in the same mind, and in the

fame judgment.

This was the ftate that St. Paul befought the Corinthians to press after, and wished them to attain; but he had the mortification to see them fall short of it, as all the Christian churches have from that day to this. But when Christ shall give that glory and honour to his bride, which the Father gave to him, and shall thus unite her to himself, in an indissoluble union, and the several members of his body, the church, shall be as much united one to another, as the members of the natural body are; or, to express it in his own words, As thou, Father, art in me, and I in thee.' When thus the church shall be one, in spirit, love, design, independent

judgment, &c. as the Father and Son are; then shall the world believe, and, believing, have life; then shall the world know him, whom to know is life eternal: see verses 2 and 3. But as this great cause has never yet existed, the effect has not yet followed; but when the strip shall be, the last shall take place in consequence.

The petitions in that most excellent prayer, that may, with great propriety, be called the Lord's Prayer, may be divided into four class. 1. For himself, veries 1st and 5th. 2. For his apostles, 9---19. 3. For them that should believe, through their word, verses 20---23. And, 4. For the world, verses 21, 23., as I have just observed, and need not add any more upon so plain a matter.

FRIEND. Proceed, if you please, to shew that the doctrine of the universality of the death of Christ does

not lead to licentiousness.

MINISTER. It is evident that it doth not; but, on the contrary, it is the strongest motive to all who believe it, to love and live to him who died for them, and rofe again. We are not our own, but are bought with a price; therefore, we are exhorted not to be the fervants of fin. flaves to our passions, and servants to men; but to glorify God in our bodies and spirits, which are his: and the aposile beseeches us, by the mercies of God, to prefent our bodies a living facrifice, holy, acceptable unto God, which is our reasonable service. Forasmuch as we know that we were not redeemed with corruptible things, as filver and gold; but with the precious blood of Christ, as of a Lamb without blemish. and without fpot. See 2 Cor. v. 15. Rom. vi. 12, 13. 1 Cor. vii. 23. vi. 19, 20. Rom. xii. 1. 1 Peter, i. 18, 19.

What a horrid thought would it be, that Christ should be the minister of sin, and that his blood-shedding should cause wickedness to abound! The love of God, in giving his son to die, is enough to move an heart of stone; 'For when we were yet without 'strength, in due time Christ died for the ungodly; for, 'scarely for a righteous man will one die; yet, perad-

K venture.

wenture, for a good (kind, benevolent, generous) man, fome would even dare to die. But God com-

' mendeth his love towards us, in that, while we were

'yet finners Christ died for us. Much more, being now

justified through his blood, we shall be faved from wrath through him. For if when we were enemies, we were reconciled to God by the death of his four

we were reconciled to God by the death of his fon, much more, being reconciled, we shall be saved by

' his life.' Rom. v. 6---10.

Here the death of the Lord Jefus is laid as the ground, and the falvation of men inferred from it, with the greatest possible certainty; yet, will any one fay, that because Christ hath died for him, therefore he will indulge himself in sin? God forbid. Some fay, that if they believed this doctrine, they would live in sin, and indulge themselves in their lusts and passions; but then it may be observed, that those who say so are its enemies, and those who oppose the view, and not

those who receive it.

There is fomething fo brutish and unaccountable in fuch dispositions, as would lead men to hate their best friends, merely because they are so---that would lead them to hate God, because he loved them --- and despise Christ, merely because he died for them, that, for the honour of human nature, I would hope these instances are rare. But to the point --- I have conversed with many who believed that Christ died for them in particular, and yet I never heard them fav, that they hated him for it; but, on the contrary, that they loved him exceedingly. Now, is not the fame cause likely to produce the same effect? If a thousand persons, for inflance, all believing that Christ died for them, find their hearts constrained to love him for it, would it not have the same effect upon ten thousand, ten millions, or ten millions of millions? And if it would cause licentiousness to abound in the world, to preach that Christ died for all, if it was universally believed; by the fame rule, it must cause it to prevail, in a lesser degree, to preach, that he died for a small part, at least, among those who believe themselves to be of the number; and, therefore, it must not be preached at all, that he died for any—Who can deny the consequence? It seems to be evident, that Christ has done and suffered too much for those that he died for, to lose them finally: and thus the Universal Restoration stands connected necessarily with the universality of the death of Christ, and is deduced therefrom, in the easiest manner; therefore, the doctrine of the former cannot tend to licentiousness, as it stands upon the ground of the latter, which hath been demonstrated to have no such tendency.

4. Another principle upon which the Universal doctrine depends, is, the unchangeableness of God. Whom he loves once, he always loves; he loved his creatures when he made them, as none can well deny; their fins he never loved, nor ever will; he hath declared, that he loved us when finners, but never as finners. His eternal and conftant hatred of all fin, and his unchangeable love of all his creatures, are of the nature of primary truths, from which the doctrine of the general Refeoration may be eafily and plainly inferred: In this view we may understand those many dreadful threatenings and gracious promises, made to the same people and persons: both shall be fulfilled; the first while they continue as rebels, which are defigned to humble and fubdue them; the last, when they shall have accepted of the punishment of their iniquity; when their uncircumcifed hearts are humbled, when their stubborn knees shall bow to Jehovan, and their former rebellious tongues shall swear allegiance to him.

Does this idea lead to licentiousness, that God hates sin, and determines to pursue it to entire destruction, and never to put up his sword, so long as there is a rebel in the universe; yet, at the same time, has no positive hatred to the souls which he has made, but only withes them to return to order? This idea appears to me equally to check presumption and despair; and tends to put an end to licentiousness rather than to encourage it: for if rebels are assured that their rightful sovereign hates them, and will never suffer them to be

K 2

reconciled to him, it naturally causes them to fight with tenfold rage, as all warriors will testify; as, on the other hand, if they believe he is too weak, or undetermined, to conquer them, they will be presumptuous, and continue the war. It cannot, therefore, be affirmed, by any persons of reason, that the declaration that God will destroy sin, tends to promote it; or, that his love of order, and hatred of evil, being compatible with his love to the creatures he has made, is a doctrine that encourages men to rebel; the contrary is evident: and yet these are the very grounds of the Universal Restoration; which cannot, therefore, be licentious.

5. Another of the first principles of the Restoration, is, the immutability of God's counsels; which he hath confirmed by an oath, 'That by two immutable things, '(viz. his word and oath) in which it was impossible for God to lie, we might have a strong consolation, who have sled for refuge, to lay hold upon the hope fet before us.' Heb. vi. 17, 18. 'God hath abounded toward us in all wisdom and prudence, having made known unto us the mystery of his will, according to his good pleasure, which he hath purposed in himself; that, in the dispensation of the fulness of times, he might gather together (or rehead) in one *,

* The word arangonalemacea, anakophalaiofofihai, fignifies to re-collect, and arrange under one head, as featured foldiers under a general. It feems to me that the apolite has reference to the original and primary leadship of the son of God, before the entrance of sin into the creation. The Greek negation, kephale, answering to the Hebrew wan rash, the beginning, the head—Christ being the beginning, the head of all the ways of God. Gen. i. 1. Prov. viii. 22.

And in the New Testament the Apostles fay,

'Christ is the head of the church,'
'The head of every man is Christ.'

'Christ is the head of all principality and power.'

Sin has fevered and feattered a great part of the creatures from the fon of God; but by virtue of his primary and original head-fhip, he came 'to feek and to fave (reflore) that which was left.' Hence the apolle's idea of reheading all things, whether in heaven or in earth, in Chrift Jesus. All things could not be reheaded in Christ unless he had been the original head of them prior to their defection by sin.

'all things in Christ, both which are in heaven, and ' which are on earth, even in him; in whom we have obtained an inheritance, being predestinated according to the purpose of him who worketh all things 'according to the counsel of his own will.' Ephel. i. 8---11. God is our faviour, or (σω np , foteros,) restorer, who will have all men to be saved, (σωθηίαι, ' fothenai, restored) and to come unto the knowledge of the truth. 1 Tim. ii. 3, 4. This is the will and counfel of that God, who 'doeth according to his will in ' the armies of heaven, and among the inhabitants of ' the earth; and none can stay his hand, or fav unto ' him, What doest thou?' Dan. iv. 35. He hath sworn by himself, the word is gone out of his mouth in righteoutness, and thall not return, 'that unto him every knee ' shall bow, every tongue shall swear.' Isaiah, xiv. 23. The counsel of God shall stand; he will perform his pleasure, notwithstanding all the opposition that men can make: 'God is not a man, that he should lie, ' neither the fon of man that he should repent: hath he faid, and shall he not do it? or, hath he spoken, and shall he not make it good?' Numb. xxiii. 19. It God will have all men to be faved, or restored, and to come to the knowledge of the truth---if it is his good pleafure, which he hath purposed in himself, in the difpenfation of the fulness of times, to rehead all things in Christ, both in heaven and on earth---if he hath fworn, that unto him every knee shall bow, and every tongue shall swear --- and if he worketh all things after the counsel of his own will, and is determined to perform all his pleafure, which he is able to do; and with him nothing that he pleases is impossible; I say, if all these things are true, (as who, that believes the Scriptures, can deny?) then, is not the doctrine of the Ristoration true? And who will venture to charge that with licentiousness which God, in the counsel of his will, hath purposed, and is determined to perform? We know the will of God is a will to all goodness, and that he cannot do any thing unjust, or contrary to his holy nature, or inconfistent with his plan of moral go-K S

vernment, or that shall tend to promote rebellion; therefore, if God had feen that this great work had been, in any respect, injurious to his perfections, character, government, &c: he would not have proposed it; and if he had foreseen that the knowledge of it would have been hurtful to mankind, he would not have revealed it: but fince he hath done both, we may certainly argue, that it is not a licentious doctrine to declare, that God will finally make all his intelligent creatures happy, by making them all his fubjects, by destroying their fins, and making them holy, in a way perfectly confiftent with all his perfections and attributes; without doing the least injury to his character, or rendering his moral government weak, or making any of his words void, whether threatenings or promifes, or in the least fetting aside the sanctions of his law or gospel, or a future state of rewards and punishments; without derogating at all from the glory of the Mediator, but rather exalting it to the highest possible pitch; without faddening the hearts of the righteous, or diminishing, in the least, from the happiness of heaven, but rather causing it to increase; for if there is joy in heaven over one finner that repenteth, there must be more over many, in exact proportion; and as God will certainly give the greatest possible joy to his chofen, and there is no doubt but it will receive addition from every one that is reftored, or brought home to himself; therefore it can only be brought to its highest possible pitch by the *Universal Restoration*; which doctrine cannot, therefore, be licentious, as God has appointed and revealed it, and all holy beings (except fome weak good men on earth) rejoice therein exceedingly.

6. Another of the principles on which the general Restoration is founded, is, that God hath given all things into the hand of Christ, who hath declared, that it is the Father's will, that of all that he gave him, he should lefe nothing: and that power was given him over all, that he should give the knowledge of God, even eternal life, to all that the Father had given him; and that

all, without exception, whom the Father hath given, shall come in such a manner as not to be cast out: but as all these Scriptures have been recited, and reasoned upon before, I shall only now observe, that as God the Father hath given all things to Christ, and as he hath engaged to bring all back, without exception, and hath both will and power to perform this work, and came into the world on purpose to accomplish it, it must, of consequence, be sinally performed; yet, it cannot tend to licentiousness, or the God of Heaven, and the Lord Jesus Christ, would never have planned it, approved of

it, or fought to execute it.

Many more first principles, on which the doctrine of the Restoration is founded, might be mentioned, and shewn to be far from tending to licentiousness; but I thall mention only one more, and that is, The Scriptures must be fulfilled: the Scriptures cannot be broken --none of the words of God can fail of being accomplished: and he hath not only denounced dreadful threatenings, but made many gracious promifes to the same people: these cannot be fulfilled together; and, if there is no truth in the Restoration, I cannot see how the latter will ever be fulfilled at all; and if fins are not punished in the persons who commit them, I am equally at a loss what fense or truth there can be in the former. It would be a great task to collect all the texts which juttify the remark, that threatenings and promifes belong to the same people in different periods, some specimens of which have been given in the course of these dialogues. Now, it cannot lead to licentiousness to suppose that the Scriptures shall all be fulfilled; but it must lead to infidelity, and all kinds of evil, to suppose the contrary.

These are the first principles upon which the doctrine of the Restoration stands, and by which it is supported; and as these have all been considered, and proved to have no tendency to encourage sin, separately, much less can they have any such tendency jointly; and then it evidently follows, that a doctrine which seems necessarily deduced or inferred, by un-

deniable

deniable confequences, from all these considerations united, cannot be false, or have any evil tendency.

But I shall next proceed to shew, that all true, experimental, and practical religion, feems fo confiftent with the universal Restoration, that it may be reckoned a wonder, that all who have tafted that the Lord is gracious, and have diligently practifed his commands, have not, in all ages, been fully convinced of the truth of it.

FRIEND. Is it possible that you can do this? If so, I hope your reasoning will be attended to; and I must confess, that you have cleared your way so well, by confidering the first principles of the doctrine, and shewing that they are very far from tending to licentioufnefs, that I am half inclined to think you will be able to answer this objection, formidable as it has been confidered hitherto.

MINISTER. As I trust you have been made acquainted with experimental religion, I need only to appeal to your own experience, for the truth of what I advance; and I am apt to think, if you will answer me candidly to a few questions, you must acknowledge either that the Resoration is true, or that your experience is false.

 F_{RIEND} . I am willing to give you as plain and candid answers as I can; for it will be of no use to deny

what the Lord has done for my foul.

MINISTER. Let me then ask you, in the first place, Did you not see yourself lost and undone, and that you were vile before God, unworthy of his mercy, and totally unable to deliver yourfelf from your fin and mifery?

FRIEND. I certainly did; and I was fometimes ready to think there was hardly fuch a finner on earth as myfelf, all circumstances considered; for I had sinned against such light and love, that I thought all the world

might be forgiven fooner than myfelf.

MINISTER. And were you not brought by the power of God to refign yourfelf into his hands, without referve, to do with you, and dispose of you, according

to his will and pleasure; being convinced, that he nei-

ther would nor could do you any injuffice?

 F_{RIEND} . O yes; and then I found peace; my rebellion against God ceased; I looked upon him quite different from what I did before; I saw that he was wholly right and just, and that I was entirely to blame: my murmurings against him ceased; I viewed him as such a holy, good, merciful, and yet righteous God, that I could trust my soul in his hands with the most entire satisfaction.

MINISTER. And when Christ was revealed to you as

a Saviour, how did he appear?

FRIEND. As one able and mighty to fave, even to the uttermost; and I thought there was not only a sufficiency in him for me, the vileft of all, but for the whole world, yea, for a thousand worlds, had there been so many. His blood feemed to me so precious, his obedience and fufferings fo meritorious, his power fo great, his love fo rich, boundless, and free, that I was overcome with the transporting view; and as I saw in him a fulness for all, so I found in him an infinite willingness to save all; for how could I think otherwise? I knew myfelf to be most unworthy, and that he had graciously pitied me: I beheld his love, like a river, flowing down to me as free as water; and I was amazed that I had not beheld it before in the fame light. I faw, that the love of God to me did not now begin, but was now manifefted to my foul. I faw that there was no change in God, but all in myfelf. Those words were precious to my heart at that time--- Yea, 'I have loved thee with an everlatting love; therefore with loving kindness have I drawn thee.' Jer. xxxi. 3. As also these--- Son, be of good cheer; thy fins be forgiven thee.' St. Matth. ix. 2.

MINISTEE. You have brought my own experience to my mind.---It pleafed God, by an incident too trifling to mention, to bring me to feek earneftly for an unfading treasure; and, by a train of circumstances, fixed the concern deeply upon my mind; and I laboured night and day, but could obtain no rest, till one morn-

ing---a time never to be forgotten! --- as I was walking on a journey, under great diffress, and when deliverance feemed farther from me than ever, all at once I was brought to refign my foul into the hands of God, and thus I expressed myself--- Lord, here I am, a poor 'helpless sinner; I resign myself into thine hands; ' take me, and deal with me just as thou pleasest: I 'know thou canft do me no injuffice.' Immediately these words came into my mind with great power and fweetness--- In an acceptable time have I heard thee: 'and in a day of falvation have I helped thee.' Ifaiah. xlix. 8. And I had then fuch a view of Chrift, as made me to cry out, 'Glory to God in the highest! This is falvation; I know this is falvation!' Then those pasfages which you have mentioned came into my mind with great energy; and I faw the fulness, sufficiency, and willingness of Christ to save me, and all men, in fuch a manner, as confirmined me to venture my foul into his arms; and if I had had ten thousand souls, I could have trufted them all in his hands. And O, how did I long, that every foul of Adam's race might come to know the love of God in Christ Jesus! thought I could not be willing to live any longer on earth, unless it might please God to make me useful to my fellow-creatures.

This is a little abstract of what God did then graciously teach me by his spirit; but I had been brought up in the particular system, and in the course of a few years came to be so firmly attached to it, as to resuse, in my preaching, to make general invitations to mankind at large; rightly reasoning with myself, that if provision was only made for a small part, I had no warrant to call or invite the whole to come and partake; and therefore only pressed the duty on such and such characters, as hungry, thirsty, weary, heavy laden, such as were without money, sensible sinners, &c. all of which I concluded to be of the elect, because I judged the spirit had begun to operate savingly upon their hearts; and that to these, and these only, the Scriptures directed invitations to be made; never considering

that text--- 'Hearken unto me, ye stout-hearted, that ' are far from rightcoufness. I bring near my righte-'outnets; and it thall not be far off, and my falvation ' shall not tarry.' Isaiah, xlvi. 12, 13. During the time that I remained in this close-hearted system, labouring with all my might to maintain it, I chanced to come to a house, where, as far as I can judge, was a very fensible and pious young woman, whom I never faw before or fince: she gave a very judicious account of the work of grace upon her heart; but when she came to that part, where the faid the beheld an infinite fulness in Christ for ALL the world, I interrupted her, and told her that could not be; for there was no provition made for all, and therefore it was impossible that the could have any fuch discoveries made to her by the fpirit of God. This I infifted upon, according to my fystem, contrary to my experimental knowledge; (O the mischies of bigotry, prejudice, and vain attachment to system!) she, on the contrary, maintained, that the clearly viewed matters in that light; and that the certainly was taught to believe, that in Christ there was a fulness and treeness for all: this I denied; and fhe was thereby prevented from finishing what she had begun. I can never forgive myself, for the opposition I made to what I knew to be truth by experience; and as I did not enquire the name of the person, I have had no opportunity of making a recantation by letter, as I ought to have done; and having never been in the place fince, and it being highly improbable that ever I shall again, I seel myself extremely hurt, whenever I think of it.

FRIEND. I can but admire the agreement between us in matters of experience; for I found the fame difpofitions of mind that you mentioned, when it pleafed

God to reveal his fon in me.

MINISTER. I never found an experienced Christian in my life, but would give much the same account, provided that his system was not in sight; and I have found some, that though they were violently attached to the contrary system, and knew my intention in ask-

ing the questions; yet answered the following affirmatively.

Did you not fee and feel yourselves the vilest of

Did you not view the love of God infinitely full, free and unmerited?

Did you not behold in Christ an infinite fulness, sufficiency, and willingness, to save all, without exception?

Did you not love all, and wish that all might come

and partake of his grace?

Did you not earnestly desire the salvation of all, not only of your family, friends, neighbours, and nation; but also of your enemies, and of all mankind? Could you not embrace the whole human race in the arms of benevolence?

Did you not find it in your heart to pray for the fal-

vation of all mankind, as for your own?

If you had as much power as good-will, would you not bring all to bow to the sceptre of grace, and to be

reconciled to God through Jefus Christ?

FRIEND. I do not fee how an experienced person can refuse to say Yes, to all these questions; they are so agreeable to the very tempers of the new-born foul; and I am fure, I found them in my heart, at the very time when I first tasted of the love of God.

MINISTER. Well then, my friend, let me ask you, From whence did these tempers and dispositions pro-

ceed ?

 F_{RIEND} . To be candid, I think they were given me from above, and came down from the Father of lights, from whence every good and perfect gift cometh; and I am the more apt to think so, because I found contrary dispositions in my heart before; and the more I am fensible of the forgiving love of God, the more I find these affections which you have described, and these desires for the good of others.

MINISTER. Then let me ask you, Can a small drop be larger than the unfathomed abyss and ocean of love? Have you more compassion towards your fellow creatures than the God that made them? Can any effect be greater than its cause? Would you bring all to submit to God and be happy, if you could, and will not he, to whom nothing that he pleases to do is impossible, bring all his creatures to be reconciled to himfelf at last? He has infinitely more love to his creatures than all the faints and angels in glory have: he is pollefled of infinite power and wildom, as well as love; all means to accomplish the work are known to him: he can do it in a way that shall cause his praise to abound exceedingly; in a way perfectly confiftent with all his perfections, and the whole of his glorious character; it is his will and purpose so to do, as has been proved at large; what then can hinder him from fulfilling it?

FRIEND. I am not able to gainfay this reasoning; it feems almost undeniable: but can you shew that the doctrine of the Restoration stands connected with practical religion, or the keeping of the commandments

of God?

MINISTER. I can very eafily do that, in every instance; but shall only attend to the following as a specimen .---

1. Our Lord has commanded us to love all mankind: not our brethren and friends only, but even our greatest enemies; and all for this purpose, that we may be the children of our Father who is in heaven, whose love is univerfal, and whose tender mercies are over all his works. See St. Matth. v. 41--48. St. Luke, vi. 27 --- 36. But if God doth not love all himself, Christ bath commanded us to be more perfect, in that respect; than our Father, who is in Heaven; which, to suppose, is highly absurd. What shall we say to that doctrine which teaches us, that God hates, with a perfect hatred, many of those whom he hath commanded us to love as ourselves?

Therefore, there is nothing in the doctrine of the Restoration, opposite to the love of your neighbour; which, on the contrary, is promoted thereby.

2. We

2. We are commanded to do good to all men, as we have opportunity. This is recommended to us by the example of our beavenly Father, who 'maketh his 'fun to rife on the evil and on the good, and fendeth 'rain on the just, and on the unjust;' and no person can say, that the belief of this doctrine tends, in the least, to hinder us from doing good to all our fellow-creatures; but rather encourages us so to do, from the consideration that God loves them all, and does good to all, and is determined to make them all the subjects of his kingdom at last.

3. We are commanded to forgive all men their trefpaffes, and to pray, faying, 'Forgive us our (debts, 'or) trefpaffes, as we forgive (our debtors, or) them 'that trefpafs againft us.' St. Matth. vi. 12. St. Luke, xi. 4. And our Lord fays, 'For if ye forgive men 'their trefpaffes, your heavenly Father will also forgive 'you: but if ye forgive not men their trefpaffes, nei- 'ther will your Father forgive your trefpaffes.' St. Matth. vi. 14, 15.; fee also chap. xviii. 21--35. St,

Mark, xi. 25, 26. St. Luke, vi. 37.

Now, is it possible to suppose, with any degree of reason, that our Lord would command us, upon pain of his highest displeasure, to sorgice those whom he hated, and determined to punish while he should exist, without having the least desire or design to do them good? Has he promised us the greatest blessings if we will forgive all men, and will he never forgive them? He that can believe this, let him believe it. However, since forgiving all men is a plain command, which none can deny, I trust no one will venture to say, that believing the final Restoration of all men, at last, will have any tendency to make us break this precept of our Saviour's, upon which he lays so much stress: but, I think, the contrary is evident.

4. We are commanded to pray for all men. St. Paul fays, 'I exhort, therefore, that, first of all, supplications, prayers, intercessions, and giving of thanks, be made for all men—for this is good and acceptable in the fight of God our Saviour, (or Restorer) who will

As

will have all men to be faved, (or restored) and to come unto the knowledge of the truth. For there is one God, and one Mediator between God and men, the man Chrift Jefus, who gave himfelf a ranfom for 'all, to be testified in due time.' This is the great doctrine of the gospel, the very foundation of Christianity; 'whereunto I am ordained a preacher, and an 'apostle; (I speak the truth in Christ, and lie not) a ' teacher of the gentiles in faith and verity.' The apostle not only believed, but taught; not only taught, but commanded others to teach and preach this great doctrine of God's being the Saviour, or Restorer, of all men. In this he gloried, faying, 'This is a faithful faying, and worthy of all acceptation; for therefore we both labour and fuffer reproach, because we ' trust in the living God, who is the Saviour (or Re-' florer) of all men, specially of those that that believe, 'These things command and teach.'

Upon this grand foundation, St. Paul recommends prayer to all men, faying, 'I will therefore, that men 'pray every where, lifting up holy hands, without 'wrath and doubting.' 1 Tim. ii. 1---8. iv. 9---11.

Observe, the apostle recommends prayer for all men; and wills, that men should pray every where, at all times, and in all places, lifting up holy hands, without wrath and doubting. How nearly forgiving all men,

* When we consider the state of Jews and Gentiles, we shall understand this command of the apostle to pray for the restoration of all men 'without wrath and doubting.' The Jews could not bear the thought that God should extend his mercy to the gentiles; the very mentioning of it always produced wrath in them. See Acts, xxii. 21, 22. I Thes. ii. 16. This disposition in them arose partly from spiritual pride, as though they had a claim upon the divine goodness which the gentiles had not; contrary to the testimony of Moses concerning them, which was consistend by all their after condust; Deut, ix. 6-29; and partly from a wrong view of the Divine condust, which they had interpreted as a system of favouritism and sartiality: contrary to the declarations of God to Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob; which were, that in their feed (the Messiah) all the nations and families of the earth were to be blessed. Gen. xii, 3, xxvi. 4.

L2

and praying for all men, stand connected! and both are plainly and peremptorily commanded by our Lord, who says, 'Love your enemies, bless them that curse 'you, do good to them that hate you, and pray for 'them which despitely use you; and persecute you.'

We are commanded to pray for all men, because it is the will of God that all men should be faved; (or restored) and because Christ hath given himself a ranfom for all: and we are bid to pray without doubting.

O what a word is this! May we, ought we, to pray
for the falvation of all men, without doubting? Surely, God would never have commanded us to do this,
unless it was his purpose to restore all men, in his own
time; and no man can fay, that the belief of the Restoration will prevent our praying for all men.

I was once on a journey, and called in at the house of one of my former good friends: several religious persons were there; and, after some time, my fentiments came to be spoken of, and they seemed to wonder how I could embrace the opinion, that all men, smally, would be saved! I told them, however many might preach against it, that no person, under the insuence of the Divine Spirit, could pray against it, but, on the contrary, we could pray for it in faith, nothing wavering, as God had commanded, and as his Spirit naturally inspired; that no person could pray God not to save or rettore all mankind, without being shocked at the blasphemy and impiety of such a prayer; but that our Lord had said, that all things whatsoever we asked in prayer, believing that we should receive,

As for the gentiles, when they came to be enlightened, and faw the cnormity of their former conduct, (fee 1 Cor. vi. 9, 10.) it was natural for them to doubt of the extent of Divine mercy, especially as the Jews called them dogs, and things of nought. In opposition to both these dispositions, the apolite commands that we make prayers, supplications, intercessions, and giving of thanks for all men, on the ground that God will have all men to be carbinzs, fotherasi, restored, 1 Tim. ii. 8. Whether the wrath of some profession our day, and the doubting of others, respecting the final extent of Divine mercy proceeds from the same causes, I shall heave others to determine

should be granted, let them be things seemingly ever so impossible; that if we asked any thing according to his will it should be done; and that it was his positive and declared will, that all men should be saved or restored, and come to the knowledge of the truth: that we were ordered to pray for it in faith, without doubting; and therefore, it was as evident as the nature of things required, that it would be done; since God had never ordered us to pray for any thing that he was not able and willing to do. This, and much more, I said, and they seemed exceedingly well satisfied; especially when I informed them, that it was through the blood of Christ, the blood of the covenant, that the prisoners should be sent forth out of the pit wherein is no water; and that all should be restored through his mediation.

FRIEND. Then you hold that even the damned shall be finally restored and delivered by the power of Jefus, and through his blood-shedding. I have heard it objected to your scheme, that it tended to set at nought the whole mediatorial plan, and to depreciate the blood of Jesus, which he shed to purge our sins away; though I never observed any thing like this in your

discourses, either in public or in private.

Misister. No; God forbid that I should set aside the blood that cleanseth from all sin; nor can I be charged with it, unless having a much higher idea of its merits than my opposers may be looked upon as tending to depreciate it. They hold, that it shall cleanse a small number from their sins; I believe that it shall cleanse, heal, and restore the whole human race. They believe that its virtue endures for a little season; I maintain that it shall continue to all ages—until all evil shall be destroyed out of the universe.

FRIEND. I am fatisfied that you do not mean to fet at nought the powerful blood of the dear Redeemer, but, on the contrary, you suppose that your views tend more to exalt it. Pray proceed in your discourse.

MINISTER. I need not fay much more upon this matter: our Saviour has faid, 'If any man will do his L 3

' (the Father's) will, he shall know of the doctrine, whether it be of God, or whether I speak of myself. St. John, vii. 17. This is the furest rule; practice religion; love, revere, and ferve God; love all men, even your enemies; do good to all, forgive all, pray for all, and then ask, Is the doctrine of the final Restoration contrary to this manner of life? If it be, reject it, for these commands are plain: and if these principles are inconsistent with the observation of these precepts, then avoid them as you would death and hell. But I trust it has been shewn that they have no such tendency; but the contrary. For inflance, Does this doctrine tend to make us hate God, and his character? Does it naturally fill our minds with felfishness, and prevent benevolence from ruling within us? Does it fill us with pride, and cause us to look down with contempt upon those that are beneath us in life, and banish humility from our hearts? Does the belief of this cause our breafts to fwell with pale envy, and rancorous malice, at the happiness of others? Does it cause us to burn with hellish rage, fury, and madness against mankind? Does it tend to destroy meckness, and increase wrath? If these questions require (either from the nature of things, or from known facts) the answers to be in the affirmative, then I would abhor fuch a fystem, and wish it to be universally detested; but if, on the contrary, the candid enquirer must answer in the negative, and fay, that the doctrine of the final Restoration does not tend to produce felfishness, cury, pride, or wrath, but, on the confrary, 'Glory to God in the highest, and on earth peace, good-will towards men; that it causes benevolence, meekness, humility, fortearance, forgiveness, charity, and all goodness, to abound and increase, then it cannot be a licentious doctrine, and is not to be discarded on that account; for it is a maxim with St. John, that 'He that loveth his brother abideth in the light, and there is none occasion of stumbling in him;' (1 John, ii. 10.) that is, he cannot receive, hold, maintain, or do any thing effentially or materially wrong, and therefore can give no just occafion

fion of offence: 'For all the law is fulfilled in one 'word, even in this--Thou shalt love thy neighbour as thyself. For he that loveth another, hath fulfilled the law. For this, Thou shalt not commit adultery, Thou shalt not kill, Thou shalt not steal, Thou shalt not bear false witness, Thou shalt not covet; and if there be any other commandment, it is briefly comprehended in this saying, Thou shalt love thy neighbour; therefore love is the fulfilling of the law. Gal, v. 14. Rom. xiii. 8--10.

But it is not only from reasoning, but from facts, that I am able to prove that the belief of the doctrine of the Universal Restoration does not lead men to sin. The Tunkers, or German Baptists, in Pennsylvania and the states adjacent, who take the Scriptures as their only guide, in matters both of faith and practice, have always (as far as I know) received, and univerfally, at present, hold these sentiments: but such Christians I have never feen as they are; fo averfe are they to all fin, and to many things that other Christians esteem lawful, that they not only refuse to swear, go to war, &c. but are to afraid of doing any thing contrary to the commands of Christ, that no temptation would prevail upon them even to fue any person at law, for either name, character, cftate, or any debt, be it ever to just: they are industrious, sober, temperate, kind, charitable people, envying not the great, nor despising the mean: they read much, they fing and pray much, they are constant attendants upon the worship of God; their dwelling-houses are all houses of prayer: they walk in the commandments and ordinances of the Lord blameless, both in public and private they bring up their children in the nurture and admonition of the Lord: no noise of rudeness, shameless mirth, loud vain laughter, is heard within their doors: the law of kindness is in their mouths; no fourness, or moroleness, difgraces their religion; and whatsoever they believe their Saviour commands, they practife, without enquiring or regarding what others do.

I remem-

I remember the Rev. Morgan Edwards, formerly minitier of the Baptift Church in Philadelphia, once faid to me, 'God always will have a vitible people on 'earth; and thefe are his people at prefent, above 'any other in the world.' And in his Hiftory of the Baptifts in Pennfylvania, fpeaking of thefe people, he fays, 'General redemption they certainly hold, and, 'withal, general falvation; which tenets, though 'wrong, are confiftent. In a word, they are meek 'and pious Christians; and have juitly acquired the 'character of The harmles Tunkers.'

Thus have I proved that this doctrine is not licentious; both from the first principles on which it is founded—from the nature of experimental and practical religion—and from facts. As to that part of the objection which supposes this doctrine to be the same that the ferpent preached to Eve, saying, 'Ye shall not 'surely die;' it seems almost unworthy of notice: but as it has been gloried in by some writers, as unanswerable, merely because it was passed over unnoticed, as being nothing to the purpose, I shall make a few re-

marks upon it.

1. I fay, that Satan was a liar, and God was true; for man and woman did die, in a moral fense, on the very day that they sinned; they became dead in trespalles and sins; they lost the divine life, and became earthly, sensual, devilish; darkness in their understandings, stubbornness in their wills, and disorder in their affections, rendered them unsit, unable, and unworthy to have fellowship with their God. But do they contradict Jehovah, and join with the serpent, who affert, that Jesus, the second Adam, hath quickened, and is able to quicken, those who were dead in trespasses and sins? It so, St. Paul joined with the serpent in Ephes. ii. 1.

2. The fentence of death was pronounced upon man, even the death of the body, in those words:--In the fweat of thy face shalt thou eat bread, till thou return unto the ground; for out of it wast thou taken; for dust thou art, and unto dust thou shalt

'return.'

return.' Gen. iii. 19, But would it be just and right for any one to fay, that those who believed the refurrection of the body, contradicted God, and, joining with the ferpent, declared that men should not die. merely because they afferted, according to the promises, that they should rise again? Would not those who preach Jefus and the refurrection, have a right to look upon it as a vile and wilful flander, if any one should fo mifrepresent the matter? What! must I be accused of faving, that no man ever died, because I believe and teach that some have risen, and that all shall be raifed at last; when even the very idea of a refurrection prelupposes a state of death?

3. I confess, that God has threatened sinners with the fecond death: but do I fay, that they shall never tafte of the fecond death, because I declare that the time will come when it shall exist no more? This is curious reasoning indeed. As well may I say, that no man ever lived on earth, because so many have died; that no one fleeps in the night who wakes in the morning; or that no fish was ever in the water that was

caught and taken out.

Did St. Paul join with the ferpent, when he faid, For as in Adam all die, even so in Christ shall all be made alive?' 1 Cor. xv. 22. Will any suppose that we affirm that the dreadful threatenings denounced by God, never were, nor ever will be executed, because we declare that his promises shall also be fulfilled? Can any reasonable man suppose, because Christ is the faviour of men, that therefore they were not in a loft condition? How abfurd! when he declares that he came to feek and to fave the loft!

Thus, though mankind died a moral death in the day wherein they finned, yet Christ is able to quicken and raise them up from the same: and though their bodies die, in consequence of the fall, yet shall he cause all that are in the graves to hear his voice, and come forth: and, by the same rule of arguing, though many shall fall under the power of the second death, which is threatened to finners, yet, as he has promifed to destroy all that bears the name of death, their Refloration may be fairly concluded, without either contradicting God, or joining with the ferpent. Had the Scriptures, indeed, contained nothing but threatenings of death, without any promises of falvation, refurrection, or restoration, it would have been presumptuous for us to have entertained any hopes for the human race, or their deliverance either from fin, death, or hell: but fince promifes are found, as well as threatenings, we must not, under pretence or colour of believing the latter, reject the former, left we are found contradictors and oppofers of God; for it is as possible that we may make him a liar, in refusing to believe the record he has given of his fon, and his intentions of grace and mercy towards mankind, as in difregarding his threatenings, denounced against them because of their fins.

As for this doctrine making the hearts of the righteous fad, whom God would not have made fad, nothing can be more contrary to fact; for if it be the will of God to reward and punish, and finally to restore mankind, none of the righteous will be forry, but, on the contrary, will greatly rejoice. It is not God's truth, but women's lies, of which the prophet speaks, which made the hearts of the righteous fad, and strengthened the hands of the wicked, that he should not return from his wicked way, by promising him life: but we are fo far from strengthening the hands of the wicked, and faying that no evil should come upon them, that we declare from the Scripture, that 'the wrath of God is revealed from heaven against all ungodliness and unrighteousness of men, who hold the truth in unrighteousness; unto them that are contentious, and do not obey the truth, but obey unrighteousness, 'indignation and wrath, tribulation and anguish, upon every foul of man that doeth evil; of the Jew first, 'and also of the gentile.' Rom. i. 18. ii. 8, 9. And that 'there is no peace to the wicked.' Isaiah, xlviii. 22. lvii. 20, 21. Therefore they are called to repent, and turn to God; for in fin they never can be happy: no unholy or unclean thing can enter into the kingdom

of heaven. And so far are we from promising them life in their wicked ways, that we testify from the Scripture that 'He that believeth not the son, shall 'not see life,' while he continues in that state; but 'the wrath of God abideth on him.' St. John. iii. 36.

FRIEND. I had intended to propose that text as an objection to your system; but I see how you will answer it--that the unbeliever, as such, and while he so continues, cannot see life; but the wrath of God abideth on him, while he remained in unbelief; but that God can take away the cause, in his own time, and then the effect shall cease.

Minister. Certainly, this must be the meaning: for St. John only meant to describe the difference between believers and unbelievers, as such; but could not mean to intimate, that those who were unbelievers in his

time should always continue so.

But I now pass to consider the latter part of this objection, upon which I have dwelt so long, viz. that it is the nature of God to lay the highest possible restraint upon sin, and therefore, he has threatened it with evertasting dammation, which must intend endless misery; and as this restraint is found too weak, wholly to prevent evil, what an amazing increase would there be, if this restraint should be taken off, in any degree; as it must be, if it should come to be known, that punishments were only for certain ages or periods, and designed for the amendment of the sufferers?

I once asked a reverend divine what was his strongest argument in savour of *endless punishment?* and he told me, this which is mentioned above; and therefore, as it is of considerable importance, I shall give it

a brief confideration.

1. It is not quite clear to me, that it is the nature of God to lay the highest possible restraint upon sin; and that he always doth so, in all his dispensations. He sometimes has higher designs in view than barely to restrain sin: he sometimes, perhaps, suffers it to prevail for a time, that his power might be more manifest in destroying it; hence we read, 'Moreover, the law 'entered'.

entered'---not merely to reftrain fin, but--- that the offence might abound; but where fin abounded, grace did much more abound; that as fin hath reignunto death, even fo might grace reign, through righteousness, unto eternal life; by Jesus Christ our Lord, Wherefore, then, ferveth the law? It was added because of transgressions, till the feed should come, to whom the promise was made.' Rom. v. 20, 21. Gal. iii. 19. St. Paul fays, 'Sin, taking occasion by the ' commandment, wrought in me all manner of concupiscence; for, without the law, fin was dead. Was, then, that which was good made death unto me? God forbid: but fin, that it might appear fin, working death in me, by that which is good; that fin, by the 'commandment, might become exceeding finful.'---Rom. vii. 8, 13. Perhaps, if the punishment of fins immediately followed the commission of them, it would. be a stronger and more effectual restraint than any threatenings of future mifery; yet God does not think it necessary to restrain fin by that mean, though it is expressly afferted, that, 'Because sentence against an evil work is not executed speedily, therefore is the heart of the fons of men fully fet in them to do evil.' Ecclef. viii. 11. There is no doubt but if the awful punishments of the future state were made visible to our fenfes, by any means, they would prove a powerful restraint to sin; yet God has not thought fit to reftrain it by those, and perhaps many other possible ways; wherefore I have a right to doubt of the premiles; for if the strongest possible restraints were laid upon fin, it might not be so consistent with a state of probation, as those reasonable restraints which God hath thought fit to day upon it.

2. But it may be questioned, whether there is not fomething in the idea of limited, yet certain punishment, so just, equitable, reasonable, and evident, that is much more calculated to produce belief, and confequently more effectual to destroy false hopes of efcaping it, and also to check that daring presumption, which rises out of the idea of endless milery, than can

be found in the contrary doctrine. Endless punishment feems to shock tender minds, at least. I heard of a little boy, to whom his mother constantly kept preaching damnation without end, for every fault: one day, after the had been discoursing with him in that manner, he went out to work, but foon returned back, fuddenly opened the door, and, with an air of furprise, cried out, 'Why, mother, the law fays, An eye for an eye, a tooth for a tooth, a hand for a hand, a foot for a foot; but 'you fay, ten thousand for one, and that punishment ' shall never end.' I have heard of numbers that had no better excuse for sinning greedily, than this, vis. that there were no hopes of their being faved; that, therefore, they were determined to fin as much as poffible, fince it could make no difference. I have reafon to fay, from what I know of mankind, that more perfons refuse to believe in Divine Revelation, because it is commonly thought to contain the doctrine of endless misery, than from any other cause: and numbers have embraced it immediately, upon being fairly convinced that it was not necessary to understand it in that light. And a very fensible Deist once faid to an acquaintance of mine, who believed and preached the Universal doctrine, 'Had I been acquainted with your fyllem ' thirty years ago, I should have been a zealous Chris-' tian; and as great a friend to Revelation as I have been an enemy.'--- And, pray, why not now, Doc-' tor?'-- Because I am ashamed, having so long been fighting against it, to receive it now.'

3. Though damnation has been commonly underflood to be endless, for many ages, yet it has not (as far as we can judge) prevented evil at all, or very little: but I have mentioned before how very first those people live, who receive and hold the system of limited punishments: whether it is, that endless damnation is too unnatural to be believed, and that limited punishments, being more reasonable, seem more certain; or, whether it be, that, by considering they shall be punished, either without end, or not at all; and every one thinking endless punishment is more than they deserve, but

is only referved for fome greater finners, and therefore they have nothing to fear from it, I shall not pretend to determine; but certain it is, that where the idea of endless misery prevails, it has not prevented iniquity in the measure that might have been expected, on the

supposition of its being the truth of God.

4. The great number of heathens that die without ever being favoured with the light of the gospel, and certainly without ever hearing of endless milery—the many that die in a state of infancy and childhood—together with the instances of ideots, and persons born deaf—all convince me, more than any logical arguments, that God has many ways of instructing and reclaiming his creatures, in another state, that we are at

present unacquainted with.

5. It is not fo much the intention of God merely to reftrain fin, as to shew it in all its dreadful deformity, punish it according to its deferts, and, finally, to shew the super-abounding of his grace, in overcoming and totally destroying it out of his creation; which shall be accomplished when he that sitteth upon the throne shall make all things new; 'And there shall be no more 'death, neither forrow, nor crying; neither shall there 'be any more pain; for the former things are passed

'away.' Rev. xxi. 4.

6. As the doctrine of the final Reftoration has been fhewn in itself not to have the least tendency to licentiousness, but directly the reverse, and, as far as I can learn, by history or my own observation, those who have believed it, in the manner here laid down, as perfectly confishent with a future state of rewards and punishments, have been particularly careful to depart from iniquity of every kind: yet, if any should be so lost to all that is good, as to pervert this truth (revealed for contrary purposes) to their own destruction, they alone, must bear the blame, the loss, and the punishment. The Scriptures of truth have been perverted; yet, that is no argument against Divine Revelation: the gospel of the grace of God has been abused, but should it never be preached on that account?

count? Some, in the apostles' days, turned the grace of God itself into wantonness or lasciviousness (see Jude, 4.); and others pretended that those holy men encouraged fin, by proclaiming falvation to finners, through grace, or faith in Christ; of which St. Paul complains, (Rom. iii. 8.) 'We be flanderoully reported; and some 'affirm that we fay, Let us do evil that good may ' come; whose damnation is just.' The holy apostle abhorred and constantly denied this horrid consequence, which some perverse minds pretended to draw from his doctrine; he declared that the damnation of fuch was just, who did fin that grace might abound, or who affirmed that the doctrine led thereto, or that the apostles taught or practifed any fuch things; nevertheless, (not as fools, but as wife) they did not think fit to lay the gospel aside, and refuse to preach falvation through Christ any more on that account-The felf-same reason applies to the present case.

FRIEND. I must confess, that you have so far prevailed as to filence this great objection; for certainly the belief of the Restoration seems, by your account of it, confistent with a state of grace, and the knowledge and practice of religion. But though you have obviated several objections, there is one you have not yet touched, which is very confiderable, and I am doubtful that it will be difficult, if not impossible, for you to answer fairly; it may be thus expressed --- God has abounded towards us in all wisdom; one instance is his hanging out the threatenings of the severest punishments, to prevent his creatures from finning while in this world; but to tell them at the same time, that it they should sin he means to save them, is not prudent; because that lessens, if not destroys, the force of his threatening. He told Adam, that if he did eat, he should furely die; but did not tell him (at the same time) that if he should eat, his case would not be remediless: this were to take down with one hand what he had fet up with the other. After the threatening failed of the effect, he told him so, and not before; this was prudently done: fo after his threatenings fail

of effect in this state, is the time to reveal his design of saving daring sinners. We may therefore be sure he has not done it yet, and that we misconstrue those texts which seem to contain such a revelation. The next state is the only state to preach the doctrine, and reveal the doctrine. If you preach it here it will be unnecessary to preach it in hell; for obstinate sinners

will carry it in their heads thither.

MINISTER. As specious and plausible as this objection feems, I doubt not of being able to answer it fairly, without evading the natural force of it in the least. The first thing that I shall notice in this objection is the very different and contrary manner in which you apply those words of the apostle from his first evident intention. He hath abounded towards us in all wisdom and prudence, having made known unto us the mystery of his will, according to his good pleasure, which he hath purposed in himself, that in the dispensation of the fulness of times, he might gather together in one all things in Christ, both which are in heaven, and which are on earth, even in him. Ephef. i. 8--- 10. God hath judged it to be the height of heavenly wisdom and prudence to make known to his faints his glorious purpose finally to rehead all things in Christ; and we ought not to prefume to be more wife and prudent than he. There is no doubt but God hath revealed this great truth more immediately to his faints and faithful ones for their confolation than for the benefit of the finally impenitent.

It is of amazing, I had almost said of infinite use to the people of God, to have this divine counsel declared to them in the present time. The knowledge of this truth entirely removes all hard thoughts from the minds of those who receive it, as I can testify by experience; for since I have believed in the doctrine of the Universal Restoration, I have never had one hard thought of God abiding for one minute in my mind, that I remember, and never expect to have any more,

while I continue to believe it firmly.

DIAL. III.]

The belief of the Restoration is of great use in supporting good people under their forrows and trials here; the idea that evil shall be destroyed, and all things restored to their primitive glory is the most consolatory of all other ideas. As this doctrine tends to remove the greatest difficulties from the plan of Providence, and also from divine Revelation, it is evident that the knowledge of it must be of the greatest use to all that love their great Creator. And therefore, if the revelation of it answered no other purpose in this life, but for the happiness, joy, and satisfaction of fuch as love God, we might be fure that he hath made it known, and that we rightly understand those pas-fages that hold it forth; for since 'the secret of the 'Lord is with them that fear him, and he will shew 'them his covenant.' Pfalm xxv. 14. And 'The Lord God will do nothing, but he revealeth his fecret unto ' his fervants the prophets.' Amos, iii. 7. There is all the reason to conclude, that if God ever intended to restore mankind hereafter, he would not fail to reveal it to his chosen and faithful fervants. And this he has done, if I can understand the meaning of words.

It is true that God did not inform our first parents, before they sinned, that he had provided a remedy; but not long did he delay, after the fall, to reveal to them, that the seed of the woman should bruise the serpent's head; Gen. iii. 15.: and this one text contains in miniature all that I believe respecting the Restoration of mankind; for if the serpent's head is similarly to be bruised, his power and insuence over mankind must be entirely destroyed—and then what

shall prevent their return to God?

Besides, it is impossible to read the Scriptures attentively, and not perceive that God very frequently mixes promises of mercies among his severest threatenings of judgment; and yet he doth not throw down with one hand, what he builds up with the other.

Your objection feems to suppose that the doctrine of the Restoration supersedes and sets aside those punishments which God has threatened to instict upon the

impenitent; or elfe how does the preaching of this doctrine weaken the force of the threatenings? But this is a very false idea; for we acknowledge that the threatenings shall be fulfilled, and not that the disobedient shall escape unpunished. There is a great deal of difference between those two ideas, though you would intimate them to be the same, and that we contradict God by affuring the wicked that they shall escape the just judgment of God. But we only declare that an end shall finally come to their punishment, and that, when they shall be sufficiently humbled, a dispensation of mercy shall succeed that of judgment. Let me ask you, Has not God threatened mankind with death on the account of fin? 'Dust ' thou art, and unto dust thou shalt return.' Gen. iii. Well, tell me, is this threatening either weakened or destroyed by the knowledge of the great doctrine of the refurrection of the dead? Did not God threaten the children of Ifrael with dreadful judgments to prevent their finning, and that they should be dispersed among all nations? But will you fay, that he either weakened or destroyed the force of his threatenings, because he promised them at the same time that at last he would return their captivity, and restore them as at the first, and do better unto them, than at their beginning?

The laws of this country condemn criminals to death: would it be thought that I should weaken or destroy the force of the penal statutes, by saying, that the execution of the law could only be selt for a certain time, be and which it could not endure? Is not every malefactor under the sentence of death supposed to know this? And yet, will any presume to say, that these laws are entirely weakened, and their force destroyed, because they do not condemn transgressors to endless punishments? But if it be allowed that torments which are but momentary have a considerable influence in restraining many vices, there cannot be the smallest reason to fear that the doctrine of just retribution, according to the deeds done in the body, will

open the door to vice and immorality, but on the contrary. But this objection is so near a-kin to the last which you proposed, that it hardly deserves a distinct confideration; for if the doctrine of the Reftoration does not lead men to commit fin, (as I am fure it has no fuch tendency) then no harm can be apprehended from its being known in this ftate. And whereas you argue, that as it would not be proper for the present state, we may be fure God hath not revealed it; I, on the contrary, think it is plainly revealed, and therefore is highly proper for men to know in the prefent state. You will please, therefore, to notice that the Universal doctrine, so far from tending to render the divine threatenings utelefs or vain, weakening their force, or fetting them alide, operates in the direct contrary manner. I as much believe as you or any other man can do, that all the threatenings will be fulfilled upon the finally impenitent; but dare not carry the matter fo far as to fet afide the gracious promifes of God, with which the Scriptures appear to me to abound, in favour of the final recovery of all at laft.

FRIEND. It must, I think, be confessed, that if the doctrine of the Restoration be true, it would be matter of great joy and comfort for good men to know it; for they have often great trouble and anxiety of mind on the account of their families, friends, neighbours, acquaintance, and mankind in general; which forrow would be greatly relieved, could they have an idea of the Restoration of all things it the manner that you hold it. But however true this may be, it seems not to be plainly revealed in the Scripture, otherwise it would not have been hidden from the eyes of so many great and good men.

MINISTER. It is possible, that a subject may be revealed in the plainest manner, and yet the best of men may remain ignorant of it. For instance, were not the sufferings, death, and resurrection of our Lord plainly revealed in the Scriptures of the Old Testament? And yet we know that the apostles of our

Saviour

Saviour did not understand one of those prophecies. Nay, when Jefus told them openly and expressly that he must be delivered into the hands of men, and that they should mock, scourge, and crucify him, and that the third day he should rife again, they did not comprehend his meaning; although he fpoke to them frequently and very plainly upon the fubject, and faid, Let these sayings sink down into your ears: for the Son of man shall be delivered into the hands of 'men. But they understood not this faying, and it was hid from them, that they perceived it not; and ' they feared to alk him of that faying.' St. Luke, ix. 44, 45. And in another place we read, 'For he' taught his disciples, and said unto them, The son of ' man is delivered into the hands of men, and they ' shall kill him: and after that he is killed, he shall ' rife the third day.' It is impossible that words should be more express, or less liable to be mifunderstood .---But,' as the evangelist immediately informs us, ' they understood not that faying, and were afraid to 'afk him.' St. Mark, ix. 31, 32. And in the fame chapter we find, that after our Lord Jefus was transfigured upon the mount, in the presence of Peter, James, and John, 'As they came down from the mountain, ' he charged them that they thould tell no man what 'things they had feen, till the fon of man were rifen from the dead. And they kept that faying with ' themselves, questioning one with another what the 'rifing from the dead thould mean.' Ver. 9, 10. This was what Christ taught them not only plainly, but also frequently. See St. Matth. xvi. 21. xvii. 9, 22, 23. xx. 17, 18, 19. xxvi. 31, 32. St. Mark, viii. 31. ix. 9, 10, 31, 32, x. 32, 33, 34. xiv. 27, 28. St. Luke. ix. 21, 22, 41, 45. xviii. 31, 32, 33, 34.

Yet notwithstanding the plainness and frequency of these predictions, and the pains which Christ took to instill those ideas into them, they never understood them at all until some time after they were suffilled. For when they saw him taken and delivered into the hands of men, and treated exactly according to his

own words often repeated, they were entirely difappointed, and all their hopes feemed to die within them. And when he was rifen from the dead, they would not believe the testimony of those who had feen him, and would hardly trust their own senses, so ignorant

were they of what he had told them.

St. John was the first of the disciples who believed that he was rifen, for thus he writes --- "Then went ' in also that other disciple, who came first to the sepul-'chre, and he faw and believed. For as yet they 'knew not the Scripture that he must rife again from 'the dead.' St. John, xx. 8,9. This instance is much to my purpose, and proves so evidently that a thing may be plainly revealed, and expressed in the clearest manner, and yet not be understood, that I hardly need mention any more. But I will mention another, and that is, the calling of the gentiles. This was spoken of by the prophets in the clearest language; and Jesus, after his refurrection, gave a full commission to his apostles, which one would think it was impossible for them to misunderstand.

'All power is given unto me in heaven and in earth; go ye, therefore, and teach all nations,' &c. St. Matth. xxviii. 18, 19. 'Go ye into all the world, ' and preach the gospel to every creature.' St. Mark,

xvi. 15.

'Thus it is written, and thus it behoved Christ to ' fuffer, and to rife from the dead the third day; and 'that repentance and remission of fins should be ' preached among all nations, beginning at Jerusalem. And ye are witnesses of these things.' St. Luke, xxiv. 46---48. 'Ye shall receive power after that the Holy 'Ghost is come upon you: and ye shall be witnesses unto me both in Judea and Samaria, and unto the 'utmost part of the earth.' Acts, i. 8. But the apostles themselves, even after the miraculous descent of the Holy Ghost upon them, were without understanding respecting the calling of the gentiles, until St. Peter was taught it by a vision from heaven. See Acls, X.

And St. Paul speaks of the subject as a mystery that was hid from ages and generations, and particularly revealed to him, and to the faints in that day. See

Ephef. iii. 1--11. Col. i. 25---27.

Wherefore when I confider that the apostles themfelves could not for a time fee those things to be revealed, which yet were most plainly, fully, and frequently told them, I cannot wonder that many great and good men now should not see the general Redemption and final Restoration of all things plainly revealed in the Scriptures, though to me scarce any subject appears more evident. It gives me now but little concern to hear many fay, that they cannot fee the matter plainly declared in the Bible, fince I know that things have been there that wife and good men could not fee; and what has happened in times past may take place now: and if I can see for myself this great truth made known, it is enough for me. I am not to enquire, What does this man believe? or What shall the other do? I must believe what the Scripture appears to me to teach, and do what I am there commanded, let others believe or do as they may.

FRIEND. But I have heard fome fay of you --- ' How comes this man to know more than all the world?---' Have there not been many great, wife, and good 'men in all ages, that have never thought of these 'things? If this doctrine of the final Restoration of 'all things had been true, furely our good, wife, and 'learned ministers would have discovered it, and proclaimed it long ago. But the doctrine of endless mi-' fery is a point in which they feem generally to agree, ' however they differ in other matters, and therefore it "must be true, and this doctrine of the general Reftoration, which this man holds up, almost alone, must

6 be false.

MINISTER. I am very far from pretending to be wifer than any that have gone before me; and as for this doctrine of the Restoration, it was not only believed and preached by the apostles, but many of the ancient fathers, who lived in the first ages of Christianity, were bold

bold witnesses for this glorious truth. It is true, that, when the Church of Rome rose to supreme power, the popes and councils endeavoured to extirpate the merciful doctors (as those who believed the general Restoration were called in derifion) and their adherents, but it was not until near the close of the seventh century that they were able to filence the witnesses for this truth. This, as well as many other precious truths, then lay hid until the Reformation, when it began a little to revive, and hath gradually increased ever since. Several great authors have written upon it; many hundreds, and even thousands, have believed it, and found comfort and joy therein. Nay, there are many ministers who believe it now as firmly as I do, but do not chuse to confess or preach it for various reasons; and great numbers of private Christians enjoy the comfort and happiness of believing it secretly. But put the case that I stood alone in this testimony, yet if, upon a fair examination the Scriptures hold forth this idea, and if all objections against it may be fully answered, why should my testimony be refused on the account of its fingularity? God has an absolute right to use what means or instruments he pleases to manifest his truth and to fulfil his purpofes; and though I am nothing, and in his fight am less than nothing, yet he is able, by the things that are not, to confound and bring to nought the things that are, that no flesh should glory in his prefence. 1 Cor. i. 28, 29.

I acknowledge that the generality of ministers, in the present day, profess to believe endless misery, though they disagree in other points; and indeed one reason why they all fall out so much about other doctrines is because they receive this as a first principle, as is very obvious; for were those who believe that Christ died only for a part of mankind, once to give up the idea of endless misery, they would acknowledge the universality of the love of God, and confess that Jesus died for all in the fullest sense. And, on the other hand, if those who believe in general Redemption were not so exceedingly tenacious of the doctrine of

endlefs

endless misery, they would not oppose the doctrine of election, nor hold, that the will of God might be finally frustrated, and that the death of Christ shall be in vain, with respect to many, and that many objects of the divine love shall finally perish to all eternity.—These inconsistencies in their sentiments, and the contests between them and those who hold partial redemption and salvation, are therefore chiefly, if not wholly, owing to both parties being agreed in this most dreadful doctrine of endless misery.

It is beautiful to observe the progression of the glorious gospel, from its opening to our first parents in the garden down to the present day. I have sometimes mentioned in public, that the more the gospel is known

and revealed, the larger and richer it appears.

It first feemed confined to one family or nation, but later discoveries shewed that all nations had a part therein, and all forts of people were designed to share in its blessings: now the glorious news begins to be published abroad, not only that all nations and all forts of people, but all persons and individuals, without exception, not only may partake of its benefits, but shall,

in due time, enjoy great advantages thereby.

God always adapts his remedies to the evils that prevail in the world; and therefore he hath opened his counfels to men according to their different capacities, needs, and circumltances. Christianity might, formerly, have been received and fincerely practifed, without being investigated at all; but when infidelity rifes up and attacks it, as it does in this our age, it becomes the duty of its friends to defend it, by enquiring into its meaning; and, laying all prejudices aside, to receive as truth those things which God hath revealed, and the same to vindicate before the world.

It might not formerly have been necessary to underftand all the prophecies; and yet now, as the time of their fulfilment draws nigh, they may become more important, be more studied, and better understood; and for this purpose God may actually illuminate the minds of some, to set them forth in a more rational,

feriptural,

feriptural, confiftent manner, than they have appeared in hitherto. And if it should please God to make any use of my tongue or pen for this great purpose, the glory shall be all ascribed to his name, to whom alone it is due; I shall have nothing to glory or boast of, forasmuch as I can only communicate what I receive; and I hope none will refuse to receive the truth, however weak or unworthy the instrument by which God

may please to send it.

FRIEND. If this is the truth which you hold forth, however contrary to the commonly received opinions of the age, I fee no reason why men should refuse to hear what you have to say; but I have heard many exclaim against you in the severest manner, and declare that they would not hear you, nor read your writings on any account; and others have said, that they could confute and overthrow your whole system in ten minutes; but whether they would be able to make their words good, if they should enter the lists with you, is another matter, and cannot be determined till a fair trial.

MINISTER. I can affure you, my friend, that I should not have the least objection to their making the attempt; for though I am conscious that neither my natural or acquired abilities, are worthy to be compared to those of many excellent characters who hold the contrary fentiments; yet the goodness of the cause in which I am engaged inspires me with courage to attempt its vindication, let who will enter the lifts with me. For when the evidence of this most glorious truth first began to appear to my mind, I was determined never to believe or profess it, until I could anfwer every objection that could be brought from the Scriptures against it, fairly, and without any torturing or twifting the words of truth; and it pleased God fo to open matters to my view, as to take every objection out of my mind, and to clear up every doubt, in fuch a manner, that I have full fatisfaction: and I can fafely fay, in the fear of God, that I am fo far from being offended with those who question me upon the matter.

matter, and thereby give me an opportunity of anfwering for myfelf, that I take it as an act of kindness: and as I stand ready to be reproved wherein I am out of the way, fo I thall thank the person who, in the spirit of love, convinces me of my error: 'Let the righ-' teous fmite me, it shall be a kindness; and let them ' reprove me, it shall be an excellent oil, which shall 'not break my head.' But let not the man who would write, dip his pen in gall; nor he that would converse, make his tongue as a sharp sword: but 'Let fall bitterness, and wrath, and anger, and clamour, and evil-speaking, be put away from you, with all * malice; and be ye kind one to another, tender-heart-'ed, forgiving one another, even as God, for Christ's ' fake, hath forgiven you. Put on, therefore, as the elect of God, holy and beloved, bowels of mercies, 'kindness, humbleness of mind, meekness, long-fuffer-'ing; forbearing one another, and forgiving one ano-' ther, if any man have a quarrel against any; even as Christ forgave you, so also do ye. And, above 'all things, put on charity, which is the bond of perfectness, and let the peace of God rule in your 'hearts.' These are the tempers of mind we ought always to posses; and especially when we discourse upon the great things of the kingdom of God.

 F_{RIEND} . I have the fame defires that you express: and I think it is to the shame of human nature, and a reproach to the innocent cause of Christianity, that religious disputes have been carried on with such amazing bitterness and acrimony. Men seem frequently to forget that they are brethren; and that they must all stand before the judgment-seat of Christ. If they remembered these things as they ought, they would not revile, censure, judge, and condemn each other as they do: from which evil practices may the good Lord preserve us, while we debate this very important subject; for though I am determined to urge every thing, that I can with propriety, in the strongest manner that I am able, yet I am willing to stipulate, on my part, that if I should use any reproachful or cen-

forious language in the remainder of the debate, I will give you leave to confider it as totally giving up the

cause in which I am engaged.

MINISTER. And I hereby promife the fame; and I am determined never to write a page of controverfy, unless it can be written in the very spirit of love and true benevolence, with a fincere defire to find and embrace the truth.

The want of this in most controversial writers has made serious people so weary of controversy, that they will neither read nor hear it on any account: nor can I wonder at it, for such bitterness tends entirely to root

out the spirit of true religion.

FRIEND. I hope we shall shew an example to mankind, how disputes ought to be carried on in love, and in the fear of God, and for the purpose of mutual edification. But as our present discourse has been long and very important, I will take my leave of you for this time, hoping, at a suture opportunity, to have more conversation with you on this so interesting a subject.

END OF THE THIRD DIALOGUE,

DIALOGUE IV.

FRIEND. I AM happy to have another opportunity of discoursing with you, concerning that point in which you differ from your brethren, the final Reficration of all Things. I have thought much of the subject fince I saw you; and though I must acknowledge that you have answered, as far as I can see, some of the greatest objections that I have found in the Scriptures, yet a considerable number remain to be answered, before I can venture to receive as a truth what I have hitherto looked upon as a dangerous heresy; and, as I have many questions to propose, I wish to make the best use of my time.

MINISTER. Propose your objections, as freely as you please; and I will endeayour to answer them as briefly,

and at the same time as plainly as possible.

FRIEND. Christ threatens the Jews with an eternal exclusion from his presence: 'Ye shall seek me, and 'shall not find me; and where I am, thither ye cannot come.' St. John, vii. 34. 'Then saith Jesus again unto them, I go my way, and ye shall seek me, and 'shall die in your sins: whither I go, ye cannot come. 'Ye are from beneath, I am from above: ye are of this world, I am not of this world. I said therefore unto you, That ye shall die in your sins; for if ye believe not that I am he, ye shall die in your sins.' St. John, viii. 21, 23, 24.

MINISTER. Do you recollect that our Lord uses words nearly fimilar to some of these to his own dif-

ciples?

 F_{FIEND} . No, indeed; I do not remember any fuch like expressions used to them. Can you show them to me?

MINISTER

MINISTER. If I do, will you acknowledge the force of the objection to be removed?

FRIEND. Certainly, I must.

MINISTER. Then read St. John, xiii. 33. Little children, yet a little while I am with you. Ye shall feek me, and, as I said unto the Jews, Whither I go

' ye cannot come; fo now I fay unto you.'

FRIEND. I am surprised that I should never have obferved this before.—Let me read the passage—Oh! but stop—it is explained in the 36th verse: 'Simon' Peter said unto him, Lord, whither goest thou? Jesus' answered him, Whither I go, thou canst not follow me now, but thou shalt follow me afterwards.' But nothing of the kind is intimated respecting the Jews.

MINISTER. Not in that text, I confess; but in many others it is more than intimated that they shall come to know and love him, yea, and to behold him as their friend. I think it is intimated in those words which our Saviour used in the close of his threatenings to Jerusalem--- Behold, your house is lest unto you de-'folate and verily I fay unto you, Ye shall not fee me henceforth, until the time come when ye shall ' fay, Bleffed is he that cometh in the name of the 'Lord.' St. Matth. xxiii. 38, 39. St. Luke, xiii. 35. It is more than intimated in these words-- And so all Ifrael shall be faved. For God hath concluded them 'all in unbelief, that he might have mercy upon all.' Rom. xi. 26, 32. 'In JEHOVAH shall all the feed of "Ifrael be justified, and shall glory.' Isaiah, xlv. 25. 'I will call them my people, who were not my people; and her Beloved, that was not beloved. And it shall come to pass, that in the place where it was faid unto them, Ye are not my people, there shall they be ' called The children of the Living God.' Rom. ix. 25, 26. 'Now will I bring again the captivity of ' Jacob, and have mercy upon the whole house of 'Ifrael: I have gathered them unto their own land, and have left none of them.' Ezek. xxxix. 25--28. And I will multiply men upon you, (the mountains of Ifrael) all the house of Ifrael, even all of it. NXXVI. 10. 'Behold, O my people, I will open your 'graves, and cause you to come up out of your graves, 'and bring you into the land of Israel. And ye shall 'know that I am Jehovah, when I have opened your 'graves, O my people, and brought you up out of 'your graves, and shall put my spirit in you, and ye 'shall live, and I shall place you in your own land: 'then shall ye know that I, Jehovah, have spoken it, 'and performed it, saith Jehovah.' Chap. xxxvii. 12, 13, 14.

FRIEND. But this returning from captivity can only respect such as are alive at that period, when the Lord shall set his hand the second time to recover the rem-

nant of his people from Affyria, &c.

MINISTER. That is more than any one can prove, as the expression is sometimes used evidently for the reftoration of such whose bodies are destroyed, beyond dispute; as in the case of Sodom and her daughters, who were taken away by fire and brimstone from heaven, whose captivity God promises to return, together with the captivity of Samaria and her daughters, at the same time that he will bring again the captivity of Jerusalem and her daughters, in the midst of them. See Ezek. xvi. 44--63. especially verses 53, 55, 61.

FRIEND. But Mr. Poole's continuators, as well as many other eminent divines, tell us, that these which you take to be promises, are only dreadful threatenings, and their meaning is this—I never will bring again the captivity of Samaria and her daughters, nor the captivity of Sodom and her daughters; neither will I ever bring again the captivity of thy captives, in the midst of them: when Sodom and her daughters shall return to their former estate, (which is impossible) and Samaria and her daughters shall return to their former estate, (which shall never be) then thou and thy daughters shall return to your former estate: but that time shall never come.

MINISTER. I know fuch is their interpretation, which proves nothing more than the weakness of their cause; for in all this, they expressly contradict God, who, from

the 60th verse to the end of the chapter, promises blessings to Jerusalem in the most absolute manner; that he will remember the covenant made withher in the days of her youth, and will establish unto her an everlasting covenant; that the shall receive her fifters, Samaria and Sodom (called her elder and her younger fifters); and he promifes to give them to her for daughters; not by the first covenant indeed, but by the new and everlasting covenant, which he will make in those days; then shall the covenant be firmly established with her; she shall know Jehovah, shall remember and be confounded, and never thall open her mouth in pride any more, because of her former sin and shame, when God shall be pacified towards her for all that she hath done.

How many promifes has God made to Jerusalem, in the prophecies, of not only bringing her captivity, and returning her to her former estate, int even causing greater bleffings than ever to come to her, and of doing better to her than in her beginning, making her an

eternal excellency, a joy of many generations, &c.

It is therefore very furpriling, that men profesling to believe the Bible should dare be so bold as to deny these promises, and declare that God will never bring Jerusalem to her former estate! They might, indeed, fafely fay, that the promifes have not yet been fulfilled; but it is too hold to affert, that therefore they will never be accomplished. Were there no other text to prove the Reftoration of the Jews who died in their tins, and indeed of the whole fallen race of Adam, I should judge this sufficient --- 'All that the Father giveth " me, shall come to me; and him that cometh unto me, I will in no wife cast out. For I came down from heaven, not to do my own will, but the will of him that fent me. And this is the Father's will, which hath fent me, that of all which he hath given me, I ' should lose nothing, but should raise it up again at the 'last day.' St. John, vi. 37 --- 39. Here we find that Christ, our Lord, declares, not only that all that the Father giveth him shall come to him, but also that they

shall come in such a manner, as in no wise to be cast out; and that such is the Father's will, that nothing of all which he hath given to the Son, should be loft, or missing, at that great day when he shall deliver up the kingdom to the Father, who did put all things under him; and as this is the will of that God who worketh all things after the counsel of his own will, and as Christ has uncertaken the accomplishment of this will of the Father, it concludes absolutely and forcibly against the doctrine of endless misery and annihilation.

FRIEND. It certainly does with respect to all those whom the Father giveth, or hath given, to the fon; but

to none else.

MINISTER. That is all that I contend for: I ground the Restoration of all things upon these two premises, which I call the major and the minor; 1. That all things are given to the jon, without exception:—2. That all that are given him, shall come to him, in such a manner as not to be case out; and that none shall be missing, lost, or wholly destroyed, but shall be forthcoming, in that great day when Christ shall give up the kingdom to the Father.

FRIEND. But can you prove your major? I am fenfible that the minor has been the great foundation upon which particular redemption, &c. has been supported; but if the major can be proved, it will fet the strongest weapons of those who hold partial decrees, &c. directly against them, and will give another turn to the ar-

gument.

Minister. I can prove the major by the fame positive expressions as the minor, and by more passages of Scripture; and you shall judge for yourself, whether I quote them fairly, and whether they can be invalidated by any arguments which will not, at the same time, invalidate the minor. I will quote the several texts at large, as they are of great importance.---

St. Matth. xi. 27. 'All things are delivered unto 'me of my Father, and no man knoweth the fon, but 'the Father; neither knoweth any man the Father,

" fave

fave the for, and he to whomsoever the for will re-' veal him.' The very fame words are mentioned by St. Luke; fee chap. x. 22.

But the most striking passages of this kind are found in the gospel of St. John, chap. iii. 35. and xiii. 3.----

. The Father loveth the fon, and hath given all things ' into his hands.' 'Jefus knowing that the Father had 'given all things into his hands, and that he was come ' from God, and went to God,' &c.

God hath moreover faid to his jon, 'Alk of me, and I shall give thee the heathen for thine inheritance, and the uttermost parts of the earth for thy possession.' Pfalm ii. 8.

Thus, all things are given to Christ, without exception. The major and minor being both proved from Scripture, we may venture to draw this conclusion:

If all things are given into the hands of Christ by the Father; and all that the Father giveth, or hath given, shall come to Christ, in such a manner as not to

be cast out; then shall all men be restored.

Here the whole Christian world may unite, without either party being obliged to give up their favourite tenets: and while fome strongly contend, and prove from Scripture, that all things are delivered into the hands of Jesus; let the others go on to prove, that all that the Father giveth, shall come in such a manner as not to be cast out: thus shall the truth be promoted mutually and equally, by those who seem to contradict each other; but neither shall, in that case, contradict what our Lord hath faid.

But as all things, or all men, without exception, are given to Jesus, that he might restore, or bring them back to God, in his own way and time; fo is he invested with all power, that he might be able to accomplish so great a work. Jesus spake, saying, ' All power is given unto me, in heaven and in earth.' St. Matth. xxviii. 18. 'For as the Father hath life in ' himself, so hath he given to the son to have life in 6 himself; and hath given him authority to execute judgment also, because he is the son of man.' St. John.

John, v. 26, 27. Jesus, our Lord, has power to quicken fouls who are dead in trefpasses and fins; fee Ephes. ii. 1. He himself faith, ' Verily, verily, I say unto 'you, the hour is coming, and now is, when the dead ' thall hear the voice of the fon of God, and they that 'hear shall live.' St. John, v. 25. He hath power to 'raise all the dead that are in their graves; for he faith, 'Marvel not at this; for the hour is coming, in ' which all that are in the graves shall hear his voice, ' and thall come forth; they that have done good to ' the refurrection of life; and they have done evil to ' the refurrection of damnation.' Verse 29. But he has not only power to raife the dead, and quicken whom he will; to give rewards to them that love him, and to pass fentence of judgment and condemnation upon his foes, and they that have done evil; but has also power to subdue all things unto himself, to reconcile all things, and to rehead all things in himself: and whatever methods he may use towards mankind, and whatever miseries he may suffer them to feel for their fins, and how long foever the dreadful age of judgment and fiery indignation may last, Christ has given us to understand, in his prayer to the Father, that the power which he hath, was given with a defign far fuperior to this (though judgment is included); he faith, (in that addrefs, which, for its grandeur, beauty, fimplicity, and majesty, never was equalled) --- 'As thou hast given him opower over all flesh, that he should give eternal life ' to as many (wav, pan, ALL) as thou hast given him. 'And this is life eternal, that they might know thee, the only true God, and Jefus Chrift whom thou haft 'fent.' St. John, xvii. 2, 3. Here we fee, that his power over all flesh was given him for this grand purpose, that ultimately he might give eternal life; not only endless existence, but the knowledge of God and his Christ, to all that the Father hath given him, which are all without exception. This is the will of God, that of all which he hath given the fon, he should lose nothing; but should give eternal life, even the knowledge of God, which he alone can give, to all, without referve, whom the Father hath given him; this is the will which Christ came to do, and this he hath power to perform. Now, if he came purposely to do the will of God, and if it is the will of God, that of all that the Father hath given to the fon he should lose nothing, but thould bring all to himfelf, in fuch a manner as that they should not be cast out, and give them all eternal life--- and if all, without referve, are given to him, and univerfal power and dominion are his for this very purpofe---if all these premises are true--as who can deny?---then nothing can be more evident than this conclusion, viz. that Ath shall be at last restored.

'It is written in the prophets, (faith Jesus) And they shall be all taught of God. Every man, therefore, that hath heard, and hath learned of the Father, ' cometh unto me.' St. John, vi. 45. If all shall be taught of God---and all that are taught shall come to Christ--- and none that come to him shall be cast out or rejected --- if all these premises are true, (and I think they are fully proved) how very naturally the conclusion follows, viz. that all shall be finally brought home to God before Christ shall refign the kingdom to

the Father.

Our bleffed Lord is invested with power sufficient to perform this work; it is the will of God that it should be done; Christ came into the world on purpose to begin, and lay a foundation for the fame; he hath laid a fufficient foundation, by tafting death for all; one died for all; he gave himself a ransom for all, to be testified in due time: and he seemed consident that he should be able to accomplish this will of the Father, when he faid, 'And I, if I be lifted up from the earth, will draw all men unto me.' St. John, xii. 32. He was lifted up from the earth, and therefore the IF is now no more; he will certainly draw all unto himfelf, and give eternal life, or the knowledge of God, to all; he shall see of the travail of his foul, and be satisfied .-- And who can conceive how much it will take to latisfy the capacious foul of the Son of God, and especially after having borne fuch deadly pains for all?--Thefe passages, my friend, establish my mind in the belief of the final Universal Restitution, beyond all hesitation; nevertheless, I would not wish to force your
affent, were it in my power, but only to lay before
you that evidence which has wrought upon me, and
has brought me over, notwithstanding my education,
prejudices, former sentiments, custom, the multitude,
my interest, my honour, and connections, were all
against it.

 F_{RIEND} . I suppose you know the expositions that are given by the generality of expositors upon all these texts; and it is a fact that thousands and millions of great and good men have read them, many have preached from them, and yet never saw any thing like the Universal Restoration contained in them; but if the doctrine be true, and be at all intended to be set forth in the Scriptures, I must suppose that the passages you have mentioned, may allude to it; but I have many objections yet to propose, which must be fairly

answered before I can receive it.

Minister. I would choose you should propose every objection that you can, especially those that may be brought from the facred page; not only for your own satisfaction, but less any should be led to suppose that objections of the greatest force are purposely kept back, because no folid answers could be found; whereas I am desirous of hearing whatever can be fairly urged from the Scriptures against this view, and make no doubt of being able to shew that all may be fully answered.

FRIEND. What do you think of those passages, where God is represented as swearing in his wrath, that unbelievers shall not enter into his rest, which are found in Psalm xcv. 11. Heb. iii. 11, 18, 19. chap. iv. 3, 6. compared with Numb. xiv. Do they not seem to cut off all hopes of the Restoration of those who die

in their fins?

MINISTER. By the reft that was promifed to the children of Ifrael, which they forfeited by their unbelief,

we must understand the land of Canaan, and not the final state of happiness. For who can suppose, that out of more than fix hundred thousand men, besides women, only two will be faved? And that even Mofes and Aaron, those faints of the Lord, will be lott among the rest? For they, as well as others, entered not in; because they believed not God, to fanctify him before the congregation: fee Numb. xx. 12. Only Caleb and Joshua entered into that rest, for they sollowed the Lord fully: and they are typical of those who shall follow the Lamb in all ages, so as to obtain a part in the first resurrection, over whom the second death shall have no power; they who are called, and chosen, and faithful, and are overcomers, shall reign with Christ on earth during the Millenium, which is the rest that was pointed out by the land of Canaan.

FRIEND. Is it indeed? We have commonly underflood that reft which the children of Israel had in the promifed land, as typical of heaven and eternal feli-

MINISTER. This cannot be; fince it is evident that mighty wars were waged, and dreadful battles fought; thirty-one kings and kingdoms were conquered and fubdued by Jothua and the Ifraelites, after they paffed over Jordan; it was not a perfect reft, but only a type of that keeping of the fabbath which remains for the people of God, into which we are exhorted to enter; which is the time when our Lord, after having conquered the nations of the earth, shall reign for a thousand years, before the second resurrection; but as many of the Israelites may be saved in the day of the Lord, whose carcasses fell in the wilderness, so likewife shall the names of many be found written in the book of life, at the general judgment, when the dead, small and great, shall stand before God, who were not worthy to have a part in the first resurrection. These passages, therefore, conclude strongly against those having a part in the first resurrection; but nothing against the final Restoration, which is a state far beyoud, and belongs to another dispensation. FRIENDS

FRIEND. This is quite a different comment from what I have ever heard before; but allowing this objection to be answered, I have another in my mind that appears very difficult, and I should be glad to know what you can fay upon it; it is drawn from Isaiah, xxvii. 11. 'For it is a people of no understanding; therefore he that made them will not have mercy on them, and he that formed them will shew them no ' favour.' How can they ever be restored, if God will not have mercy upon them, nor shew them any

MINISTER. If we did not understand these words with fome limitation, it would be as difficult to reconcile them with other passages of Scripture, as with the doctrine of the Restoration; but if we only limit the time, all is easy; 'He shall have judgment without ' mercy who hath shewed no mercy;' nevertheless, it is added, 'but mercy rejoiceth against judgment.' James, ii. 13. The way I answer all these threatenings, and shew them to be consistent with that boundless mercy of God, that is over all his works, is, to fhew that both wrath and mercy have their featon; that anger endureth but a moment, but that mercy endureth for ever; which glorious declaration is expressed more than forty times in the Scripture; and that God frequently threatens the greatest judgments, and promileth the greatest mercies, to the same people and persons. 'Thus saith Jehovah, Thy bruise is incurable, and thy wound is grievous. There is none to plead thy cause, that thou mayest be bound up: thou haft no healing medicines. All thy lovers have forgotten thee; they feek thee not; for I have wounded thee with the wound of an enemy, with the chastise-6 ment of a cruel one, for the multitude of thine iniquity, because thy fins were increased. Why criest thou for thine affliction? Thy forrow is incurable, for the multitude of thine iniquity: because thy sins were increased, I have done these things unto thee.' Now, who would not think, from reading these words, that these people were in a most hopeless state, beyond the reach of mercy: and that it was in vain for them even to feek it? And yet the very next words fpeak a language directly contrary: 'Therefore all they that ' devour thee, shall be devoured, &c. For I will re-' flore health unto thee, and I will heal thee of thy ' wounds, faith Jenovan, because they called thee an Outcast, faying, This is Zion, whom no man feeketh 'after.' See Jer. xxx. 12--17. I could justify this obfervation by hundreds of passages wherein God threatens his people with judgments the most severe, and declares, that his eye shall not pity, nor his arm fave; that he will visit their transgressions upon them, will utterly cast them off, and will not have compassion upon them at all; and then fuch promifes of mercy break out as are fufficient to aftonish every one with their greatness. But time would fail to quote them at large. God, by Hofea, fays, 'I will no more have ' mercy upon the house of Israel; but I will utterly ' take them away. For ye are not my people, and I ' will not be your God.' And then immediately fays, speaking of a time to come, ' And it shall come to pass, that in the place where it was said unto them, 'Ye are not my people, there it shall be said unto ' them, Ye are the fons of the living God.' See Hofea,

i. 6, 9, 10.

The whole prophecy, indeed, feems of a piece with this fpecimen. In the feecond chapter it is faid, 'Plead' with your mother, plead; for fhe is not my wife, 'neither am I her hufband; and I will not have mericy upon her children, for they be the children of 'whoredoms,' Then he goes on to pronounce many dreadful threatenings; but the chapter closes with the most amazing promises of mercies to the same people, under the similitude of a wise that had been rejected, and after a long time received again. 'And' I will betroth thee unto me for ever, yea, I will betroth thee unto me in righteousness, and in 'judgment, and in loving-kindness, and in mercies.' I will even betroth thee unto me in faithfulness, and 'thou shalt know the Lord. And I will sow her unto 'me in the earth, and I will have have mercy upon her

02

'that had not obtained mercy; and I will fay to them 'who were not my people, Thou art my people; and 'they shall fay, Thou art my God.' See Holea, ii. 2, 4, 19, 20, 23. Thus the objection admits of a fair and rational answer; and I have been the larger upon it, because I judged it of great importance to clear it up thoroughly, but more passages upon this subject are needless, or I could easily produce great numbers, that speak to the same purpose.

FRIEND. You have feemed to come over this objection rather better than I could have expected; but I must beg leave to ask you, what you do with that passage: (Psalm xlix. 19.) 'He shall go to the generation of his fathers; they shall never see light?'

Minister. I render the words that the left light; or 'until fubdued and overcome, they shall not see the light; or 'until the age, or a certain period, they shall not see light.' The same words are used in Job, xxxiv. 36, where they are rendered 'unto the end.' My desire is, that Job may be tried unto the end.' How would it seem to render the words thus My 'desire is, that Job may be tried never; or, may never 'be tried?' This would be quite inconsistent with the nature of things, as well as a contradiction in the words themselves; for first to say, 'My desire is, that 'Job may be tried,' and then to add words that mean never, is quite ridiculous even to suppose; but render the words, 'unto a time or period,' or, as they will bear, 'until he be subdued or overcome,' and the meaning' is both plain and benevolent: but to wish him tried for ever, world without end, would be a most malevolent wish; and to wish him tried never, would be non-fense.

 F_{RIEND} . This translation is very different from that which we commonly read, in which this text appears a very formidable objection indeed: for if they shall never see the light, they cannot be restored.

MINISTER. The word never is fometimes used in our translation, in such a manner as to oblige us to understand it in a limited sense, as has been proved before:

Lev. vi. 13. 'The fire shall ever be burning upon the 'altar, it shall never go out;' and in several other

places.

FRIEND. I must allow that the words you mention feem very ftrong and absolute, but the very reasonof things obliges us to limit their meaning to a period or age; but where no fuch necessity appears, we must understand fuch words in the most absolute and unlimited fense.

MINISTER. I think there is as abundant reason from Scripture to limit the time of punishment as any thing whatever; as I trust will appear in the course of our conversation; for which purpose I shall be glad to hear all that you are able to urge against the doctrine of the Restoration.

 F_{RIEND} . The next objection I shall bring is from Job, xxxvi. 18. 'Because there is wrath, beware left he take thee away with his stroke; then a great ran-' fom cannot deliver thee.' By which we underfland, that after death there is no deliverance, no. not even by that great ransom the blood of Christ.

MINISTER. This would be one of the strongest objections that you have yet advanced, if the words a great ransom, had any allusion to the blood of the dear redeemer, and if it could be fairly demonstrated, that it can have no power over the dead; but I apprehend, when you read and confider the text, context, and fimilar passages, you will see that no such thing is intended.

Job had frequently wished for death in his trouble and anguish, (as many persons foolishly and wickedly do, that have never felt the tenth part of his afflictions) for which Elihu justly reproves him in these words:
Because there is wrath, beware lest he take thee away with his stroke; then a great ransom cannot 'deliver thee' from death and the grave; from being cut off by the hand of Heaven, justly provoked by thy rashness. 'Will he esteem thy riches?' Will he account thy great riches a ranfom for thy life? 'No, onot gold, nor all the forces of ftrength;' Wouldest

thou give ever fo much of the precious ore to ranfom thy life it would be unavailable; or shouldest thou trust in thy strength of body or mind; shouldest thou plead the readiness of thy wit, the strength and greatness of thy judgment, memory, and other faculties; thy benevolence of disposition, thy usefulness in life, thy numerous connections, the great honours that await thee; wert thou a monarch, and couldest thou command armies and valiant hofts, firong and mighty; all these things would be totally disregarded by God, if he, provoked by thy rashness, should give thee thy wish, and issue the death warrant against thy life: therefore, considering these things, 'Desire not the night (of death, and especially sudden death) when peop'e 'are cut off in their place;' and there is no remedy, no ranfom, no discharge in that war; from which neither power, wifdom, might, riches, honours, wickedness, nor even virtue, can deliver. . Take heed, re-' gard not iniquity:' do not fin in any wife, and especially do not rashly wish for death; which is very prefumptuous and heaven-daring; 'for this thou haft 'chofen, rather than affliction.' See Job, xxxvi, 18---21. This is evidently the plain meaning of the text, and is confirmed by Pfalm xlix. 6---9. 'They that trust in their wealth, and boast themselves in the mul-' titude of their riches, none of them can, by any ' means, redeem his brother, nor give to God a ran-' fom for him: (for the redemption of their foul is ' precious, and it ceaseth for ever) that he should live ' for ever, and not fee corruption.' But though the power of wealth, wifdom, and firength, are not fufficient to buy a fhort reprieve from death, yet the power of God is able to ranfom therefrom, and to redeem from the grave, or hell, as I have noticed before, and which is expressed in the last mentioned Psalm, in the following terms; 'But God will redeem my foul ' from the power of the grave, for he shall receive me.' Ver. 15. And by the same parity of reasoning, that the power of the Highest is able also to redeem or ranfom the bodies of men from the grave, after they

have perished there, he is able also to redeem souls from sin and misery, if it be his pleasure; and, by the blood of the Messiah's covenant, to tend forth his prisoners out of the pit wherein is no water, not even a drop to cool the tongues of those who are tormented there. I have, therefore, no doubt but the blood of Christ is able to redeem to the uttermost, and is sufficient to destroy the power of sin, death, and he l.—Nothing in the text on which your objection is founded, can depreciate the merit of that cleansing, all-powerful blood, by proving that it cannot be effectual to lost souls.

FRIEND. But have you forgot that the Scripture fays --- Whatfoever thy hand findeth to do, do it with thy might; for there is no work, nor device, nor knowledge, nor wifdom, in the grave, whither thou goeft. And if the tree fall toward the fouth, or toward the north; in the place where the tree falleth,

'there it shall be?' Eccles. ix. 10. xi. 3.

MINISTER. No; I have not forgot that fuch passages are found in the Bible; and I believe they were written with a defign to make us diligent, and wifely improve our time and talents; and that we should be liberal in giving alms, which feems especially to be the meaning of the last, if we may judge by the connection in which it is found, and without which I am not able to fay what it intends: but, in this case, they do not appear to me to be any thing to the purpose, one way or the other; and yet no Scriptures are more frequently brought than these against the doctrine of the Restoration of all things; but commonly accompanied with fome additions, fuch as, 'There is no repentance ' in the grave, nor pardon offered to the dead.' And 'As death leaves us, fo judgment finds us.' Words that I have never found in the Bible; but were they repeated ever fo often, could not affect this argument; fince the general Restoration cannot happen till long after the last judgment, and will not be wholly compleated till the time of the creation of the new heavens and earth, wherein righteoufness alone shall dwell.

We all know, that the grave is a place of inactivity. where there is no work, device, knowledge, nor wifdom; and it is a state to which we shall soon be brought: and, therefore, we ought to be diligent and industrious now: but those who believe in the immortality of the foul, will not undertake to prove from these words, that it has no knowledge, or wifdom, after it leaves the body; fince many of them fay, that the foul knows much more in one hour after that event takes place, than in the whole period of its existence before. And as for those who believe that man dies wholly, and fleeps in the grave until the refurrection, they can never bring this text with any confiftency against the final Restoration of all men: because, let what will be the flate of things in the grave, nothing can prevent our Saviour from raising all at last, and changing them finally for the better, it such be his pleasure. might, therefore, just as well attempt, from the viith and xivth chapters of Job, to prove, that there shall be no refurrection of the dead from their graves, notwithstanding the numerous promises of that grand event, as any person to prove, from these and similar passages, that all men shall not be finally restored; fince what is faid in those chapters feems ten times more against the former, than any thing that can be urged from these is against the latter. The doctrine of the general refurrection of the just and unjust, and a state of rewards for the former, and punishments for the latter, according to their works, and also the subjection, final reconciliation, and re-union of ALL things in CHRIST, are all expressly revealed, and are made the subject of prophecies, threatenings, and promifes; and are all truths that cannot be overthrown by any reasonings, as they are plainly declared by God himfelf.

The Scripture, in abundance of places, highly recommends liberality; and, in the most positive manner, assures the bounteous, that they shall be blessed; I need

not recite passages to prove what is so universally known and confessed. Solomon, therefore, having given many excellent precepts to direct us in other matters, comes to exhort us to be bountiful and liberal in distributing to the necessities of others what God lrath bleffed us withal, faying, 'Caft thy bread upon ' the waters; for thou shalt find it after many days. 'Give a portion to feven, and also to eight; for thou knowest not what evil shall be upon the earth. ' the clouds be full of rain, they empty themselves ' upon the earth: and if the tree fall toward the fouth, or toward the north, in the place where the tree fall-'eth, there it shall be.' Thereby intimating to us, that as certainly as these plain common observations are true, (than which nothing can be more so) shall they who bestow liberally upon the poor and needy, be rewarded, fince God has promifed, and he will perform. Solomon then goes on upon the fame subject, and intimates to us, by two striking metaphors, that if we wait till no difficulties appear in our way to hinder us from performing our duty, we shall never reap the blefling; and after giving us to understand, that God has many fecret ways of working, far above our comprehension, and can therefore bless and reward us in many ways out of our own fight, or the view of others, and yet no less certainly than children are conceived, nourished, and receive life in the womb, though we know not how; he comes to give us a warm and prefling exhortation to be confiant in doing good to all, according to our power; and to be so far from concluding that to be lost that we thus bestow, that we ought to confider alms as feed fown in a fruitful foil, and should, with patience, wait for the glorious harvest when, through the divine bleffing, we may expect to reap an hundred fold.

All this is plain and easy: but how any text in this beautiful chain should ever have been thought to have any allusion to the state of fouls departed, or brought as a proof that no alteration can take place after death, I cannot conceive. But, allowing it to have any rela-

ferve

tion at all to a future state, it cannot then in the least difprove, that very material changes may happen to fouls in the spiritual world, since a tree cut down by its owner, lies not long in the fame polition in which it falls, but is applied to various uses, according to its fitness and his pleasure. But as this is nothing to the purpose, I think I have taken too much pains here already; and I should have faid little or nothing upon this part of the objection, were it not continually urged, as though the whole controverly turned and terminated upon this allusion, than which nothing feems farther from the meaning of the text, which, in its true fense, appears to be this --- That, as certainly as full clouds must empty themselves upon the terraqueous globe, and that every thing is what it is, and where it is, and no where elfe, and as a tree falling to the fouth, does not fall and lie to the north, and, vice verfa, fo, with the same certainty, shall liberality be blest and rewarded.

FRIEND. I have nothing to object to what you have faid upon the text; it appears natural. I formerly thought it intended, that as perfons were laid in the grave fo they should rife, and that there could be no change after death; but I am fully convinced, that this belongs not to the subject. But there is another pasfage in the book of Ecclefiastes, (chap. ix. 4--6.) that I should be glad to hear your opinion of --- For to him that is joined to all the living there is hope; for a living dog is better than a dead lion. For the living know that they must die: but the dead know not any thing, neither have they any more a reward; for the memory of them is forgotten. Also their love and their hatred, and their envy is now perished: eneither have they any more a portion for ever, in any thing that is done under the fun.'

MINISTER. It is evident that the wife man in this and many other of his observations, only confidered things with respect to the present life, without any regard to a future state. In this view, his declarations are confishent with truth, but in no other. Let the following

ferve as a specimen--- 'No man knoweth either love or hatred, by all that is before them. All things come alike to all; there is one event to the righteous and to the wicked, to the good, and to the clean, and to the unclean; to him that facrificeth, and to him that facrificeth not; as is the good, fo is ' the finner, and he that fweareth, as he that feareth an oath.' Ver. 1, 2. Nothing could possibly be more false than these observations, applied to a future state, though they are generally true in this life: for if there are no future rewards and punishments, no state of retribution hereafter, there is an end to all religion. But he does not finally leave the matter fo; but makes a most excellent conclusion to this book, faying---

Let us hear the conclusion of the whole matter: 'Fear (or revere) God, and keep his commandments, for this is the whole duty of man. For God shall bring every work into judgment, with every fecret 'thing, whether it be good, or whether it be evil.'

Chap. xii. 13, 14.

Thus it is plain, that a living dog is better (more useful in this world) than a dead lion, which is no longer capable of doing good or evil; that a man, when he dies, loses all hopes of enjoyment in this life, and is rendered incapable of exerciting the functions of it any longer; has no more a portion in any thing belonging thereto. Thus, in fine, all the observations that can be fairly made, upon this and fimilar passages, belong entirely to the present state of things; and therefore do not at all affect the argument, either one way or the other.

FRIEND. This is fo plain, that nothing can be more. so; but our Saviour's words (St. John, ix. 4.) deferve a particular confideration-- I must work the works of him that fent me, while it is day; the night cometh, when no man can work; which is explained commonly of the night of death, when no more works

can be wrought.

MINISTER. Our Lord was diligent in his labour; he confiantly went about doing good; he was never idle; he was in haste, till he had finished the work which his father had given him to do. In this he was, as in every thing elfe, a glorious pattern and example for us! And oh, that we might follow him! Now we may feed the hungry, give drink to the thirsty, clothe the naked, be eyes to the blind, feet to the lame; may take in the stranger, relieve the distressed, visit the sick, the fatherless, widows, and prisoners in their affliction: may bury the dead, and conflantly perform works of benevolence and mercy, while we remain in this state of our existence; which, if we here neglect, we never can perform at all, and, of confequence, never obtain the rewards which are promifed to the obedient: but as it is not the state of rewards and punishments that we are now discoursing about, but a state beyond--even the Restoration of all things; neither is the dispute about what men can do after this life, but what God can do, or what he has purposed to do with and for them in the ages to come, after the dreadful fentence is past; whether they shall be left under the fame while God exists, or whether they shall be annihilated; this, you know, is the state of the question: fome hold the first, and others the last: but I am apt to think both these opinions are extremes, and therefore judge it fafelt to maintain the fecond, which I take to be the medium here.

 F_{RIEND} . Indeed I am convinced, that no circumfiance preceding the general judgment, can affect the argument; because we are informed, that the condemnation of the wicked shall be at that day; then God will render to them according to their deeds, and will say to them, Depart from me, &c.--But the following texts of Scripture form a strong objection to the Universal Restoration, which I would wish you

well to confider.

'The expectation of the wicked shall perish, and the hypocrites hope shall perish—whose hope shall be cut off, and whose trust shall be a spider's web. He shall lean upon his house, but it shall not stand; he shall hold it saft, but it shall not endure. The hea-

ven

' ven shall reveal his iniquity, and the earth shall rife up against him. The eyes of the wicked shall fail, ' and they shall not escape; and their hope shall be as the giving up of the ghost. His confidence shall be ' rooted out of his tabernacle, and it shall bring him ' to the king of terrors. For what is the hope of the ' wicked, though he hath gained, when God taketh away his foul? Will God hear his cry, when trouble cometh upon him? He that, being often re-' proved, hardeneth his neck, thall fuddenly be deftroy-'ed, and that without remedy. When a wicked man dieth, his expectation shall perish; and the hope of ' unjust men perisheth. Because I have called, and ye ' have refused; I have stretched out my hand, and no man regarded; but ye have fet at nought all my counsel, and would none of my reproof: I also will ' laugh at your calamity, I will mock when your fear ' cometh; when your fear cometh as a desolation, and ' your destruction cometh as a whirlwind; when dis-' tress and anguish come upon you: then shall they ' call upon me, but I will not answer; they shall feek " me early, but they shall not find me: for that they ' hated knowledge, and did not choose the fear of JEHOVAH. They would none of my counsel; they despised all my reproof. Therefore shall they eat of 6 the fruit of their own way, and be filled with their own devices.' Prov. x. 28. Job, viii. 13---15. xx. 27. xi. 20. xviii. 14. xxvii. 8, 9. Prov. xxix. 1. xi. 7. i. 21---31.

These, and abundance of other similar passages, declare the future state of the wicked to be desperate, without hope; they and their hopes perish together, as the spider's web; they have no hopes or prospect of being redeemed; they can look for nothing but judgment and stery indignation, which shall devour them as stubble fully dry, and as thorns cut up shall they be burned in the sire. Solomon says, 'The expectation of the wicked is wrath.' Prov. xi. 23. 'As he loved cursing, so shall it come unto him; as he delighted not in blessing, so shall it be far from him. As he cloather than the same that the same than the same than

' shall it come into his bowels like water, and like oil 'into his bones.' Pfalm cix. 17, 18. Indeed he can have no hopes, when he confiders that he hath neglected fo great falvation all his life; that he hath fet at nought God's counsels, despised his reproofs: that when his Creator called to him to turn, he had no ears to hear his voice; and therefore, when forrow shall overtake, though he may cry, he shall not be regarded of God; and though he may feek, he shall not find: the master of the house having risen up, and shut to the door, all knocking for entrance is in vain, even though fuch were to plead for admittance in the most earnest manner, faying, 'Lord, Lord, open to us;' he shall answer, 'I know you not, whence you are.' And though they may reiterate, and expostulate, faying, We have eaten and drank in thy presence, and thou haft taught in our streets;" he shall not be moved, but shall fay to them, 'I tell you, I know you not, ' whence you are; depart from me, all ye workers of 'iniquity .--- There shall be weeping, and gnashing of 6 teeth, when they shall fee Abraham, and Isaac, and 'Jacob, and all the prophets, in the kingdom of God, and they themselves thrust out.' St. Luke, xiii. 25

---28. See alfo, St. Matth. vii. 21---23. xxv. 11, 12. MINISTER. These are awful warnings, indeed; and were they attended to as they ought to be, would be futficient, one would think, to deter men from their evil ways. I am glad that you have flated them in this most striking point of light; for though they form no real objection to my views of God's dealing with men, as I understand the Scriptures, they are an insuperable bar to the opinions of those who deny a future state of retribution, which I think impossible for them to anfwer fairly. I shall, however, notice briefly some things in this collection of Scriptures, in order that my fentiments may appear in their true light.

1. All the hopes of the wicked, ungodly, and hy-

pocrites, shall perish at their death.

Perhaps they hoped to have lived long, to have enjoyed health, wealth, pleafure, and all worldly good, for many years; to have feen their children for many generations, flourishing for a long time on earth; but

death destroys these hopes.

The hypocrites might have hoped that they should have been accepted with God on the account of their birth, parentage, profession, rank among the people of God, observation of the externals of religion, &c. &c. all of which vain hopes do certainly perish at death.

The profane and wicked infidel, and practical atheift, might have hoped either to have ceased to exist, or to have found some way of escaping the threatened pu-

nishment; but death destroys these hopes also.

2. Whatever may be the final intention of God towards these miserable creatures, it is evident they are thut up in a state of keen tormenting despair, or dreadful suffers, and may be fully persuaded that they shall never be released, of which it is likely they may not have even the most distant hope, or the least degree of knowledge; but, on the contrary, be in fear-tul expectation of more terrible punishment hereaster.

3. As they have lived and died in fin, their defiruction, or mifery, is certain; and there is no remedy, that can prevent their experiencing the confequences of their crimes, and fuffering the just punishment which shall be inflicted on them, according to their different

deserts.

4. They who live and die in rebellion against God, will be eternally deprived of the glories and honours of the kingdom of Christ, which otherwise they might have possessed; will be excluded from a share in the first resurrection, and will be exposed to suffer the torments of the second death; which all must inevitably suffer, who remain incorrigible till the great day of judgment.

5. As God hath called, and they have refused, it is but reasonable to suppose, that they, in their turn, shall cry in vain; yet, nevertheless, though he may long delay, he may hear their cries, and deliver them

at last. See Pfalm cvii. 13---16.

David, in Pfalm xxxiv. fays, 'The face of Jehovan is against them that do evil, to cut off the remembrance of them from the earth.' Our translators not understanding, or not entertaining an idea of the future Restoration, add, ' The righteous cry, and Jeho-' VAH heareth, and delivereth them out of all their 'troubles;' whereas the Holy Ghost has put no such words as the rightcous into the text there; but after faying, that the face of Jenovan is against them that do evil, to destroy them out of the world, and to make them forgotten, and their names to cease upon the earth, it adds a word that fignifies crying, and then fays, 'And Jenovan heareth, and delivereth them 'out of all their troubles.' See ver. 16, 17. This feems, indeed, like the doctrine of the Bible, which elfewhere fays, speaking of the notoriously wicked---'Omy God, make them like a wheel: as the stubble before the wind. As the fire burneth the wood, and as the flame fetteth the mountains on fire, fo perfe-'cute them with thy tempest, and make them afraid with thy fform. Fill their faces with shame, that they may feek thy name, O JEHOVAH. Let them be ' confounded, and troubled for ever; yea, let them be ' put to shame and perish. And they shall know' (as the Hebrew word fignifies, and as it is rendered in the old translation) 'that thou, whose name alone is 'JEHOVAH, art the Most High over all the earth.' Pfalm lxxxiii. 13---18. Here we fee, in a beautiful and clear manner, that one grand defign of God in bringing judgments, and even what is called utter defiruction, upon men, is that they may know that he is JEHOVAH, the true God; and there are but few intelligent Christians but must, in some measure, be able to conceive hopes concerning all those to whom the knowledge of God is promifed.

Though the threatenings in the prophecy of Ezekiel, both against the Jews and other nations, are uncommonly severe, yet they frequently close with this gracious promise--- And they shall know that I am

· JEHOVAH'

'JEHOVAH'---or fomething fimilar; as will evidently appear to those who will be at the pains of examining

the following passages in that book---

Ezekiel, vi. 7, 10, 13, 14. vii. 4, 9, 27. xi. 10, 12. xii. 15, 16, 20. xiii. 9, 14, 21, 23. xiv. 8. xv. 7. xvi. 62. xx. 12, 20, 26, 38, 42, 44. xxii. 16. xxiii. 49. xxiv. 24, 27. xxv. 5, 7, 11, 17. xxvi. 6. xxviii. 22, 23, 24, 26. xxix. 6, 9, 16, 21. xxx. 8, 19, 25, 26. xxxii. 15. xxxiii. 29. xxxiv. 27. xxxv. 4, 9, 12, 15. xxxvi. 11, 23, 38. xxxvii. 6, 13. xxxviii. 23. xxxix. 6, 7, 22, 28.

 F_{RIEND} . But does not punishment harden and inflame offenders, instead of softening and humbling them? As we read, Isaiah, viii. 21. 'They shall curse their king 'and their God, and look upward.' And in Rev. xvi. 9---11. 'And men were scorched with great heat, and 'blasphemed the name of God, which hath power over these plagues; and they repented not to give 'him glory. And they gnawed their tongues for 'pain, and blasphemed the God of heaven, because of 'their pains and their fores; and repented not of their 'deeds.'

MINISTER. Punishment, to a certain degree, inflames and enrages in a most amazing manner; but continued longer, and heavier, produces a contrary effect--- foftens, humbles, and fubdues. When Ephraim of old bemoaned himself, he said thus --- 'Thou hast chast-'ifed me, and I was chaftifed, as a bullock unaccuf-'tomed to the yoke; turn thou me, and I shall be, 'turned; for thou art Jehovah my God,' Jer. xxxi. 18. The metaphor here used expresses, in a most lively manner, the different effects of the same discipline, in its beginning, progrefs, and end. When a bullock first has the yoke laid on his neck, he frets, toffes, and rages exceedingly; but, by a continuance of the discipline, he is subdued, brought down, humbled, and tamed, fo as to become the most useful and gentle of animals. The fons of Zion are represented as lying at the head of all the fireets, as a wild bull ' in a net; full of the fury of JEHOVAH, the rebuke of

'God.' Isaiah, li. 20. A wild bull in a net must be a furious creature; fo are men when first they are brought under the divine correction. But God knows how to correct men, in fuch a manner as to bring them to fubmit to him in due time; and though fome are fo funk in fin as not to be reformed, by any means, in this life, yet that is no argument, that God is not able to fubdue and bring down the proud and most rebellious, in another state, by means that may be used effectually there, though they could not be used here. God fays, by the prophet, to Ifrael, 'Because I have ' purged thee, and thou wast not purged, thou shalt ' not be purged from thy filthiness any more till I have caused my fury to rest upon thee. So will I make 'my fury towards thee to rest, and my jealousy shall depart from thee; and I will be quiet, and will be 'no more angry.' Ezek. xxiv. 13. xvi. 42. Some fins are fo daring and prefumptuous, as to provoke God to threaten, that they shall not be purged away in this life; and, perhaps, their malignancy may be so great, that nothing that can be used here, is able to fubdue them: thus, when God threatened his people of old with deftruction, they turned his threatenings into ridicule: inflead of weeping, mourning, baldness, and girding with fackcloth, to which God called them, there was nothing but ' joy and gladness, slaying oxen, and killing sheep, eating flesh, and drinking wine: Let us eat and drink, for to-morrow we die. And it was revealed in mine ears by Jehovan of hofts, Surely, this iniquity shall onot be purged from you till ye die, faith JEHOVAH,

'God of Ifrael.' Isaiah, xxii. 12---14.

Thus, punishments are designed for the humbling of the proud; but if they fail of answering that purpose, as administered in the present state, they will be continued and increased, in suture periods, to such a degree, as shall bring all down in due time. Those pains which produced that rage and blasphemy which you mentioned, were all poured out on hardened sinners in the present life; and were so terrible and severe as to produce

produce those fearful effects, but not sufficiently so as

to produce the contrary.

That punishment, to a certain degree, produces rage, but to a certain degree beyond, produces submission, may be illustrated by the following fact, as well as

many others of the fame nature.

In the former war between England France, there was one Mr. M----, of Virginia, who was waggonmafter general in the army of the Provincials. He was guilty of abusing his power, by frequently striking the foldiers with his waggon-whip. Complaint being made, a court-martial was held, and he was fentenced to receive five hundred lashes; which fentence was executed upon him. When first he began to feel the lash, he was exceedingly enraged, and curfed those who had thus fentenced him; fwearing, that, if he lived to be released, he would kill them all, if possible: for that he valued not his life in the least, but would revenge this difgrace by killing them, wherever he found them; and much more to the fame purpose, But before he had received half his punishment, he declared, that he had not the least disposition to lift his hand against them; he saw clearly that they had acted right; that he had been entirely to blame; and that his punishment was just. After his correction was over, he was led quietly away, entirely cured of all his rage, from which he was as much freed by his punithment, as ever an effect was produced by a cause. He was healed of his wounds, and, I think, reftored to his post. Some time after the war was over, he was paffing one day over those mountains in Virginia, commonly called The Blue Ridge, and there he met alone one of the men who had condemned him, in the court-martial, to fuch a punishment. He put him in mind of it; and told him, that it was now in his power to retaliate upon him. The other acknowledged that he was in his power; but added, 'M-----, you know you did wrong, and deferved the punishment 'you received; and, if you kill me, I declare that we did right in fentencing you to be whipped; I

'fhould do the fame, were it to do again; and fo 'would you have done, had you been in my place.' Mr. M----- acknowledged the truth of it; and was fo far from fulfilling his threatenings, that he fuffered him to go in peace, highly commending him for his conduct. Mr. M----- may be ftill living: he was a general in the American army during the late war, and acquired great honour for his valour and good conduct.

164

This, I think, is an argument ad hominem. I have often observed instances of the same nature, in a less degree; and I think it must be admitted, that although a certain degree of punishment will inflame, harden, and enrage, yet farther degrees produce quite contrary effects. Nor is punishment the only thing in nature that produces contrary effects, according to the quantity used; almost all things do the same. Thus water, with a little falt in it, will cause putrefaction much fooner than perfectly fresh water; but let, it be faturated with falt, and it will preferve bodies that are call therein. A little falt cast on the earth is good manure, and causes fruitfulness; but a greater quantity produces a contrary effect, by causing barrenness. A little wine refreshes, cheers, invigorates; but, taken to excess, stupifies and intoxicates. And, to mention no more instances, a little finattering of knowledge puffs up the mind; but a greater degree, humbles and brings it down: from whence,

Drink deep, or never tafte the fpring.?

FRIEND. But, let me ask you, when you view the miserable state of sallen men, the inveterate obsinacy of their wills, the total aversion that many have to God and goodness, their consirmed habits of evil, their amazing love of vice, their opposition to every method taken to reclaim them, and a thousand other dreadful circumstances, which you must have observed, are you not ready to despair of their recovery? not for want of any goodness in God, but through their total incapacity of ever being made better.

MINISTER.

But,

MINISTER. I must confess, this objection has great weight: and this is the only way I answer it to my own fatisfaction --- God hath fworn, that to him every knee shall bow, every tongue shall swear. Isaiah, xlv. 23.--That in the name of Jesus every knee shall bow of things in heaven, things on earth, and things under the earth; and that every tongue shall confess, that Jefus Christ is Lord to the glory of God the Father. Phil. ii. 10, 11 .-- That it is the mystery of his will, according to his good pleafure, which he hath purposed in himself, in the dispensation of the fulness of times, to gather together, or rehead, in one, all things in Christ, both which are in heaven, and which are on earth, even in him. Ephef. i. 9, 10 .--- And having made peace through the blood of his crofs, he is determined to reconcile all things unto himfelf, whether things in heaven, or things on earth. Col. i. 20 .---That he worketh all things after the counsel of his own will. Eph. i. 11 .-- That he will have all men to be faved, or restored, and to come unto the knowledge of the truth, 1 Tim. ii. 6 .-- That the Father loveth the fon, and hath given all things into his hands. St. John, iii. 35 .-- And that Christ hath said, ' All that the Father giveth me, shall come unto me; and him that cometh unto me, I will in nowife cast out.' St. John, vi. 37 .-- When I confider thefe, and many fuch like promifes, which I find in the Scriptures; and that he that hath promifed is able to perform; hath wifdom, power, and goodness sufficient to accomplish all his words, how difficult or impossible soever the matter may feem to our carnal, vain, and weak reasoning, I cast the whole of my concern upon him, judging that he is faithful who hath promised, and that, in his own time, he will fulfil all his purpofes, and all his promifes. But I confess to you, that it requires a faith, if possible. more firong than that of Abraham, to be-lieve the doctrine of the Restoration stedsastly, in the midst of so much evil as prevails in the world, and which feems to render it impossible; but my only hope is in God.

But, to encourage us the more, there are not only promifes of what God will do, but examples of what he hath done, recorded in Scripture; as the cases of Manassch, Nebuchadnezzar, Mary Magdalen, Saul, and many of the murderers of our Lord, priefts, and even pharifees, are left on record as patterns of God's long-fuffering, power, mercy, and love. And I would advise those Christians that doubt of the Universal Reconciliation of all things, to remember St. Paul's words to the Colossians on this subject, chap. i. 21 .--' And you that were fometime alienated, and enemies ' in your mind by wicked works, yet now hath he ' reconciled,' as a proof and example of his power to reconcile all things. Let all remember their own ftubbornness; and then, instead of reviling and deriding a truth which God has revealed, they will adore him, of whom, through whom, and to whom are all things: who 'doeth according to his will in the army of heaven, and among the inhabitants of the earth; and none can flay his hand, or fay unto him, What ' doeft thou?' Rom. xi, 36. Dan. iv. 35.

FRIEND. But allowing that God has power to change the hearts of the vileft of men, is not the exercise of that power evidently limited? for I find it written in Rev. xxii. 11. 'He that is unjust, let him be unjust' still; and he that is filthy, let him be filthy still; and he that is righteous, let him be righteous still; and he that is holy, let him be holy still.' By these words it feems to be intimated, that the characters of both the wicked and the righteous, shall, at some period, be so confirmed and sixed, as to admit of no change or

alteration.

MINISTER. This appears to be a confiderable difficulty, but can by no means overthrow the fystem of the Restoration, which is established upon many gracious promises. The words seem to refer to a particular period, even when the Lord shall come, and shew that his coming will not, (as some suppose) change the characters of men; but that all shall continue, for a certain time, in the same character as before. But

though

though ' he that is unjust, shall be unjust still: and he "that is filthy, shall be filthy still " --- during the age of judgment, and thall have the dreadful curfe pronounced upon him, for 'If any man love not the Lord Jefus 'Christ, let him be anathema, Maran-atha;' that is, Accurfed, the Lord cometh. 1 Cor. xvi. 22. yet I truft that I have proved that the vileft shall be finally changed, and, confequeetly, that these words must be understood with some limitation. And thus, though this text is plainly contrary to the opinion of those who suppose that all the human race shall be admitted into the kingdom of heaven at the day of judgment, yet it may be well reconciled to the fystem laid down in these Dialogues; especially if it can be proved that fimilar expressions are used where a limitation is supposed, or necessarily implied.

FRIEND. Yes; if you can find similar expressions

used for limited times, it will be satisfactory.

MINISTER. St. Paul fays, 1 Cor. xiv. 38. 'But if 'any man be ignorant, let him be ignorant:' but we can hardly suppose that he meant without limitation. So we read of the Jews, that 'God hath given them 'the spirit of slumber; eyes that they should not see, 'and ears that they should not hear, unto this day.' And David faith, 'Let their table be made a snare, and a trap, and a stumbling-block, and a recompence 'unto them. Let their eyes be darkened that they 'should not see, and bow down their back ALWAY.' Rom. xi. 8---10. These expressions of their remaining in a blinded, hardened, and reprobate state, are stronger than those---' He that is unjust, let him be

^{*} It has long appeared to me, that Rev. xxii. 11. relates to the closing up of Scripture, and implies at once the sufficiency of the means which God has afforded for the salvation of men, and that he will not afford any further means in the present state; but men in the age to come shall abide the consequence of their conduct in the present age. In this view of the words they are very solemn and important: God closes the whole revelation of his will by saying to men, that they shall be judged according to the words which he hath spoken to them,

' unjust still,' &c. for instead of still the word always is used; and yet we are informed, that this 'blindness ' in part has (only) happened to Ifrael, until the fule ness of the gentiles be come in: and so all Israel shall ' be faved.' And that God hath concluded them all ' (or flut them up together) in unbelief, that he might ' have mercy upon all,' even all whom he had thut up or concluded in unbelief. And, as I observed before, David fays, 'Fill their faces with shame, that they ' may feek thy name, O JEHOVAH. Let them be con-' founded and troubled for ever; yea, let them be put to shame and perish, that they may know that thou, ' whose name alone is JEHOVAH, art the Most High over all the earth.' Pfalm lxxxiii. 16---18. Many other fimilar passages might be mentioned; but these may fuffice to thew, that often where the words let it, or let them be, in fuch and fuch a ftate, they only intend a certain period, until another difpensation takes place. As for righteoufness, holiness, and happiness, they have quite a different foundation from fin and impurity, as I have shewn before; and therefore, no arguments used in favour of the total destruction of evil, can in the least prove that goodness, which is the divine esfence, shall cease, but the contrary; and those who are firmly joined to the Lord, and have continued with him through the flate of temptation, shall never cease to be righteous, nor be feparated from their head, from whom they shall derive eternal life: for Christ hath faid, 'Because I live, ye shall live also.' St. John,

Chrift, at his coming, will bring every hidden thing to light, and shall take off all disguises; so that he that is unjust, shall appear unjust; and he that is silthy shall be discovered: hypocrify shall be no more. 'The vile person shall be no more called liberal, nor the churl said to be bountiful.' Isaiah, xxxii. 5. 'Then shall ye return, and discern between the righteous and the wicked, and between him that serveth God, and him that serveth him not.' Mal. iii. 18. The judgment of God is according to truth, and is designed

to make an entire discovery of all persons, and all secret things, 'that every mouth may be stopped, and 'all the world may become guilty before God.' Rom. iii. 19. But though judgment has its great use in discovering, laying open, convincing, and condemning—and punishment, in destroying, subduing, and humbling—yet the powerful, saving grace of God, and the operation of the divine spirit, must have the glory of restoring, or creating men anew; and the blood of Jesus must cleamse their souls from the guilt and pollution of sin. Evil must be destroyed out of them; this is done by afflictions; and goodness must be restored; this is done by God's renewing power and

grace.

But the objection may be answered another way, without any of this reasoning, by considering the subject comparatively. Thus .-- As God only is holy--as none are good but he, in an abiolute fenfe---as he putteth no trust in his holy ones, and the heavens are not clean in his fight, and his angels he charged with folly (fee Job, xv. 15. iv. 18.) --- and as all intelligences, compared with him, are unjust and unclean--to, those who have lived and died in rebellion against God, and in the pollution of fin, may remain unjust and filthy, compared with the faints, those vessels of honour and glory, who have purged themselves. 'But ' in a great house there are not only vessels of gold and ' filver, but also of wood and of earth, and some to honour, and fome to dishonour. If a man therefore ' purge himself from these (fins), he shall be a vessel of honour, fanctified and meet for the mafter's use, 'and prepared unto every good work.' 2 Tim. ii. 20, Here we may observe, that in our Lord's great house there shall be divers vessels of various kinds, yet all useful, but some more highly so than others; that honour and dishonour are comparative terms; and that the way to become vessels of the highest honour at last, is to purge ourselves from iniquity while on earth, by obeying the truth. For it must appear evi-Q dent dent, from the nature of things, that there will always be an immense difference between those who shall be kings and priests to God, and those who shall be subdued in the ages to come, so as to be subjects, but who shall not attain to that honour and glory, which they shall have who suffer with Christ here, and shall be gloristed with him hereaster.

FRIEND. Since I have converfed with you I must acknowledge that many things have appeared in a different light from what they did before; and if I do not wholly embrace the doctrine of the Restoration, I must allow that there is much more to be said for it than I could have imagined. But I have still some difficulties that I wish to propose. There is a terrible threatening, which is indeed the last in the Bible, that I should be glad to hear your thoughts upon, which is thus expressed, (Rev. xxii. 18, 19.) 'For I testify un-' to every man that heareth the words of the prophecy f of this book, if any man shall add unto these things, ' God shall add unto him the plagues that are written in this book. And if any man shall take away from the words of the book of this prophecy, God shall ' take away his part out of the book of life, and out ' of the holy city, and from the things which are writ-' ten in this book.' But I can almost foresee how you will answer this; that though the plagues that are written in this book thall be added, yet mercy thall finally rejoice against judgment; and though a man's part may be taken out of the book of life, and he, in consequence, suffer the torments of the second death, yet, as the time will come when there shall be no more death of any kind, neither forrow, nor crying, nor any more pain, his restoration may be certainly inferred; and though his part in the heavenly city may be forfeited, so that he may never become one of those who shall reign therein, nor yet have a confiant dwelling there; he may nevertheless enter as a worshipper and a subject of the great King; and may drink of the water of life, feed on the fruits of the tree

of life and be healed by its leaves, and be one of the happy inhabitants of the new earth which God will create.

MINISTER. Yes, my friend: but though this Scripture may be easily reconciled to the plan laid down in these dialogues, it contains such threatenings as are very terrible indeed, and should make us exceeding careful not to contradict what God hath here revealed, by adding vain interpretations of our own, contrary to the fense of the text; nor in any wife to explain away or weaken the force of either the threatenings or promifes, fet forth in this wondrous book. The amazing torments which they shall feel that have these plagues added to them, and the dreadful loss which they thall fustain that have their part taken out of the book of life, and out of the holy city, cannot be even conceived: and it being possible to forfeit this glorious portion entirely and for ever, made St. Paul warn the Hebrews in fuch a folemn manner as he doth throughout the whole epiftle .-- Oh, what affecting advice is the following !-- Looking diligently, left any man tail of the grace of God; left any root of bitternes fpringing up trouble you, and thereby many be de-' filed: left there be any fornicator, or profane person, 'as Esau, who, for one morsel of meat, sold his birthright. For ye know how that afterward, when he ' would have inherited the blefling, he was rejected; for he found no place of repentance, though he fought it carefully, with tears.' Heb. xii. 15--17.

Thus, though Efau, as well as Jacob, was bleffed concerning things to come, (see Heb. xi. 20.) yet the birthright, and the particular bleffings connected therewith, he lost for ever: and so it is possible that we may lofe our parts in the holy city, or no fuch threatenings would ever have been made. For it is a maxim with me, that God never warns where there is no danger to fear; and never encourages us to hope

where there is no polibility of obtaining.

As the glorious and univerfal deliverance of all men from the bondage of fin, and their obtaining an inhe-

Q2 ritance ritance in the new earth, was clearly pointed out by the great year of jubilee, under the law, in which every fervant went out free, and every man returned to his possession and to his family; (see Lev. xxv. 10.) so the possibility of being cut off from the holy city for ever was pointed out by that notable exception, (ver. 29, 30.) 'And if a man fell a dwelling-house in 'a walled city, then he may redeem it within a whole 'year after it is fold; within a full year may he redeem 'it. And if it be not redeemed within the space of a 'full year, then the house that is in the walled city 'shall be established for ever to him that bought it, 'throughout his generations; it shall not go out in

' the jubilee.'

Something fimilar to this may be found in Rev. iii. 'Behold, I come quickly; hold that fast which ' thou haft, that no man take thy crown.' And the 5th verse is also worthy of consideration --- 'He that overcometh, the fame shall be clothed in white rai-'ment; and I will not blot his name out of the book of life; but I will confess his name before my Fa-'ther, and before his angels.' Oh, what promifes are made to overcomers! That they shall eat of the tree of life, which is in the midst of the paradife of God; shall have a crown of life; shall not be hurt of the fecond death; shall eat of the hidden manna; shall have a white flone, and a new name, which no man knoweth, faving he that receiveth it; shall have power over the nations, &c. even as Christ received of the Father; shall walk with him in white; shall be esteemed worthy to be companions and friends of God; shall be clothed in white; shall have their names enrolled among the followers of the Lamb, as called, chofen, and faithful; shall be confessed by the Son of God before his Father and the holy angels; shall be made pillars in the temple of God, and shall go no more out; shall have the name of God written upon their foreheads, and the name of the holy city, New Jerufalem, and Christ's new, heavenly name; shall sit with him upon his throne, even as he overcame, and is fet down

down with the Father upon his throne; shall inherit all things; shall be called the fons of God. See Rev. ii. 7, 10, 11, 17, 26, 27. iii. 4, 5, 12, 21. xvii. 14.

XXI. 7.

The apostle assures us, that 'If (we are) children. 'then (are we) heirs; heirs of God, and joint heirs ' with Christ: if so be that we suffer with him, that we ' may be also glorified together.' And then adds---For I reckon that the fufferings of the prefent time ' are not worthy to be compared with the glory which ' shall be revealed in us.' Rom. viii. 17, 18. 'When ' Christ, who is our life shall appear, then shall ye (his ' faints) also appear with him in glory.' Col. iii. 4. 'We know, that when he shall appear, we shall be like him, for we shall see him as he is.' I John, iii. 2. But let none of those who believe themselves the heirs of this kingdom, &c. dare to indulge themselves in fin. under a notion that God can never cast them off, as fome do.

A preacher, whom I once knew, encouraged this wickedly prefumptuous disposition, so far as openly to fay, 'God cannot damn me : he can as foon cease to be God, as he can cast me off; even though I should ' fin ever fo much. If I should kill a man, he could ' not damn me: nay, if I should kill all the men in ' the world, he cannot damn me.' This man was rigid in trifles, religiously scrupulous in frivolous things. fuch as drefs, &c. yet he made traffic of the humankind, engaged in war, and performed acts of cruelty and outrage with as little tenderness as may be imagined! He long bloated himself with the notion of God's peculiar favour; but near the close of his life, the displeasure of the Almighty coming visibly upon him, this rhapfodift changed his tone, and exclaimed. that God had fortaken him!

I would advise all men of that bold, prefuming, felf-confident spirit, to read and confider well those words in Jer. xxii. 24. 'As I live, faith Jehovah, though Coniah, the fon of Jehoiakim, king of Judah, ' were the fignet upon my right hand, yet would I

' pluck thee thence.'

Confider, first, who speaks: Jenovan. He not only speaks, but he confirms his threatening with au eath; and, because he could swear by no greater, he fwear by himself, by his own life; 'As I live, faith 'JEHOVAH, though Coniah, the fon of Jehoiakim, 'king of Judah;' though he is of the family of David, with whom I have made an everlafting covenant, ordered in all things and fure; and though he is anointed king over my people, I will not spare him: yea, though he 'were the fignet upon my right hand, yet would I ' pluck thee thence.' Signets, upon the hands of ancient Persian monarchs, were those seals with which their decrees were fealed and confirmed; fo that no man could reverse them. Now, God delares, that if this man was ever fo dear, and as necessary, to him, as the fignet on the right hand of a king is to its owner; that though he was not able to govern his kingdom without his affiftance; though he had been the dearest and most useful thing, he would entirely cast him off for his transgressions. And how much more so, if it be considered, that no man is necessary to God; that man cannot be profitable to him; that neither our wickedness can hurt him, nor our righteousness benefit him; (fee Job, xxii. 2, 3. xxxv. 6---8. Pfalm, xvi. 2, 3.) and that he threatens to punish the only family that he had known on earth, for all their iniquities. Amos, iii. 2. What have not those to sear who have been placed in high flations, entrufted with precious treasures, great abilities, opportunities, &c. and have abused them! Let such read, and seriously confider, St. Luke, xii. 42---48. St. Matth. xxiv. 45---51. 2 Pet. ii. 20---22.

FRIEND. These considerations are, on one hand, glorious and fublime beyond conception, and, on the other, terrible beyond description. But some fay, That if they are only admitted into the kingdom of God, and are not cast into the lake of sire, it is the highelt

highest of their ambition: that they never aspire to be kings and priests; nor to obtain a crown, throne, sceptre, &c. And others fay, that if they are ever, to all eternity, to be delivered from their mifery, that it is a matter of little consequence to them whether they are followers of Christ here or not.

MINISTER. These kind of reflections are frequent'y thrown out by the enemies of the Reftoration, to cast an odium upon the doctrine: but if they were to hear a man fav, 'If I am just suffered to enter into this 'kingdom, and am not condemned as a rebel, it is all 'I wish; I defire neither the riches, honours, pleasures, ' conveniencies, nor even the necessaries, that many of 'the inhabitants enjoy: all I ask is to be exempted ' from the pain and thame of public punishment:' and another was to add, 'I can fee little or no difference between being made heir apparent to the crown, pof-' fessing all the privileges, honours, dignities, &c. of a ' prince of the blood, and being hanged, drawn, and ' quartered, for high treason: since even that punish-' ment, painful, and shameful as it is, must come to an 'end:' I ask, would they not esteem both these men in a state of infanity, or worse--entirely devoid of all fense and reason? Yet this unreasonable language is not worthy to be named in the fame day with that which you mentioned. The difference is so great, I cannot find language to express it. I therefore consider all fuch persons as madmen, with whom it is not worth while to reason; who understand not what they fay, nor whereof they affirm.

FRIEND. So they appear to me. But the fystem you have laid down, appears equally calculated to check prefumption and despair, and to cure all such spiritual madness---provided that they will attend to it. But what will you fay to the doctrine of annihilation? that may not be liable to the same objections as endless dan .nation; and fo the wicked may be punished with everlasting destruction, and destroyed, soul and body in hell; and yet, at last, all beings that are in existence may be made happy. The Scripture feems, in many places, to

favour this idea, and the works of nature feem to confirm it. For instance, many fruits and animals perish before they arrive at perfection; and why may it not be fo with the fouls and bodies of men? They are no where faid to be raifed to incorruption, or immortality, but to be deflroved, to be cast into the lake of fire, to be burnt up, root and branch; to be confumed, devoured, burnt up as chaff, thorns, &c. I need not mention particular texts, because the general part of the threatenings in the Scriptures run in that stile. And I have fometimes of late reasoned with myself, that the fecond death must end either in the restoration or annihilation of those who were cast therein; for there feemed fomething in endless misery, that surpassed my belief at times. But I could not fatisfy myfelf whether the wicked would be finally reflored to fome degree of happiness, or totally deslroyed; and was apt to think the latter, as it feemed a ftrange figure of speech to call destruction Restoration. Pray, have you ever thought upon this matter?

MINISTER. There are but three possible things that can be fall those who are cast into the second death; either endless misery, total annihilation, or restoration*; a

fourth

As for annihilation, or, as fome chuse to call it, destruction, this is gaining ground among many serious men. Such as espouse this doctrine,

^{*} There can be but these three flates of men after judgment; it is impossible to think of a fourth. The Scripture proof of any one of them necessarily destroys the other two. Thus, if Mr. W. has proved, that there shall be a restoration of all things, then there can be no truth in the doctrines of erdless misery and annihilation. Endless mifery, indeed, is losing ground very fast, among all close thinking men. There is fomething fo tremendous in the idea, fo disproportionate, so contrary to the divine perfections, so utterly subversive of the moral government of God, that to me it seems impossible for any man, who has thought deeply on the subject, to believe this fentiment, and at the same time love and trust the Deity; because that God who will inflict end'ess misery upon sinners, either made them for that express purpose-in which case he cannot be loved--or he made them for a better purpose, but is difappointed---in which case he cannot be trusted---through a deficiency of power or wildom.

fourth cannot be thought upon; and but one of these can be true. Now, where there are only three possibilities,

doctrine, mean by destruction a total and endless cossistant of conficious existence. This, they contend, is the full sentence of the law—the proper import of the threatening of death, which will be executed upon all impenitent sinners. And they distinguish this from annihilation; alledging that, philosophically speaking, there can be no annihilation, and that destruction is the express phrase used in the Scriptures.

That the wicked will be destroyed is often affirmed in the Scriptures; and their destruction is once said to be obseque account, obtained aionion, everlasting destruction: but before we admit that God is under the necessity of striking any of his rational creatures out of

being, we ought to paufe and inquire,

1. Is such an act consistent with the character which the Scripture gives us of him, as possessed of all possible wisdom, goodness, and power? There is no lie of the truth, i.e. the Scripture coth not

contradict itself. 1 John, ii. 21.

2. Would not such an act contradict many parts of Scripture? All such, for instance, as speak of the restitution of all things, and all such as speak of the destruction of death—the gathering together of all things in Christ—the reconciliation of all things by the blood of the cross, &c. &c. Most men will think that if all things are to be reconciled to God, then none will be endlessly destroyed; and that death cannot be destroyed, but by the universal prevalence of life.

3. Are not those who will be destroyed in a worse state through the mediation of Christ than they would have been without it? To me it feems this question must be answered in the affirmative; for the friends of destruction grant, that ' death, i. e. extinction of being, without a refurrection, would have been the only punishment of fin, if Christ had not become the refurrection and the life to men; but, on the ground of mediation, men will be raifed from the dead, the wicked will-after awful periods of politive painbe destroyed, or stricken out of being.' Consequently, the second death, with all its horrors, is the fruit of mediation; and, if no refloration take place, then, probably, more than half the human race will ultimately receive an injury, rather than a benefit, by Christ Jesus. Is this the glad tidings of great joy which the angel faid should be to all people? Is this the bleffing wherewith all the nations, people, kindreds, and tribes of the earth were to be bleffed in the promifed feed? And is the justification to life which come upon all men in Christ Jesus nothing more than a resurrection to endless death to millions!!!

4. Will the word destruction warrant such a conclusion? I think not. It must be granted, that destruction is often used in Scripture to fignify a cessation of present existence only, without any contra-

be true, there are these two ways of discovering the truth:

diction of the promifes that relate to a universal future refurrection, as Gen. vi. 7, 13. vii. 23. Pfalm xc. 3. Heb. xi. 28. J. de, 5. &c. &c. &c. Is it not, therefore, as reasonable to say, that when destruction is threatened as the future portion of the wicked, it is to be understood without any contradiction of the restitution of all things, which God hath spoken by all his holy prophets since the age began? Doth not the analogy of faith require that, as we admit a resurrection notwithstanding the threatenings of present destruction, so we ought to admit an universal restoration, notwithstanding future destruction, for the same reason—because the Scriptures have revealed both.

If it be faid, that defiruation shall be the end of the wicked, Philips, and that nothing can take place after the end, then let it be remembered, that the end of the old world was defiruation, (Gen. vi. 13.) and yet there will be a refurrection after this end. As for engly, olethron, the reader will find it occurs in the following places—I Cor. v. 5. I Thess. v. 3. 2 Thess. i. 1 Tim. vi. 9. in each of which it is rendered destruction; but evidently in I Cor. v. 5. it is such a destruction as both falvation for its issue. And 2 Thess. i. 9. 5. elpev auxyer, alethron aionion, can mean nothing more than age-lasting destruction. I rest the proof of this upon what has been before said concerning away, aion, and its derivatives.

This doctrine, however, certainly has some comparative merit; for, first, though it leaves a reflection upon the divine character, by supposing that some of the moral offspring of God are so corrupted, that he either cannot or will not restore them; yet it does ascribe a measure of mercy to the Deity, by teaching, that he will not suffer them endleflly to linger in mifery, but, by a fort of cruel compaffien, will strike them out of being, as the last and only r medy remaining for their condition. Secondly. Though this scheme is deficient, by not ascribing that full glory to the mediation of Jesus Christ which the Scriptures allow it, yet it does teach the total destruction of fin; though, alas! this is not to be accomplished but by the total destruction of, perhaps, the greater part of the intelligent creation of God!!! Thirdly. This view of the final issue of God's dealings with fullen creatures, removes some of that awful and horrible weight of anguish from the heart of a believer, with which the admission of the endless misery of any depresses it; yet it still obscures half the glories of Christ-draws an impenetrable veil over half the character of the bleffed God-and leaves ha'f the benevolent defires of a renewed heart towards its fellow. creatures unfulfilled.

After all, I am gladto fee this doctine spread; because it seems to me to be a considerable step towards the belief of the full doctrine of divine

truth: first, if two of the propositions are proved to be absurd, the third must stand: secondly, if one be proved true, the others must fall to the ground of confequence. I have taken the second method, and have shewed, that the doctrine of the Restoration is not absurd, and therefore that it is true; consequently, the others are false. But, besides this way of arguing, there are three circumstances which prove, to my fatisfaction, that annihilation is not a truth.

1. That at the very time that the wicked are deftroyed, they are faid to be tormented with fire and brimftone; and that they have no rest day nor night; and
the continuance of this is for no short time, (as probably would be the case, if the intention of God was
only to destroy them out of being) but to the age of

ages. Rev. xiv. 10, 11.

2. They are put to shame and perish that they may know the Lord, as I have noticed before. See Psalm xxxiv. 16, 17. lxxxiii. 16---18. and the observations I have made upon these passages in the dialogues.

3. But that on which I dare venture the whole cause is, that God hath absolutely promised to restore and bring again those whom he hath utterly destroyed.

FRIEND. Can you prove that? For if you can, it

will fettle the whole controverfy.

MINISTER. I trust I can, in many instances; but I will fix upon one that is full to the purpose, and unex-

divine love. The mirds of pious men are generally cautious, and fear-ful of going beyond the bounds of revealed truth. Habituated as they justly are to reverence the authority of Scripture, and having been used to think of the sentiment of eternal mistery as true, they have a measure of timidity in disavowing it, even if they have suspectits fallacy, and are fearful of shocking the prejudices of mankind too violently; they therefore are often led to feek for some medium between the full blaze of truth, and the midnight darkness of error. Such a medium betwixt the unfounded notion of endless wrath and the luminous truth of the restoration of all things, I conceive the doctrine of destruction to be; and therefore I look with pleasure on its progress; being persuaded that most who embrace it, will, in time, come forward to the full belief of the universal doctrine.

ceptionable; and that is, the case of Sodom and her daughters, Gomorrah, Admah, and Zeboim, who, giving themselves over to fornication, and going after strange slesh, are set forth for an example, suffering

' the vengeance of eternal fire.' Jude, 7.

As they are fet forth for an example in their punishment, so also in their restoration: for we may certain-Iv argue, that if any of the human race shall be annihilated, the inhabitants of Sodom, Gomorrah, &c. will be; as they were condemned with an overthrow, and made an example to those who should after live ungodly. 2 Pet. ii. 6. But these wicked nations shall have their captivity returned; shall return to their former estate, and shall be received by Jerusalem, as daughters, in the everlasting covenant; Jerusalem and her daughters, more wicked themselves, than Sodom and her daughters, shall be restored at the same time; shall remember their ways and be ashamed; shall never open their mouth any more to glory over the meanest of mankind --- when the great JEHOVAH shall be pacified towards Jerufalem, for all that she has done, and towards Sodom and Samaria, for all that they have done also, inasmuch as they shall return from their long captivity, and be given to Jerusalem for daughters. See Ezek. xvi. 53, 55, 61, 63.

Now, by Sodom and her daughters being returned from their long and dreadful captivity, we must underftand one of three things; either, first, the return of their descendants; or, secondly, the restoration of the land whereon the cities stood; or, lastly, the restoration

of those very persons who were destroyed.

It cannot be the first, for there are none of their descendants remaining on earth; all were destroyed by fire and brimstone; none of the inhabitants escaped, Lot and his daughters excepted, who were only so-journers, and were descendants of Terah, and relations to Abraham.

As it cannot be the first, let us try the second. A very ingenious gentleman supposes, that in the time of the millenium, the Dead Sea shall be turned into land,

and thall again become a beautiful, well-watered plain. and be given to the posterity of Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob: for he argues, and feemingly very justly, that as God promised Abraham all the land which he could fee from the place where he then stood; (fee Gen. xiii. 14---17.) and as the plain of Sodom was in fight, it was included in the grant; and, though the Dead Sea. now occupies the place where those cities stood, yet God's promife cannot fail; and therefore, in the millenium, the Dead Sea shall be swallowed up, and the place shall become a fruitful plain. But whoever will read Ezek. xlvii. 8---11. may plainly fee, that the waters that shall issue out from under the threshold of the holy house, shall be brought into the Dead Sea, and shall fo heal those deadly waters, that they shall become fruitful, and bring forth fish in great abundance, exceeding many, like the great fea, which is now called the Mediterranean; which fish shall be more useful for food to the innumerable inhabitants that shall then be in that happy country, than all the vegetables that would grow there, even though the whole place was turned into a fruitful garden.

FRIEND. But are you certain, that by the fea is meant

the Dead Sea, or Lake of Sodom?

MINISTER. Yes: 1. Because all the other waters in those parts, produce great plenty of excellent sish; and therefore need not healing. 2. Because sishers shall stand upon the banks of the sea, from En-geddi even unto En-eglaim, places that are well known to be contiguous to the Dead Sea; one of which is nearly at the north-east corner, the other at the west end of the same. 3. The marshes, and the miry places thereof, shall not be healed; but shall be given to falt, as specimens of what the whole is at this time; which barren and deadly spots shall remain, as standing evidences of the truth of Scripture, and the exact sulfilment of prophecy.

Thus, as Sodom cannot be restored in her posterity, there being none remaining on earth--neither shall the Dead Sea be turned into land, in the millenium---it

this

follows, as the only remaining fense of the return of Sodom's captivity, that those very inhabitants who were destroyed by fire and brimstone from heaven. shall be restored to a state of felicity. And thus both the doctrine of annihilation and endless damnation fall to the ground at once.

FRIEND. But as it is the second death, after the day of judgment, that is to destroy the bodies and fouls of the wicked in hell, perhaps the inhabitants of Sodom, Samaria, and Jerusalem, may be restored before that period, and may not be cast into the lake of fire.

MINISTER. It is evident by our Saviour's words, (for we must not contradict any part of the Scripture) that this will not be the case; for he faith, That it shall be more tolerable for the land (that is, the inhabitants) of Sodom in the day of judgment, than for the cities where his gofpel was preached, and his miracles wrought, and yet the inhabitants remained impenitent: fee St. Matth. xi. 24. x. 15. St. Mark, vi. 11. St. Luke, x. 12. From all which passages it is evident, that the inhabitants of Sodom will be condemned in the day of judgment, and punished in the lake of fire---and though their mifery will not be fo great as that of the inhabitants of the cities where our Saviour preached, and performed his mighty works---yet they will be miferable in that day; and, confequently, the return of their captivity is not to be expected, till after the creation of the new earth. Therefore, it is plain. that the lake of fire is not defigned to annihilate those who are cast therein; since all that are not found written in the book of life, at the day of judgment, will be cast into the lake of fire. They whose names are in the book of life will be happy. The inhabitants of Sodom will be miserable, in some degree; therefore will not be found written in the book of life, confequently will be cast into the lake of fire. They will be reftored---their captivity shall be returned; therefore the lake of fire shall not annihilate them. They are fet forth for an example in their punishment, and, confequently, in their restoration. I need not pursue

this argument farther: it appears evident to me; and, till it be fairly answered, I shall add nothing more.

FRIEND. I lately read a fermon, written by one Mr. B---, in which the writer brings many ftrong reasons for the eternity of hell torments, most of which have already been mentioned and answered in these dialogues; but he makes use of one which has not yet been brought under our consideration, which is, That the damned are under the necessity of constantly committing fresh sin, and therefore, as they will always continue to sin against God, so, of necessity, their punishment can never cease. Have you ever thought of this?

MINISTER. Yes, I have frequently heard it mentioned; but as it appears totally void of all foundation in the Scripture, it hardly deferves any notice. The objections that I feel myself concerned to treat with feriouinels and respect, and candidly to answer, are those which appear to be drawn from the book of divine revelation; but if I must attend to all those which the ingenuity of men might raife against the doctrine of the Restoration, I should not only have a very hard task, but should never know when I had done: and besides, the discourse would dwindle into trifling and conjectures, very unfuitable to the nature and importance of fuch an awful and ferious fubject. I must observe, that this objection is nothing but a rash ungrounded assertion, or bold conjecture, without the least foundation either in Scripture or reason; and if I was to affert just the contrary, I cannot see why my affertion would not be a fufficient answer .---Nevertheless, lest it should be thought that any objection can be raifed, that cannot be fairly answered, and that I, knowing the strength of this, would willingly evade it, I will fay a few words upon it. The Scriptures universally hold forth the idea, that men will be judged, condemned, and punished, according to the deeds done in the body. God 'will render to every ' man according to his deeds.' Rom. ii. 6. ' For we ' must all appear before the judgment-seat of Christ,

that every one may receive the things done in his body, according to that he hath done, whether it be

' good or bad.' 2 Cor. v. 10.

' And that fervant which knew his Lord's will, and ' prepared not himself, neither did according to his ' will, shall be beaten with many stripes; but he that ' knew not, and did commit things worthy of ftripes, ' shall be beaten with few stripes.' St. Luke, xii. 47, 48. Thefe, and all the passages that speak of future punishment, constantly hold it forth as a just retribution for the evil deeds done in this life; but never intimate any thing of what this objection holds forth, of punishment being continued ad infinitum for crimes committed hereafter. Belides, it is plain that punishments or corrections are intended to ftop men from finning, and, under the divine agency, to take away their fins. 'By this, therefore, shall the iniquity of 'Jacob be purged; and this is all the fruit to take 'away his fin.' Isaiah, xxvii. 9. This is universally allowed to be the defign of troubles and forrows in the present life, and why not in the next state also?----The Scripture fays nothing to forbid this idea, but much to encourage it; particularly that awful passage where the prophet fays, ' And it was revealed in mine ears by Jehovan of Hosts, Surely this iniquity shall 'not be purged from you, TILL YE DIE, faith the 'Lord God of hofts.' Isaiah, xxii. 14. If then iniquity shall be purged away after death, it is certain that men shall not always continue to sin in a future flate, for they must cease to commit iniquity, before it can be purged away. And though their punishments may at first cause them to rage, (as we see is frequently the case in this world) yet shall they continue until the most stubborn shall be entirely subdued and humbled.

FRIEND. There is another argument of this same kind, viz. that is not founded upon any particular text of Scripture, which is directly contrary to the one you have been answering, which I have formerly thought. unanswerable, in favour of the doctrine of endless punishment,

punishment, which is the infinity of fin, being against an infinite object, containing infinite hatefulness, and justly therefore deserving infinite punishment. Sin is a crime of infinite magnitude, because God is a being of infinite majefty and perfection. Every crime juffly demerits punishment proportioned to its malignity; and, consequently, every offence against God demerits infinite punishment. No mere creature can ever suffer an infinity of punishment in any limited duration. It follows, therefore, that a finner deferves to be eternally punished. Farther, every man is under infinite obligations to devote himself wholly to the service of God, his infinitely glorious creator, preferver, and benefactor. To violate an infinite obligation, is to commit a crime of infinite malignity---a crime of infinite malignity deferves infinite punishment. Can it ever be proved, then, that everlasting or endless punishment is not the proper defert of a life of fin? I have often faid, that this argument, trite and common as it is, never was nor ever would be fairly answered, nevertheless, I am willing to hear what you have to say upon it.

MINISTER. As this argument is often urged as of the greatest weight, and as you have stated it in its greatest possible force, I shall endeavour to answer it fairly and

particularly.

If fin is infinite, then we must ascribe to it one of the perfections of the Deity, which strikes me as absurd, if not something worse: fin, a privation, an act of a worm, infinite? Actions must, in my opinion, take their denomination from the actors, and not from the objects. Infinite actions, or actions of infinite magnitude, require infinite power to perform them. If sin is of infinite magnitude, goodness is more so, as deriving a power from God to the performance of it. But it you grant that David spoke in the name of the Mediator in Psalm xvi. you may be at once furnished with a proof, that even goodness, in the highest state in which it ever was exhibited in the world, was not considered as of infinite magnitude by the great performer. Thou hast said unto Jehovah, Thou art my Lord:

R 3

'my goodness extendeth not to thee, but to the faints 'that are in the earth, and to the excellent, in whom 'is all my delight.'---Ver. 2, 3. If acts of goodness were of infinite magnitude they must extend to God; but the speaker, in these words, be he who he may, David or Christ, was careful to let us know, that he did not conceive his acts of goodness infinite. And it acts of goodness are not infinite, it would be highly absurd to call evil actions infinite, which proceed

wholly from the creature.

I grant, indeed, that there is a passage of Scripture which mentions the word infinite as belonging to fin and iniquity; but then it is mentioned in such a connection as flews it to be used, as Josephus frequently mentions it, for a very great multitude. And thus it is used by many good authors, who certainly do not mean to use it in the first and proper sense of the word. The facred writer, in the passage alluded to, takes particular care to guard us against any such idea, as though fin was of infinite magnitude, or even virtuous and righteous actions, which approach far nearer to infinity, as having their fource from the fountain of infinite goodness. For Eliphaz says, 'Can a man be ' profitable unto God, as he that is wife may be profitable unto himself? Is it any pleasure to the Almigh-* ty that thou art righteous? or is it gain to him that ' thou makest thy ways perfect? Will he reprove thee ' for sear of thee? Will he enter with thee into judg-' ment? Is not thy wickedness great, and thine ini-'quities infinite?' Job, xxii. 2--5. And language very fimilar to the above is used by Elihu, 'If thou 'finnest, what doest thou against him? Or if thy ' transgressions be multiplied, what doest thou unto 'him? If thou be righteous, what givest thou unto 'him? or what receiveth he of thine hand? Thy ' wickedness may hurt a man as thou art, and thy righteoufness may profit the son of man.' Job, xxxv.

These expressions, if they teach any thing, I should think, expressly declare, that no actions of men can

by any means be of *infinite* magnitude, in the fense in which we commonly understand that word; though their numbers and magnitudes may be so great as to be

stiled infinite, as the word is sometimes used.

You affert, in consequence of your ideas of infinite fin, that every offence against God demerits infinite punishment. If the case be so, does it not tend entirely to take away the distinction which God hath made between fins of infirmity and sins of malice--sins of ignorance and fins of wilfulness--lesser and greater sins? All sins are offences against God, and if every offence against God is of infinite magnitude, how can any be greater? And thus the distinctions are entirely destroyed, and all sins will be esseemed equal, contrary

to the whole tenor of the Scriptures.

If every offence against God demerits infinite punishment, then it will follow, that God cannot render to any according to their ways, nor punish them as their iniquities deferve, unless they are doomed to endless mifery, what then will become of all those threatenings where God threatens to punish people for all their iniquities, and yet to shew favour to them afterwards? This is impossible upon your plan, for none can ever receive all the punishment due to their fins during numberlefs ages. Yet, if the word of God be true, he can deal with transgressors as they have done, and yet be gracious to them afterwards. 'For thus faith Ado-' nai Jеноvaн, I will even deal with thee as thou haft 'done, which haft despised the oath in breaking the 'covenant. Nevertheless, I will remember my cove-' nant with thee in the days of thy youth, and I will 'establish unto thee an everlasting covenant---that thou ' mayest remember and be confounded, and never open thy mouth any more, because of thy shame, when I am pacified towards thee for all that thou hast done, faith Adonai Jehovah.' Ezek. xvi. 59, 60, 63.

Here is an instance to the purpose, of those whose fins were of the deepest die, and to whom God threatens to deal as they had done, and to punish them for all their numerous and aggravated transgressions, and

yet to remember mercy for them afterwards, and to be pacified towards them for all that they had done. All which things would be absolutely impossible, according to your ideas. In many other parts of Scripture God promifes to render to transgressors according to their works and ways, and yet to be afterwards gracious unto them. And in one place, at least, where God is declaring the great mercies which he will manifest unto the children of Israel, in returning them to their own land, and causing them to dwell safely therein, he fays, 'And first I will recompense their iniquity' and their fin double: because they have defiled my ' land, they have filled mine inheritance with the car-' cases of their detestable and abominable things.'----Jer. xvi. 18. What do you think of this? If every offence is of infinite magnitude, and deserves infinite punishment, which can never be fully executed, then how can God punish a people for all their iniquities, and do to the greatest sinners as they have done, yea, and recompence their iniquity, and their fin double first, and then be gracious to them, and love them, and be pacified towards them afterwards? And the prophet Isaiah says, 'Comfort ye, comfort ye, my people, 'faith your God: fpeak ye comfortably to Jerusalem, and cry unto her, that her warfare is accomplished, ' that her iniquity is pardoned; for she hath received of the Lord's hand Double for all HER SINS." Isaiah, xl. 1, 2. Here a fact is said to be accomplished, which upon your scheme can never be done to all eternity; for if every offence against God is of infinite magnitude, and deserves infinite punishment, none can ever have received fingle for one of their fins, far less DOUBLE for ALL.

And therefore nothing can possibly be more evidently contrary to Scripture, than your trite and common argument, that, as every sin is of infinite magnitude, so it justly demerits infinite punishment, which as no mere creature can bear, must necessarily subject all who are recompensed according to their own doings to endless misery.

Besides,

Befides, if I was to grant you, contrary to Scripture, reason, and common sense, that every offence is of infinite magnitude, and naturally deserves infinite punishment, how would prove from that the certainty of endless misery? Do you make nothing of the reconciliation which our Lord Jesus has made for all sinners,

and for all fins?

Let me ask you seriously, Did not Christ make a sull and complete offering and propitiation for the sins of the whole world? Is it not certain that his merits were far greater than the demerits of all mankind? Is he not the Lamb of God who taketh away the sin of the world? If Christ died for all men, without exception, as you grant, and removed all their iniquities, and bore them away, and reconciled all to God by his death, while they were enemies, much more, as he has paid so great a price for their ransom, he will recover them out of their lost estate, and save them by his life. Where sin abounded, grace did (or shall) much more abound. That as sin hath reigned unto death; even fo might grace reign through righteousness unto eternal life, by Jesus Christ our Lord. Rom. v. 20, 21.

I conclude, that, let fin be ever so great, the grace of God is greater: and if you will have it that sin is of infinite magnitude, I hope you will not deny the propitiation of Jesus Christ, which he made for all sins, the same character. Therefore, if you magnify sin, and insist upon the greatness of its demerit, I will endeavour to magnify the all-powerful Redeemer above it, and speak of his power to redeem all the human race, for whom he shed his blood; and then you will gain nothing in savour of the doctrine of endless damnation, by all your arguments founded upon the instanty of sin: Christ being far more infinite to save, than sin can be to destroy; and as he has undertaken to redeem and bring back those who were lost, there is no danger of his failing to perform it.

FRIEND. I must confers that what you have faid on this head entirely convinces me, that we cannot found the cternity of punishment upon the infinity of fin; and you have given me more fatisfaction upon many points in these conversations than I ever expected to receive. I am indeed at length almost persuaded to receive your fentiments -- though I once thought that it was impoffible to answer all my objections, yet you have gone far towards it. Nay, I cannot at present recollect any thing material, but what you have answered. I would not, however, be too hasty in adopting this system, but, after your own example, confider it well. But there is certainly fomething more grand, beautiful, and harmonious in this view than can be found in any other scheme; for both the other systems end in darkness and black night, one in endless damnation, and the other in gloomy annihilation. But on your plan, light rifes out of obscurity, and a glorious day succeeds the darkelt scenes. This view of things fets the book of divine revelation in the most pleasing light, and appears, for aught any thing that I can see, consistent with the divine persections. Lut why, since you believe the Universal Restoration, do you not mention it more freely and fully, in your public discourses?

MINISTER. On the other hand, fome ask me, Why do you ever mention it at all in your fermons, since it is not effential to salvation to believe it? To them I

give these answers.

1. St. Paul declared to Timothy, that this universal gospel of God's being the Saviour or Restorer of all men, but especially of those that believe, was a faithful faying, and worthy of all acceptation; and that they laboured and suffered reproach, because they trusted in God, as the universal saviour. But he was so far from being ashamed of this belief, that he said to Timothy, 'These things command and teach.'---1 Tim. iv. 9---11. And so am I determined to do, at proper opportunities; notwithstanding the reproach and contempt awaiting me for so doing.

2. Though it is frequently faid to be a matter of little or no confequence, if true, yet if it be any part of the record God hath given of his ion, (as I think I have proved) we are in danger of making

him a liar, if we believe it not. See 1 John, v. 9,

10.11

3. Though you may be Christians and not believe it, yet I cannot; though once I could also. But now the evidences of its truth appear so plain to my mind, that it would be criminal in me not to believe it: and since I do believe it, would it not be highly dishonest in me to deny it? I have never done so yet, when asked; and God forbid, that I should be ashamed to publish what he has commanded to be made known.

4. I have commonly acted merely on the defensive; and I never should, that I know of, have preached it in public, or but rarely, far less have written upon the subject, had it not been represented as a dangerous and destructive heresy, and people been cautioned against

hearing me on that account.

5. I have been frequently defired to preach upon the fubject expressly; and could not well refuse, with-

out betraying a cowardly disposition.

6. I alk, Who is the best man--he who preaches the truth contrary to his judgment, for interest, or to gain applause,--or he that fairly speaks as he thinks, without disguise, although he knows that it will displease his best friends on earth, even upon the supposition that he errs, in many points? If there be an heretic in the world, it is the man, who, for the love of money or applause, or through the sear of man, preaches that to others which he himself doth not believe. 'He that is such, is subverted, and sinneth, being condemned of himself.' Tit, iii. 11.

7. If we are to hold forth nothing to mankind but what all are agreed in, we must discourse upon very sew subjects; for I do not recollect so much as one, but what people either disagree about the thing itself, or the manner of explaining and holding it: no, not even the being and perfections of God! nor any point of doctrinal, experimental, or even practical religion.

8. We are to endeavour to teach mankind what they know not, as well as to confirm them in what

they are already taught; should keep back nothing that may be profitable to them; should give meat to strong men, as well as milk to babes; and should not shun to declare the whole counsel of God. We ought to justify the ways of God to men, to shew the necessity and harmony of divine revelation, and take pains to convert infidels; all which things are more promoted by this view than any other.

As to your question, why I do not dwell more upon

it, I answer---

1. There are a thousand other subjects in the Bible, besides this, and all deserve consideration, according to their weight and importance.

2. I have an utter aversion to going always in the fame round of matter or manner; and therefore I fre-

quently vary in both.

3. There are many other subjects of more present importance than the belief of this; such as repentance, saith, hope, love, obedience, &c. and therefore ought to be more frequently insisted on, in proportion to their

present use.

4. There are many scenes of providence and grace to take place in the universe, before the general Reftoration; such as the millenium, the calling of the Jews, the universal spread of the gospel through the earth, &c. These things are much nearer, and therefore the Scripture speaks more of them; and what God most speaks of, in his word, we should discourse of

most to the people.

5. This doctrine, though it may have its use in converting men, and certainly enables those who believe it, to set forth the terrors of the Lord, and his mercies, in a more striking manner than otherwise they could, yet it is chiefly useful in comforting the people of God, and, in part, relieving them from that bitter anguish which their tender minds seel, from the consideration of the vast numbers that perish; and, therefore, may not be so proper for a popular audience as many other subjects.

6. The

DIAL. IV.]

6. The plan of this grand Reftoration is so vast, includes so many different and seemingly contradictory dispensations, that it cannot be fairly stated, and fully defended, in one sermon, and especially the objections answered; and many persons are not capable of taking in and digesting at once so many subjects as are necessary to the understanding of this matter, and have not patience to attend to a long series of demonstrations, arguments, and proofs; and therefore this doctrine should not be introduced by any man, in any place, unless he has opportunity to give it a fair investigation; and therefore I never mention it at all, at my first preaching in any place, nor unless I have sufficient opportunities to discuss it.

7. Christ says to his disciples—" I have yet many

things to fay unto you; but ye cannot bear them now.' St. John, xvi. 12. And St. Paul fays, 'And I, brethren, could not speak unto you as spiritual, but as unto carnal; even as unto babes in Christ: I have fed you with milk, and not with meat; for hitherto ye were not able to bear it; neither yet now are ye able.' 1 Cor. iii. 1, 2. 'Strong meat belongeth to them that are of full age; even those, who, by reafon of use, have their senses exercised, to discern both good and evil.' Heb. v. 14. Therefore, as the Saviour and his apostles adapted their subjects and dis-

viour and his apostles adapted their subjects and discourses to the circumstances of their hearers, and treated them in a gentle manner, so should we. Prudence, patience, and care should always be used in discoursing on a doctrine so deep and awful as this; and, especially, as it hath been so little known of late ages.

8. I would wish to establish well the first principles

8. I would wish to establish well the first principles of Christianity, before I meddle with any thing esse; and as to the doctrine of the Restoration, I would rather that it should seem to be naturally inferred from truths already known, than delivered as an independent system: I therefore seldom or ever make it a leading point in my discourses; but sometimes lead to it, as a natural consequence of what has been said. After all, I would chuse that men should discover it them-

felves, by carefully reading the Scriptures, without prejudice, believing them to be strictly true---by living in love towards God and man---by walking in humility, often resecting on their former estate---and conftantly viewing the sufficiency of Christ, and the boundless love of their great Creator, rather than to learn it of any man, far less still, of such an unworthy worm as I am.

9. As far as I know my own heart, truth in love is my constant aim. I am unconnected with any party; and am not so prejudiced in favour of any thing that I hold, but that I would willingly be convinced in any thing, by proper evidence; and when so convinced, I am ready to retract publicly. As, therefore, I do not feel myself personally interested to support the system, right or wrong, I have dwelt much less upon it than most preachers do upon their particular sentiments.

10. When I first embraced these views I was obliged to give some account of my reasons; and I chose rather to do it by writing than preaching. Accordingly I published my sentiments, and answers to many objections; which publications being in the hands of those to whom I preached, made it less necessary for me to discourse upon those matters in public, or even in private, as I could refer to what I had written; and with the same view I am inclined to publish these familiar discourses, which we have had together; after which it will be less necessary than ever for me to preach the Restoration publicly; yet I will not wholly avoid it, at convenient times, and in proper circumstances.

11. Laftly, as I know fo much of the nature of man, as to be fensible that he turns, with difgust and loathing, from what is perpetually crammed down his throat; but relishes that which he falls upon, as it were accidentally, and comes into by little and little, I have always made it a rule never to introduce it, in public or private, unless where it was earnestly desired, nor ever to continue it long together; and, above all, never to question people upon the subject, after discoursing upon it; asking them, saying, Do you believe

it? &c. Nor would I ever wish to press them with the arguments at once, and oblige them immediately to yield, as this kind of conduct, fo far from answering any good purposes, commonly sets them against what is thus intruded upon them. It is the best way to give time and leifure to perfons, whom you would wish to convince; and let them exercise their own faculties.

FRIEND. I must confess that what you have advanced is highly fatisfactory to me, and I trust will be fo to many others, who may read these conversations, which I hope to have the pleasure of seeing in print before long; and in the mean time, I wish for a bleffing to attend your labours, and that you may be an instrument of much good to mankind in your day and generation, and that you may obtain a crown of life from the Lord the righteous judge, in the day of his appearing.

MINISTER. I thank you most kindly for your benevolent wishes --- I heartily wish the same bleffing may come to yourfelf. And if I have been an instrument of giving you any fatisfaction, let all the glory be to God---but let me have an interest in your prayers.

Book Mult His Tour Rockdale A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR Mary Mary and a second of the second







